

1 Variations in liveweight gains, milk yield and composition of Red Sokoto goats fed crop-
2 residue based supplements in the subhumid zone of Nigeria

3

4 B.S. Malau-Aduli¹, L.O. Eduvie, C.A.M. Lakpini and A.E.O. Malau-Aduli*

5 National Animal Production Research Institute, Ahmadu Bello University, PMB 1096 Zaria, Nigeria.

6 1. Department of Animal Science, Ahmadu Bello University, PMB 1044 Zaria, Nigeria.

7 *Present address of corresponding author: Laboratory of Animal Breeding and Reproduction,

8 National Agricultural Research Centre for Western Region,

9 60 Yoshinaga, Kawai, Oda, Shimane 694 -0013, Japan.

10 E-mail: aduli40@yahoo.co.uk, aduli@affrc.go.jp

11 Tel: +81-90-6837-1953, +81-854-82-1285

12 Fax: +81-854-82-2280

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 RUNNING TITLE: VARIATIONS IN WEIGHT GAIN, MILK YIELD AND COMPOSITION OF RED SOKOTO GOATS

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

1 Abstract

2 Variations in weight gain, milk yield, milk composition, nutrient intake and digestibility of Red
3 Sokoto goats supplemented with crop-residue based rations during the long-dry period were
4 investigated. Ration A, the conventional concentrate ration, was used as the positive control,
5 Rations B and C were the two crop-residue test diets, while Ration D the unsupplemented
6 treatment, was used as the negative control. Each of the supplementation rations was fed at 1
7 and 2% of the goat's body weight (designated as 1A, 2A, 1B, 2B and 1C, 2C respectively). The
8 supplemented group of goats had significantly higher ($P<0.05$) dry matter (DM) and crude
9 protein (CP) intakes as well as nutrient digestibilities than the unsupplemented groups. DM
10 digestibility improved with supplementation by a range of 4.1 to 27.9%, while CP digestibility
11 improved by 17.1 to 42.2%, the highest value being in does on Ration A. It was evident that
12 supplementation significantly ($P<0.01$) influenced weight gains and milk yield of dams. Goats
13 on ration 2C recorded the highest average daily gains of 39.29 g/day while their counterparts
14 fed rations 1B and D lost 28.57 and 92.86 g/day respectively. Ration 1C which comprised
15 mainly of crop residue with much lesser protein levels than the concentrate ration, produced 54
16 kg of milk over a 90-day lactation period averaging 0.60 kg per day. Goats on Ration D had
17 the shortest lactation length of 41 days and the least total and average daily milk yields of 10.2
18 and 0.25 kg respectively. Milk from does on ration 2A contained the highest percentages of fat
19 (6%), protein (6.33%), total solids (21.85%) and solids-not-fat (15.85%). Milk from goats on
20 ration D ranked least with respect to all parameters studied. It was concluded that the goats
21 made appreciable gains in the long dry season on crop-residue based diets that compared
22 favourably with the conventional concentrate rations. Ration C fed at 1% level was a good
23 supplementary feed package for increased live weight gains and milk production in Red Sokoto
24 goats.

25 Keywords: Red Sokoto goats, crop residue, supplementation, weight gains, milk yield, milk composition

1. Introduction

Between 40-100% of patients allergic to cow milk tolerate goat milk (Park, 1994). Goat milk has been recommended as a substitute for those who suffer from allergies to cow milk or other food sources (Van der Horst, 1976). Therefore, there is a growing awareness of the importance of goats as a source of milk for man (Prakash and Jenness, 1968) and world-wide, more people drink goat milk than milk from other species (Park and Chukwu, 1989). The potential for increased use of goats as the most effective animals for milk production in many developing countries is quite high. The Northern regions of Nigeria are concentrated with most of the nation's ruminant livestock. However, these areas are characterised by a long and pronounced dry season (6-9 months), and this often causes serious shortage of feed for the ruminants. The problem of dry season livestock feeding in particular, has directed research efforts towards harnessing and enhancing the utilization of arable by-products and crop residues. The abundance of crop residues makes them cheap sources of nutrients for ruminants. Nevertheless, they are generally low in nutrients (Nicholson, 1984). Various strategies have been adopted to improve their nutrients and utilisation (Leng, 1990). One of such is by judicious supplementation to provide the most limiting nutrients (Preston, 1982; Alhassan, 1988). However, appropriate feeding packages for improved liveweight gains and milk production to guide small ruminant producers in Nigeria have not been developed. Also, relevant information on cheap, alternative sources of feeds crucial to dry season feeding in Nigeria's subhumid zone has not been passed on to small ruminant producers. Therefore, this study was undertaken to determine the nutrient composition, intakes, digestibilities and affordability of some locally available crop residue feed resources and their impact on liveweight gain, milk yield and composition of Red Sokoto goats in the sub-humid zone of Nigeria, in comparison with the conventional concentrate supplementation ration.

25

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Location and management of experimental animals

The experiments were conducted during the dry seasons (between October and March) in the Experimental Unit of the Small Ruminant Research Programme of the National Animal Production Research Institute, Shika, Zaria, Nigeria. Shika falls between latitudes 11 and 12°N and between longitudes 7 and 8°E, with an altitude of 640m above sea level. Shika is located within the Northern Guinea Savannah Zone with an average annual rainfall and temperature of 1,107 mm and 24.4°C respectively. The seasonal distribution of the annual rainfall is approximately 0.1% (11.0 mm) in the late-dry season (January-March), 25.8% (285.6 mm) in the early-wet season (April-June), 69.6% (770.4 mm) in the late-wet season (July-September) and 4.5 % (49.8 mm) in the early dry season (October-December). The animals were routinely dewormed with anthelmintic drugs and dipped in an acaricide (Asuntol) solution against ectoparasites. The animals were housed in well-ventilated pens during the night.

2.2 Digestibility trial: Twenty-eight adult Red Sokoto does ranging between 24.6 and 26.4 kg were used for this experiment. The animals were balanced for weight and blocked into seven groups with four animals per group. The component ingredients in the different rations are shown in Table 1. Each doe was individually offered its appropriate corresponding ration to evaluate the digestibility of the diets. The study comprised a two-week preliminary period of realimentation and adjustment, and one week of sample collection. The animals were housed in individual metabolism cages with facilities for separate collection of faeces and urine. The animals were weighed at the beginning and end of the study. Faeces were collected each morning just before feeding. A sample of 10% of each daily faecal output was collected for chemical analyses. Samples of the different rations fed were taken daily and bulked, from which sub-samples were taken for laboratory analysis. Also, samples of the individual feed

1 ingredients were analysed in the laboratory. Water was made available to the animals ad
2 libitum. The inventory, abundance and palatability of the plant species in the grazed paddock
3 was conducted as described by Lakpini et al. (1997).

4

5 2.3 Milk yield and composition: Measurements for milk yield and composition commenced
6 from day 7 postpartum to allow kids access to all their dams' colostrum. Thereafter, the kids
7 were separated from their dams and moved to the bucket-fed unit. The two halves of the udder
8 of each lactating doe were hand-milked early in the morning and in the evenings. The quantity
9 of milk collected at each milking was recorded and does were monitored from a week after
10 delivery to the last day of milk let-down when the total lactation length was recorded. Daily milk
11 yield was bulked from Weeks 2 to 6 for laboratory analysis to determine milk composition using
12 AOAC (1980) procedures.

13

14 2.4 Laboratory analyses: Proximate analyses of feed and faecal samples were carried out by
15 the AOAC (1980) methods. Dry matter of samples was determined by drying the samples in an
16 oven at 105°C for 48 hours. Nitrogen determination was by the Micro Kjeldahl method, while
17 the Soxhlet extraction procedure was used for ether extraction. Crude fibre was determined by
18 alternate refluxing with weak solutions of H₂SO₄ and KOH. The detergent fibre fractions
19 (Neutral detergent fibre, acid detergent fibre and lignin) were determined according to Goering
20 and Van Soest (1970). Dry matter intake (DMI) was determined using the following equation:

21 $DMI (g/day) = \%DM/100 \times \text{feed intake} .$

22 Dry matter digestibility (DMD (%)) was calculated as:

23 $100 - [DM \text{ output } (g)/DM \text{ intake } (g)] \times 100.$

24 The other digestibilities were calculated as above.

2.5 Statistical analysis: Differences in feed intake, digestibility, liveweight gain, milk yield and composition were analysed using the Generalised Linear Models Procedure (PROC GLM) of SAS (1987). The effect of treatment was tested and significant differences between treatment means established by Duncan's Multiple Range Test.

3. Results

The chemical composition of the individual feed ingredients and the experimental diets are shown in Tables 2 and 3 respectively. Table 3 shows that all the rations had high dry matter (DM) contents with a mean value of about 95%. Ration A had the highest crude protein (CP) followed by Rations B and C, respectively. The CP value of the dry season-grazed pastures was the lowest. The least ash content value was obtained in Ration D : hay (8.47%) and grazed pasture (7.02%). The highest ash content was obtained in Ration A. Ration A also had the highest ether extract (EE) and Ration D, the least. Acid detergent fibre (ADF), neutral detergent fibre (NDF) and lignin contents were least in Ration A. Ration D on the other hand, contained the highest ADF, NDF and lignin values. The calculated chemical analysis of the experimental rations (Table 3) revealed that Ration A had a CP content of 17.05% while the test rations B and C had 9.82 and 10.85%, respectively. Ration A also had a metabolisable energy (ME) of 11.17 MJ/kg DM, while Rations B and C had 10.29 and 10.17 MJ/kg DM respectively. Table 4 shows the DM and CP intakes and digestibilities of the nutrients. The Table shows that generally, the supplemented groups had significantly higher ($P<0.05$) DM and CP intakes and digestibilities than the unsupplemented group except animals on Ration B that had similar values to the unsupplemented group. It was also evident that increasing the level of supplementation also resulted in significantly ($P<0.05$) increased DM and CP intakes. It was also observed that supplementation increased the digestibility of all the nutrients. However, animals on Ration B recorded very poor digestibility values and their counterparts in the

1 unsupplemented group had the least. Even though the digestibility of nutrients decreased with
2 increasing levels of supplementation, these decreases were not significant ($P>0.05$). Ration 1A
3 (the conventional concentrate at 1% of body weight) gave the highest digestibility values. A
4 comparison of the unsupplemented animals with all the other treatment groups reveals that
5 DM digestibility improved by a range of 4.1 to 27.9% and CP digestibility by 17.1 to 42.2%, the
6 highest being in animals on Ration A at 1% level. Similar improvements trends were also
7 noticeable for neutral detergent fibre (NDF) and acid detergent fibre (ADF). A simple economic
8 analysis (Table 4) revealed that the conventional concentrate feed was the most expensive for
9 supplementation particularly, at the 2% level (4.42 naira per animal per day). Of the two tested
10 crop-residue rations, Ration 1B was significantly cheaper ($P<0.05$) than Rations 2B and 2C,
11 but similar to Ration 1C.

12 The effect of ration supplementation on weight gains and average daily gains of the
13 dams are depicted on Table 5. It shows that by the end of the study, dams fed rations 1B and
14 D lost weights (2.0 and 6.5 Kg, mean loss of 28.57 and 92.86g/day respectively), while those
15 on ration 2C gained the highest weight of 2.75 Kg, mean gain of 39.29 g/day. This was
16 followed by rations 2A and 1C with 1.5 Kg weight gain each, mean gain of 21.43 g/day.

17 Table 6 shows results of the lactation length, total milk yield and average daily milk
18 yield of does on different rations. Dams on Rations 1A and 2A lactated for 80 and 88 days,
19 gave total milk yields of 38.4 and 54.5 kg and averaging 0.48 and 0.62 kg/day, respectively.
20 Interestingly, Ration 1C which comprised of mainly crop residue with much lesser protein
21 levels produced 54 kg of milk over a 90-day lactation length averaging 0.60 kg per day. At the
22 other extreme, dams that fed on the negative control ration D comprising of *Digitaria* hay and
23 natural grazed pastures, had the shortest lactation length and therefore least total and average
24 daily milk yields (Table 6).

1 Results of the milk composition of Red Sokoto does is shown in Table 7. It was evident
2 that in absolute terms, milk from does on Ration 2A contained the highest percentages of fat,
3 protein, total solids and SNF. As expected, milk from does on the negative control ration
4 ranked least with respect to all the parameters studied. Figure 1 shows that all phenotypic
5 correlations between the milk components (total solids, crude protein, fat and solids -not-fat)
6 were positive, and the highest correlation of 0.84 was between total solids and crude protein
7 (excluding the perfect correlation of 1.00 between a variable and itself). This indicates a very
8 strong relationship between these components in which there is a corresponding increase in
9 crude protein as total solids percentage increases and vice-versa. However, between solids-
10 not-fat and fat, though positive, was not significant (0.38).

11

12 4. Discussion

13 The quantity and type of ingredients used in formulating the rations influenced their chemical
14 compositions. In Ration A, the inclusion of wheat offal and cotton seed cake boosted the
15 protein level of the ration giving it a value of 17.19%. This value is higher than the
16 recommended CP level of 15% for optimum maintenance of production by Nuru (1985) and 8.9
17 – 16.0% by NRC (1975). The metabolisable energy (ME) value of Ration A (11.17 MJ/kg DM)
18 is also higher than the 9.5 MJ/kg DM recommended for maintenance by INRA (1988), but
19 lower than the latter's recommended value for pregnant and lactating goats. Rations B and C
20 had similar CP and ME values indicating that they are isocaloric and isonitrogenous rations.
21 The preponderance of crop residues in Rations B and C was responsible for their high crude
22 fibre and lignin levels. The current study showed that inspite of Rations B and C being
23 isocaloric and isonitrogenous, animals on Ration C had better intakes and digestibilities than
24 those on Ration B, possibly due to the low palatability, hence low voluntary intake, and poor
25 digestibility of Ration B.

1 The observed higher digestibilities of DM, CP, NDF and ADF at 1% level (rations 1A,
2 1B, 1C) in comparison to 2% level (rations 2A, 2B, 2C) can be attributed to the higher feed
3 intake at the 2% level of inclusion. It has been established that higher feed intake results in a
4 faster rate of passage of digesta from the reticulo-rumen (Swan and Lamming, 1967). This
5 does not allow for effective degradation, hence lowering the digestibility of feed. Increasing the
6 level of crop residue in the diet also increased the amount of lignin, which depressed the
7 digestibility of the ration (McDonald et al., 1988), because the rate of microbial colonisation of
8 a feed with high fibre content is comparatively lower (Silva and Orskov, 1988). The poor intake
9 and digestibility values obtained for the unsupplemented animals is due to the fact that Ra tion
10 D had crude protein levels that are below the recommended minimum values for maintenance.
11 This shows that there is the need for dry season supplementation in goats because the
12 available feeds at that time are limiting in crude protein.

13 Of the two tested rations, Ration C seemed to have produced better intakes and
14 digestibilities in the animals, possibly due to the composition of the rations. It contained maize
15 offal which has very low fibre content (Alawa and Umunna, 1993), groundnut haulms which
16 have been demonstrated to be better quality roughages than *Digitaria smutsii* hay and contain
17 adequate protein to maintain ruminants without any form of supplementation during the periods
18 of feed scarcity (Ikhatua and Adu, 1984). The groundnut shells fed to the animals were also
19 crushed before inclusion into the ration as suggested by Alawa and Umunna (1993). This must
20 have aided their consumption and digestibility. Even though Ration B contained groundnut
21 haulms, the combination of Guinea corn bran and cowpea h usk which had low crude protein
22 percentages, must have reduced the intake and digestibility of the ration. Alhassan et al.
23 (1984) observed lower digestibility values in sheep and goats (48.8 and 56.3% respectively)
24 compared with cattle (73.6%) when they fed them cowpea vines. This might imply that cattle
25 do better on cowpea residues than small ruminants. From the economic analysis, the high cost

1 of the conventional concentrate ration shows that it is beyond the reach of a typical smallholder
2 goat farmer, whereas the crop-residue based rations seem quite affordable. Even though
3 Ration B had the least cost, it was obvious that it had lower intake and digestibility compared
4 to Ration C, indicating in essence, that Ration C had a better efficiency of utilisation

5 The effects of various crop residues on feed intake, liveweight gains and
6 growth performance of ruminants have been reported by Adu and Lakpini (1983b) and Ikhatua
7 and Adu (1984). Adu and Lakpini (1983b) obtained liveweight gains of 90.2 g per day in
8 Yankasa lambs fed sole diet of unchopped groundnut haulms. In the study by Ikhatua and Adu
9 (1984), supplementation of groundnut haulms with concentrate further enhanced intake and
10 performance of the animals. Similar effects of supplementation have been observed in this
11 study.

12 The efficiency of conversion of feed to meat as reflected by liveweight gains, was
13 highest in does fed ration 2C and lowest in those fed rations D and 1B. The better weight gains
14 of does fed ration 2C over those fed the conventional concentrate ration (1A and 2A) indicate
15 that does in the latter groups converted more of their feed to milk rather than meat as
16 evidenced by their higher milk yield values. The observed liveweight gains in this study were
17 lower than those reported by Adeneye and Oyenuga (1976), Adu and Lakpini (1983a, 1983b)
18 and Ikhatua and Adu (1984). However, they agreed with the report of Adebawale (1989) who
19 included 40% untreated maize cobs in the diets of goats and obtained 24.9 g/day weight gain.
20 The weight losses observed in does fed rations 1B and D could be attributed to the low
21 palatability, low intake and poor digestibility of the feeds.

22 The milk yield, lactation length and milk composition values in the present study were
23 in agreement with those of other experiments with goats reported by Prakash and Jenness
24 (1968), Ramos and Juarez (1981) and Sibanda et al. (1990). This study also confirmed that
25 the milk yield of goats could be improved by supplementing their pasture diets with some

1 concentrates (Garmo, 1986). The observed values of total milk yield, lactation length and
2 average daily yield in goats fed rations 2A and 1C were higher than those reported by Adu et
3 al. (1979), Akinsoyinu et al. (1982) and Ehoche and Buwanendran (1983). This increase in milk
4 yield may be due to improvement as a result of selection over the years within the Red Sokoto
5 breed. The inference that can be drawn from this observation is that feeding lactating does on
6 ration 1C irrespective of the fact that it was a mainly crop residue ration, gave just as good a
7 result in terms of average daily and total milk yields, as full concentrate rations. This holds
8 hope for smallholders interested in improving the milk yield of their Red Sokoto dams without
9 necessarily embarking on an expensive concentrate ration. It was observed that although the
10 animals on rations B and D had diets with high crude fibre (CF), ADF and NDF, the fat levels of
11 their milk compositions were the least. This did not conform to the reports by Sachdeva et al.
12 (1974) and Abdel-Rahman and Mehaia (1996), possibly because the diets in this study were
13 not as palatable as the other diets and therefore were not consumed well enough.

14 The significantly strong and positive correlations ($P<0.001$) between the percentages
15 of milk crude protein, total solids, fat and solids-not-fat obtained in this study agreed with the
16 findings of Mba et al. (1975), Akinsoyinu et al. (1982), Ehoche and Buwanendran (1983) (for fat
17 and protein), Fajemisin and Mohammed (1990)(unpublished paper) and Malau-Aduli and
18 Anlade (2001, 2002). The observed highly positive correlations between the milk components
19 will aid genetic improvement by incorporating these traits in a selection index.

20 In conclusion, goats are able to subsist and make appreciable gains even in the long dry
21 seasons of the sub-humid zone of Nigeria on crop-residue based diets. This study has also
22 demonstrated that ration C elicited as much favourable response in the liveweight gain, milk
23 yield and composition of Red Sokoto goats as the conventional concentrate ration A, which
24 may be too expensive for the local farmer to purchase. Furthermore, Ration C was a better
25 package than Ration B and is therefore recommended at 1% level of inclusion, to small

1 ruminant farmers due to its high intake and digestibility as well as its affordability than at 2%
2 level of inclusion.

3 Acknowledgement

4 The authors gratefully acknowledge the support of the International Atomic Energy Agency
5 (IAEA) Vienna, Austria, in partly funding this project through the supply of chemicals, and to
6 the Director of the National Animal Production Research Institute, Ahmadu Bello University
7 Shika-Zaria for permission to publish this work

8 References

- 9 Abdel-Rahman, J., Mehaia, M., 1996. Influence of feeding different crude fibre levels on milk
10 yield and composition of Najdi ewes. *Small Rum. Res.* 19, 137-141.
- 11 Adebawale, E.A., 1989. Response of West African Dwarf sheep and goats fed maize cobs treated
12 with different concentrations of caustic soda. *Trop. Agric. (Trinidad)* 66, 213-216.
- 13 Adeneye, J.A., Oyenuga, V.A., 1976. Energy and protein requirements of West African Dwarf
14 sheep: 1. Effects of feeding four levels of dietary energy to sheep. *E. African Agric. J.* 42, 89-97.
- 15 Adu, I.F., Buvanendran, V., Lakpini, C.A.M., 1979. The reproductive performance of Red Sokoto
16 goats in Nigeria. *J. Agric. Sci. (Cambridge)* 93, 563-566.
- 17 Adu, I.F., Lakpini, C.A.M., 1983a. The utilisation of dried poultry as protein supplement for
18 growing Yankasa sheep. *J. Anim. Prod. Res.* 3, 49-56.
- 19 Adu, I.F., Lakpini, C.A.M., 1983b. Effect of feeding chopped and unchopped groundnut haulms
20 ("Harawa") on nutrient utilisation and the production of rumen metabolites in Yankasa lambs.
21 *Nigerian J. Anim. Prod.* 10, 110-113.
- 22 Ahmed, M.M.M., El Hag, F.M., Wahab, F.S., Salih, S.F., 2001. Feeding strategies during
23 summer for lactating desert goats in a rain-fed area under tropical conditions. *Small Rum.*
24 *Res.* 39, 161-166.
- 25 Akinsoyinu, A.O., Tewe, O.O., Ngere, L. O., Mba, A. U., 1982. Milk composition and yield of the Red
26 Sokoto (Maradi) goats. *Dairy Sci. Abstr.* 43, 83-84.
- 27 Alawa, J.P., Umunna, N.N., 1993. Alternative feed formulation in the developing countries:
28 Prospects for utilisation of agro-industrial by-products. *J. Anim. Prod. Res.* 13, 63-98.
- 29 Alderman, G., 1985. Prediction of the energy value of compound feeds. In: *Recent advances in*
30 *animal nutrition.* W. Haresign and D.J.A. Cole (eds), Butterworths, London, UK.
- 31 Alhassan, W.S., Ehoche, O.W., Adu, I.F., Obilara, T.A., Kallah, M.S., 1984. Crop residue potential
32 of agricultural development projects: Nutritive value and residue management. NAPRI
33 Annual Report, National Animal Production Research Institute, Shika, Nigeria, pp 35-45.
- 34 AOAC 1980. Association of Official Analytical Chemists. *Official Methods of Analysis*, 13th Edition,
35 Washington D.C., USA.
- 36 Ehoche, O.W., Buvanendran, V., 1983. The yield and composition of milk and pre-weaning growth
37 rate of Red Sokoto goats in Nigeria. *World Rev. Anim. Prod.* 19, 19-24.
- 38 Garmo, T.H., 1986. Dairy goat grazing on mountain pasture. I. Effect of supplementary feeding.
39 *Neld. No. 2, Landbrukshwegsk* 241, 1-19.
- 40 Goering, H.K., Van Soest, P.J., 1970. Forage fibre analysis (apparatus, reagents, procedures and
41 some applications). *Agricultural Handbook* 379, ARS, USDA, Washington D.C.

- 1 Ikhatua, U.I., Adu, I.F., 1984. A comparative evaluation of the utilization of groundnut haulms and
2 Digitaria hay by Red Sokoto goats. *J. Anim. Prod. Res.* 4, 145-152.
- 3 INRA 1988. Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique. Alimentation des bovins, ovins et
4 caprins, INRA, Paris, France.
- 5 Lakpini, C.A.M., Balogun, B.I., Alawa, J.P., Onifade, O.S., Otaru, S.M., 1997. Effects of graded
6 levels sun-dried cassava peels in supplement diets fed to Red Sokoto goats in the first
7 trimester of pregnancy. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 67, 197-204.
- 8 Leng, R.A., 1990. Factors affecting the utilisation of poor quality forages by ruminants particularly
9 under tropical conditions. *Nutrition Res. Rev.* 3, 277-303.
- 10 Malau-Aduli, A.E.O., Anlade, Y.R., 2001. Variations and correlations in the composition of
11 bovine, ovine and caprine milk. *Proc. Nigerian Soc. Anim. Prod.* 26, 7-9.
- 12 Malau-Aduli, A.E.O., Anlade, Y.R., 2002. Comparative study of milk compositions of cattle, sheep
13 and goats in Nigeria. *Animal Science J.* 73, 541-544.
- 14 Mba, A.U., Boyo, B.S., Oyenuga, V. A., 1975. Studies on the milk composition of West African
15 Dwarf, Red Sokoto and Saanen goats at different stages of lactation. *J. Dairy Res.* 42,
16 217-226.
- 17 McDonald, P., Edwards, R.A., Greenhalgh, J.F.D., 1988. *Animal Nutrition* (4th Edition). Longman
18 Scientific and Technical, England, UK.
- 19 Nicholson, J.W.G., 1984. Digestibility, nutritive values and intake. In: Sundstol F, Owen E (eds),
20 Straw and other fibrous feeds, Chapter 12, Elsevier Publishers, Amsterdam, Netherlands.
- 21 NRC 1975. National Research Council. Nutrient requirements of domestic animals 5th Edition),
22 Nutrient requirements of sheep, NRC Washington DC, USA.
- 23 Nuru, S., 1985. Strategies for improved production of fresh foods in Nigeria. Nigerian Institute of
24 Food Science and Technology, Federal Industrial Research Organisation (FIRO), Oshodi,
25 Lagos, Nigeria, 12pp.
- 26 Park, Y.W., 1994. Hypo-allergic and therapeutic significance of goat milk. *Small Rum. Res.* 14,151-158.
- 27 Park, Y.W., Chukwu, H.I., 1989. Trace minerals and Anglo-Nubian breeds during the first five
28 months of lactation. *J. Food Comp. Anal.* 2, 161-167.
- 29 Prakash, S., Jenness, R., 1968. The composition and characteristics of goat milk. *Dairy Sci. Abstr.*
30 30, 67-68.
- 31 Preston, T.R., 1982. Nutritional limitations associated with the feeding of tropical forages. *J. Anim. Sci.*
32 54, 877-884.
- 33 Ramos, N.M.M., Juarez, M., 1981. The composition of ewes' and goats' milk. *International Dairy*
34 *Federation Bulletin*, Document 140.
- 35 Sachdeva, K.K., Sengar, O.P.S., Singh, S.N., Lindahl, I.L., 1974. Studies on goats. 2. Effect of
36 plane of nutrition on milk production and composition. *Milchwissenschaft* 29, 471-475.
- 37 SAS 1987. Statistical Analysis System, SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina, USA.
- 38 Sibanda, L.M., Ndlovu, L.R., Bryant, M.J., 1999. Effects of a low plane nutrition during pregnancy
39 and lactation on the performance of Matebele does and their kids. *Small Rum. Res.* 32,
40 243-250.
- 41 Silva, A.T., Orskov, E.R., 1988. The effect of five different supplements on the degradation of straw
42 in sheep given untreated barley straw. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 19, 289-298.
- 43 Swan, H, Lamming, G.E., 1967. Studies on the nutrition of ruminants: II. The effect of crude fibre
44 in maize-based rations on the carcass composition of Friesian steers. *Anim. Prod.* 9, 203-208.
- 45 Van der Horst, R.L., 1976. Foods of infants allergic to cow milk. *South African Med. J.* 5, 927-932.
- 46

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

Table 1. Component ingredients in the different rations

Ration	Ingredients	% inclusion	Remarks
--------	-------------	-------------	---------

1A + Basal diet	Maize	40	Positive control
	Wheat offal	35	(conventional concentrate)
	Cottonseed cake	20	offered at 1% of body
	Bone meal	3	weight
	Salt	2	
2A + Basal diet	✓	✓	Positive control
			(conventional concentrate)
			offered at 2% of body
			weight
1B + Basal diet	Guinea-corn bran	39.5	Test Ration 1 offered at 1%
	Cowpea husk	30	of body weight
	G/Nut haulms	30	
	Salt	0.5	
2B + Basal diet	✓	✓	Test Ration 1 offered at 2%
			of body weight
1C + Basal diet	Maize offal	49.5	Test Ration 2 offered at 1%
	G/Nut shells	20	of body weight
	G/Nut haulms	30	
	Salt	0.5	
2C + Basal diet	✓	✓	Test Ration 2 offered at 2%
			of body weight
D (Basal Diet)	Digitaria hay and dry season-naturally grazed pasture	Ad libitum	Negative control (unsupplemented)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 Table 2. Chemical composition of the major feed ingredients (DM basis) (%)

15

Feedstuff	DM	CP	CF	Ash	EE	NFE
Maize	90.73	9.56	2.20	9.67	4.05	74.52
Wheat offal	87.60	16.90	11.30	6.40	3.80	61.60
Cottonseed cake	93.60	29.94	23.50	5.16	5.76	35.64
Bone meal	75.00	36.00	3.00	49.00	4.00	8.00
Guinea corn bran	93.33	7.60	24.80	6.95	3.01	59.90
Cowpea husks	91.41	7.10	33.40	7.14	0.65	58.91
Groundnut haulms	93.65	15.63	23.26	8.0	2.43	51.0
Maize offal	89.07	10.08	1.5	0.8	1.7	60.30
Groundnut shells	96.05	5.90	31.8	8.5	1.31	50.3

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 Table 3. Chemical composition of the experimental diets (dry matter basis) (%)

16

	Ration	DM	CP	Ash	EE	ADF	NDF	LIGNIN
	Ration A	93.87	17.19	13.85	14.08	20.00	40.01	4.64
	Ration B	94.97	9.54	10.55	10.43	38.10	68.42	8.94
	Ration C	95.94	10.38	11.97	12.45	36.65	54.74	8.23
	Ration D (Hay)	94.78	4.75	8.47	2.40	49.14	74.73	9.49
	Ration D (dry season naturally grazed pastures)	96.26	2.76	7.02	0.78	50.29	80.27	11.5
1	Calculated analysis of the experimental rations							
		Ration A		Ration B		Ration C		
	CP (%)	17.05		9.82		10.85		
	ME (MJ /kg DM)	11.17		10.29		10.17		
2	The ME values of the experimental rations were calculated as per Alderman (1985) :							
3	ME (MJ/kg DM) = 11.78 + 0.00654CP + (0.000665EE) ² – CF(0.00414EE) – 0.0118A							
4	where CP = Crude Protein, EE = Ether Extract, CF = Crude Fibre, A = Ash							
5								
6								
7								
8								
9								
10								
11								
12								
13								
14								
15								
16								
17	Table 4. Mean nutrient intake, apparent digestibility coefficients and cost of the experimental diets							
18								

1

Ration	1A	2A	1B	2B	1C	2C	D	SEM
<u>Nutrient intake (kg/day)</u>								
DMI	0.24 ^b	0.47 ^a	0.21 ^{bc}	0.30 ^{ab}	0.23 ^b	0.42 ^a	0.15 ^c	± 0.02
CPI	0.044 ^a	0.087 ^a	0.012 ^b	0.017 ^b	0.032 ^a	0.072 ^a	0.009 ^b	± 0.01
<u>Apparent digestibility of nutrients (%)</u>								
DM	84.3 ^a	83.0 ^a	62.5 ^d	60.5 ^e	75.8 ^b	67.8 ^c	56.4 ^f	± 2.84
CP	90.6 ^a	89.2 ^a	69.5 ^d	65.5 ^e	82.7 ^b	78.1 ^c	48.4 ^f	± 3.07
NDF	69.5 ^a	66.6 ^b	62.1 ^{cd}	61.9 ^d	65.9 ^b	63.7 ^c	60.1 ^e	± 3.23
ADF	51.7 ^a	49.8 ^a	43.9 ^{bc}	42.8 ^c	46.1 ^b	44.4 ^{bc}	42.3 ^{bc}	± 5.01
<u>Economic analysis of the feeds (Naira) *</u>								
Cost of feed consumed per animal per day	2.19 ^b	4.42 ^a	0.50 ^e	1.06 ^d	0.83 ^{de}	1.55 ^c	-	± 0.15

2

a,b,c,d,e,f means within the same row bearing different superscript letters differ significantly (P<0 .05)

3

Naira = Nigerian currency (100 kobo make 1 naira and current exchange rate is 1US\$ = 140 Naira)

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Table 5. Effect of ration supplementation on weight gains of Red Sokoto does (± s.e.m)

17

Ration	Initial WT (Kg)	Final weight (Kg)	WT gain (Kg)	ADG (g/day)
1A	29.00	29.25 ^a	0.25 ^d	3.57 ^d
2A	27.50	29.00 ^a	1.50 ^b	21.43 ^b
1B	29.75	27.75 ^b	-2.00 ^e	-28.57 ^e
2B	28.75	29.75 ^a	1.00 ^c	14.28 ^c
1C	27.25	28.75 ^b	1.50 ^b	21.43 ^b
2C	26.75	29.50 ^a	2.75 ^a	39.29 ^a
D	27.25	20.75 ^c	-6.5 ^f	-92.86 ^f
± s.e.m	± 4.25	± 4.30	± 0.12	± 1.82

Column means bearing different superscripts differ from each other significantly (P<0.01)

Table 6. Effect of ration supplementation on lactation length, total milk yield and average daily milk yield (± s.e.m.) in Red Sokoto does

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

Ration	Lactation Length (days)	Total Milk Yield (Kg)	Ave. Daily Yield (Kg)
1A	80 ^a	38.4 ^b	0.48 ^b
2A	88 ^a	54.5 ^a	0.62 ^a
1B	48 ^c	12.9 ^d	0.27 ^d
2B	64 ^b	23.0 ^c	0.36 ^c
1C	90 ^a	54.0 ^a	0.60 ^a
2C	72 ^b	35.5 ^b	0.45 ^b
D	41 ^c	10.2 ^d	0.25 ^d
s.e.m.	± 4.7	± 3.3	± 0.03

Column means bearing different superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05)

Table 7. Effect of ration supplementation on the milk composition of Red Sokoto does (%) (± s.e.m.)

1

Ration	Fat	Protein	SNF	Total solids	Ash
1A	5.66 ^a	6.00 ^a	15.75 ^a	21.41 ^a	0.81
2A	6.00 ^a	6.33 ^a	15.85 ^a	21.85 ^a	0.83
1B	3.50 ^d	3.67 ^b	10.75 ^c	14.25 ^c	0.76
2B	3.75 ^d	4.90 ^b	13.75 ^b	17.50 ^b	0.79
1C	4.33 ^c	6.00 ^a	15.66 ^a	19.99 ^a	0.80
2C	5.25 ^b	6.67 ^a	16.00 ^a	21.25 ^a	0.82
D	2.33 ^e	3.25 ^c	10.00 ^c	12.33 ^c	0.75
± s.e.m.	± 0.7	± 0.8	± 1.3	± 1.8	± 0.05

2

3

Column means bearing different superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05)

4