

**QUANTIFYING AND PREDICTING
MAMMALIAN HERBIVORE DAMAGE IN
TASMANIAN EUCALYPT PLANTATIONS**

by

James Bulinski B.Sc. (Hons)

A thesis submitted for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

School of Zoology, University of Tasmania, Hobart.

Australia

March 1999

DECLARATION

This thesis contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other higher degree or diploma by the university or any other institution, and to the best of my knowledge and belief, the thesis contains no material previously published or written by another person except where due acknowledgment is made in the text of the thesis.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'James Bulinski', with a stylized, cursive script.

James Bulinski.

AUTHORITY OF ACCESS

The thesis copy lodged in the University Library shall be made available by the University for consultation but, for a period of two years after the thesis is lodged, it shall not be made available for loan or photocopying without the written consent of the author and in accordance with the laws of copyright.

After this thesis has been examined, the following authority will apply.

i) I agree that this thesis may be made available for loan.

ii) I agree that this thesis may be made available for photocopying.

iii) I note that my consent is required only to cover the two-year period following approval of my thesis for the award of my degree. After this, access to the Library copy will be subject only to any general restrictions laid down in Library regulations.

Signed:



Date: 08 / MARCH / 1999

Lodged in Morris Miller Central Library on : / / from which date the two years embargo will apply.

ABSTRACT

In Tasmania, the Bennett's wallaby (*Macropus rufogriseus rufogriseus*), Tasmanian pademelon (*Thylogale billardierii*), brushtail possum (*Trichosurus vulpecula*) and European rabbit (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*) browse eucalypt seedlings growing in forestry plantations. This damage is thought to reduce plantation productivity by reducing seedling growth, reducing seedling survival and changing seedling form. Forestry companies attempt to reduce damage by reducing herbivore populations with 1080 poison. This control strategy, as it is currently employed, is not without problems. No real data exist relating the severity of browsing damage to losses in productivity, herbivore abundance or plantation characteristics. Thus, control tends to be carried out at most plantations without regard to recouped benefits. Furthermore, the use of 1080 is strongly criticised by some community groups. Unfortunately, existing alternatives are either ineffective or too costly to use on a large scale.

This study aimed to quantify the spatial distribution, effect and cost of browsing damage; determine relationships between herbivore abundance and damage; and determine environmental variables important in influencing herbivore abundance and damage. From 1994 to 1997 data were collected at 35 forestry plantations, 32 planted with *Eucalyptus nitens* and three with *Eucalyptus globulus*. Forestry companies carried out poisoning at 28 of the plantations. Growth and damage data were collected at planting and then at six and 12 months after planting. Herbivore abundance was assessed at each plantation over 320 days using scat plots established at planting. Plantations and adjoining cover habitats were characterised by measuring environmental variables. At seven of the plantations, fenced control plots were established at planting (1996). Growth rate, survival

and number of leading shoots for these seedlings were compared to unfenced seedlings which were also monitored for damage.

Damage severity varied between plantations, ranging from low (17% of seedlings damaged at 12 months after planting with a mean browse score of 0.5) to severe (100% of seedlings damaged at 12 months after planting with a mean browse score of 4.7). Damage reduced seedling growth but did not influence seedling survival. The amount by which growth was reduced was linearly related to damage severity, but was only reduced significantly when damage exceeded a critical level. Unfenced seedlings developed more leading shoots than fenced seedlings at plantations with intermediate levels of damage. Severity of browsing damage at each plantation was linearly related to cumulative density of possum scats. For most herbivore species cumulative scat density at each plantation was negatively related to frequency of poisoning. A regression model incorporating possum scat density, grass cover and fern cover explained 71% of between-site variation in damage. A second regression model, incorporating variables that could be measured prior to planting, explained 52% of between-site variation. Important variables in this model were the area to perimeter ratio of the plantation, the proportion of the perimeter that was adjacent to cover habitat, canopy closure in adjacent cover habitat and the amount of vegetation at ground level in adjacent cover habitats.

These results can be used to assign meaningful measures of loss to observed damage and to identify 'acceptable' damage levels. Additionally, relationships between herbivore abundance and damage, and plantation characteristics and damage have potential for use in predicting damage severity at plantations.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Many people gave generously of their time and energy to help make this project possible. The biggest thanks go to Dr. Clare McArthur for her help throughout. I could not have hoped for a more enthusiastic and giving supervisor. Professor Michael Stoddart also helped with supervision during the early stages of the project. I thank John Madden for his ideas and particularly for his help in securing funding. I am very grateful to David Ratkowsky for helping me with some of the more mind-bending statistics.

Thanks to those technical staff who, hail or shine, assisted with the taxing field work. Mark van Denburgh ('I can eat a Mars bar at 7 am'), Julianne O'Reilly and Kirsten le Mar all contributed at varying times. Marcel Brown ('Bucko') displayed so much energy and enthusiasm during his seven month stint that I was left breathless, usually at the bottom of some steep gully. Angus Sinclair ('Plumbers butt') and Martin Hitchman ('Bullock boy') were a great help during a frenetic period of fencing. Fiona Reardon and Loretta Girrardi must be specially thanked because they were generous enough to work hard for no pay ! I also thank Fiona for her love, support and persistence in getting me out of the office for a while. The combined efforts of all these people helped me get through a mountain of field work.

Thanks to the Zoology Department and CRC staff who contributed in any way. I am particularly grateful to Barry Rumbold and Vin Patel who both helped me hunt down elusive bits of equipment. Ron Mawbey taught me everything I know about poo. I also thank Dr. Gail Reardon, Dr. Jim Reed, Dr. Mick Statham and Dr. Roy Swain for kindly reading drafts of this thesis and providing valuable suggestions for improvement.

Thanks to the many forestry people who were involved with the project. In particular I thank Sandra Hetherington of Australian Newsprint Mills, Peter Naughton of Boral Timber, John Smith and David De Little of North Forest Products and Graham Wilkinson and John Trail of Forestry Tasmania. All these people helped with the location and description of sites as well as discussing many useful ideas.

I thank my student friends at the University for encouragement, discourse and moments of comic relief. Of those that haven't already been mentioned I would like to particularly thank Chris Burridge, Ashley Edwards, Will Elvey, Rachel Lawrence, Nadia Marsh, Andrew Rae and Jenny Sprent.

This project was funded by the Browsing Animal Research Council, the Tasmanian Forest Research Council, North Forest Products, the Co-operative Research Centre for Sustainable Production Forestry and the Zoology Department of the University of Tasmania.

LIST OF TABLES

2.1	General information for each plantation	22
2.2	Physical characteristics of each plantation	23
2.3	Climatic information for each plantation	24
2.4	The six-point scale used to assess severity of browsing damage to seedlings	28
2.5	Explanation of the statistical notations and abbreviations commonly employed throughout this text	35
3.1	The mean daily height growth for seedlings calculated for the 0-6, 6-12 and 0-12 month periods	42
3.2	The mean daily diameter growth for seedlings calculated for the 0-6, 6-12 and 0-12 month periods	43
3.3	The percentage of marked seedlings with signs of browsing damage and the mean browse score calculated for all surviving seedlings	44
3.4	Results of t-test comparing mean daily height growth values (calculated for 0-12 months) for browsed and unbrowsed seedlings	54
3.5	Results of t-test comparing mean daily diameter growth values (calculated for 0-12 months) for browsed and unbrowsed seedlings	56
3.6	The percentage of marked seedlings surviving to six and 12 months at each of the 35 surveyed forestry plantations	64
4.1	Results of t-test comparing mean seedling height and diameter for fenced and unfenced seedlings at the time of planting	86
4.2	The results of t-tests comparing mean daily height/diameter growth values, calculated for the 0-6 month after planting period for fenced and unfenced seedlings	88