Insights into "mysterious processes": incentivising co-innovation in agrifood value chains

by

Lawrence Bryan Bonney

DipAgricSci, GradDipAgric, GradDipEdAdmin, MEdMan(Hons), MSc(Strategic Foresight)

Submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

University of Tasmania

November 2011

Quotation relating to the thesis title:

From Price, H 1996, 'The anthropology of the supply chain: fiefs, clans, witch-doctors and professors', *European Journal of Purchasing & Supply Management*, vol. 2, no. 2-3, pp. 87-105.

In the future...successful businesses will create value by implementing innovations across organizational boundaries...Supply chain management will need to nurture successful innovation within these cross-functional teams. The fundamental challenges are social rather than technical, involving issues of trust, co-operation, power and politics. As a result of this, the roles and relationships required for best practice supply management are changing... The management of these roles will be a strategic issue, since it will be the key to competitive advantage for many organizations... Innovation and creativity are *mysterious processes* that do not respond predictably to purely rational economic circumstances. Rather, the process of 'condition setting' across organizational boundaries encourages innovation... (pp. 87, 103).

Declaration of Originality

This thesis contains no material which has been accepted for a degree or diploma by the University or any other institution, except by way of background information and duly acknowledged in the thesis, and to the best of the my knowledge and belief no material previously published or written by another person except where due acknowledgement is made in the text of the thesis, nor does the thesis contain any material that infringes copyright.

Authority of Access

This thesis is not to be made available for loan or copying for two years following the date this statement was signed. Following that time the thesis may be made available for loan and limited copying and communication in accordance with the Copyright Act 1968.

Statement of Ethical Conduct

The research associated with this thesis abides by the international and Australian codes on human and animal experimentation, the guidelines by the Australian Government's Office of the Gene Technology Regulator and the rulings of the Safety, Ethics and Institutional Biosafety Committees of the University.

Declarations Signed:

(Lawrence Bryan Bonney)

Date: 10th November 2011

Dedication

This research is dedicated to Neil Lawrence Bonney (1922 -), a single father who instilled in me a curiosity to understand the world, a love of knowledge and the motivation to learn.

Acknowledgements

It has been an extraordinary privilege to have the assistance of many people in the completion of this research thesis. Firstly, I would like to acknowledge my supervisors Prof David McNeil (Supervisorin-Chief, Former Director of the Tasmanian Institute of Agricultural Research [TIAR], University of Tasmania), Prof Andrew Fearne (Director of the Centre for Supply Chain Research at Kent Business School, University of Kent) and Prof Ray Collins (School of Agriculture and Food Sciences, University of Queensland). Each played an important complementary role in guiding me through this candidature; David's enthusiastic encouragement and advice on logic and structure, Andy's global understanding of the field and conceptual guidance and Ray's networks and deep understanding of value chain research practice have been critical to the completion of this work. I would especially like to thank all of them for their responsiveness, encouragement and persistence over an extended period. It was also a rare privilege for a PhD student to be able to learn from his professors in large-scale research projects so I thank Ray and Andy for their assistance, tolerance and generosity towards me as I stumbled my way towards competence as part of TIAR's Value Chain Innovation Research Team.

I was also fortunate to have others who played critical roles. Prof Rob Clark AO, Foundation Director of the Tasmanian Institute of Agricultural Research, who facilitated my candidacy, played a mentoring role in teaching an 'old dog' new tricks and whom I thank for his friendship over many years. Ben Dent formerly of the University of Kent now undertaking his own PhD with Ray Collins at the University of Queensland, who has been a remote 'fellow traveller' in value chain work for a lone social researcher working in a school of 200 bio-physical scientists. Theoretical discourse aside, my location in TIAR/School of Agricultural Science has provided a grounded context for my work and I wish to thank my colleagues here at TIAR for their interest, constant concerns for my progress and continuous encouragement. In particular, Prof Holger Meinke, Director of the Tasmanian Institute of Agricultural Research and my manager Assoc Prof Colin Birch, Leader, TIAR Vegetable Centre for their encouragement and strategic investment in this candidature. Neither should I forget the role of previous academic influences in my development. Emeritus Professor Keith Punch at the University of Western Australia must be acknowledged for his tolerance of my need for flexibility and mentoring of my approach to masters' thesis writing and qualitative research was instrumental to me undertaking this PhD. In addition, Dr Joseph Voros, a theoretical physicist and Senior Lecturer in Strategic Foresight at Swinburne University of Technology, must be recognised for having helped inspire my strategic and systems thinking, an important contribution to this research.

I also wish to express my appreciation to the companies who cooperated in this research. Unfortunately they will have to remain nameless but I hope that they gained insights into their chain and some benefit from the analysis and feedback.

This candidature would never have occurred without the generous support of the Cuthbertson Foundation in providing me with the prestigious 2007 Cuthbertson Tasmania Graduate Research Scholarship, honouring the late Sir Harold Cuthbertson and Lady Cuthbertson.

My thanks also goes to Mrs Sherrin Bowden for her work in editing and formatting the final thesis.

Finally, to my long-suffering wife, Jacqui, my thanks for her initial encouragement, tolerance of my absences on research-focused travel and the final twelve months of weekend and night work.

Abstract

The globalisation of agrifood markets and the liberalisation of world trade is creating a new competitive environment. Price-based competition is giving way to innovation-based competition and businesses are increasingly turning to collaborative innovation or 'co-innovation' to be able to compete. As a result, the locus of competition has shifted from the individual firm to competition between whole chains. Thus, the core concerns for modern businesses are managing collaboration and innovation across whole value chains (vertical co-innovation) to create competitive advantage. The Australian agrifood industry has been slow to adopt co- innovation and investment lags behind other industries. Although the industry faces unique challenges compared to other industries, little research has been done on the dynamics of co-innovation in agrifood chains or the strategic issue of how firms, executives and employees are incentivised to co-innovate.

Therefore, this thesis addresses the problem of how to incentivise firms, executives and individual employees to co-innovate in agrifood value chains. Because this involves a multi-disciplinary investigation of multi-level systems with complex, interacting variables and the lack of cross-disciplinary research in this field, an exploratory research design based on the constructivist-interpretivist paradigm using a phenomenological strategy of inquiry was adopted. A case study research method was employed to gather data from three purposively selected, contrasting agrifood value chains in Australia and North America using 128 semi-structured interviews with managers and a range of company and public documents. Qualitative content analysis was then undertaken using NVivo 8 computer software.

The investigation found that the mental models held by executives of the most powerful firm, usually the retailer or the processor, determine the form of chain governance and consequently the incentives employed to achieve the chain goals. Achieving those goals requires complex behaviours at firm, executive and employee levels in a dynamic environment and so multiple forms of incentives need to be employed and managed purposefully to motivate such behaviour. Incentives need to be aligned with strategy and have a degree of individualisation. They should also be supported by appropriate chain values, culture and other management functions such as chain partner selection, recruitment and professional development. Firm incentivation strategies need to incorporate economic, normative and social incentives. Although aligned with overall chain strategy, these will be different at each level of the chain, Tier 1, Tier 2 and Input Suppliers, reflecting their different contributions to creating consumer value, their differential capacities and idiosyncratic aims. Individual incentivation to co-innovate should incorporate extrinsic, social and intrinsic incentives and take place in a supportive culture if behavioural intentions are to achieve co-innovative outcomes.

The analysis also provided support for the conceptualisation of four conditions that influence coinnovation: relational competence, cultural compatibility, a co-innovation architecture and coinnovation competence. The presence of these conditions was associated with the development of coinnovation and their absence with the inhibition of co-innovation.

The contribution of this study is its systemic, multi-level model of chain incentivation through the integration of concepts from the value chain, incentivation, collaboration and agrifood literature. This highlights possible future research in agrifood value chain incentivation and suggests that managers in value chains should adopt multi-level strategies with multiple forms of incentives to achieve co-innovation.

Table of contents

Declaration of originality	i
Dedication	ii
Acknowledgements	iii
Abstract	iv
Table of contents	v
Figures and Tables	viii

Chapt	er 1: Introduction1
1.1.	Introduction1
1.2.	Background to the research
1.3.	The research problem, research issues and contributions
1.4.	Justification for the research
1.5.	Methodology10
1.6.	Explanation of core concepts
1.6.1.	What is value?12
1.6.2.	Supply chains or value chains?
1.6.3.	Customers and consumers14
1.6.4.	What are innovation and co-innovation?
1.6.5.	Motivation, incentives, incentivisation and incentivation15
1.7.	Justification of the delimitations of scope and key assumptions
1.8.	Outline of the thesis
1.9.	Summary

Chapt	er 2: A Review of the Literature	21
2.1.	Introduction	21
2.2.	Theoretical perspectives in Value Chain Management (VCM)	22
2.3.	Why focus on agrifood chains; why are they different?	25
2.4.	Collaboration - the foundation for co-innovation	27
2.5.	Co-innovation as strategy	29
2.6.	What are the theoretical variables involved in co-innovation?	32
2.7.	What are the facilitators of co-innovation?	34
2.7.1.	Relational competence	34
2.7.2.	Compatible co-innovative culture	36
2.7.3.	Co-innovation architecture	36

2.7.4.	Innovation competence	38
2.7.5.	Conclusion	39
2.8.	What are the inhibitors of co-innovation?	39
2.9.	How then, can value chains be incentivised?	43
2.9.1.	Types of motivation	45
2.9.2.	An integrated model of individual motivation	47
2.10.	Incentivation of individuals	50
2.10.1.	Extrinsic incentives	51
2.10.2.	Social incentives	52
2.10.3.	Intrinsic incentives	53
2.10.4.	The interaction between social and intrinsic incentives	53
2.10.5.	The practical management of motivation	54
2.11.	The incentivation of executive managers: a special case?	57
2.12.	Firm level incentivation	59
2.12.1.	Governance and its relationship to firm level incentivation	60
2.12.2.	Agrifood chains as recursive systems	66
2.12.3.	How do retailers deal with such diversity and complexity?	70
2.12.5.	The incentives and motivations to elicit chain-oriented behaviour	74
2.13.	The link between incentives, motives and action in exchange relationships	78
2.14.	Towards a conceptual model of incentivising agrifood value chain co-innovation	82
2.15.	Conceptual model	85
2.16.	Chapter summary	86
Chapt	er 3: Research methodology	89
3.1.	Introduction	89
3.2.	My research perspective	90
3.3.	Theoretical perspectives and knowledge claims	91
3.3.1.	Strengths and weaknesses of the positivist paradigm	93
3.3.2.	Strengths and weaknesses of the constructivist-interpretivist paradigm	94
3.3.3.	The appropriate research paradigm	95
3.4.	The strategy of inquiry	97
3.5.	The research methods	102
3.5.1.	A multi-level approach	102
3.5.2.	Research design	103
3.5.3.	Data collection	109
3.5.4.	Data analysis	121

3.5.5.	Limitations of the methodology	126
3.5.6.	Generalisability of the findings	129
3.5.7.	Ethical considerations	129
3.5.8.	Thesis layout and citation style	130
3.5.9.	Summary of the methodology	130

Chapter 4:	Analysis and findings – Case Study 1	
4.1 Introduction	n	133
4.2 Case Study	1 – Heritage Co Fresh Pork Value Chain	135
4.2.1 Overview	v of the chain	135
4.2.2 Current s	tate of the Heritage Co Fresh Pork Value Chain	141
4.3 Analysis a	nd findings for the incentivation of the Heritage Co fresh pork value chain	144
4.3.1 Intra-org	anisational conditions that influence relationships and incentives	144
4.3.2 Inter-org	anisational conditions that influence relationships and incentives	163
4.3.3 Chain go	vernance conditions that influence relationships and incentives	173
4.4 Themes en	nerging in this case study	179

Chapter 5: Analysis and findings – Case Study 2	183
5.1 Introduction	183
5.2 Case Study 2 – Battel-Decloid Frozen Vegetable Value Chain	184
5.2.1 Overview of the chain	185
5.2.2 Current state of the Battel-Decloid Frozen Vegetable Value Chain	188
5.3 Analysis and findings for the incentivation of co-innovation in the Battel-Decloid Frozen Vegetable Value Chain	191
5.3.1 Intra-organisational conditions that influence co-innovation	192
5.3.2 Inter-organisational conditions that influence co-innovation	217
5.3.3 Chain governance conditions that influence co-innovation	228
5.4 Themes emerging in this case study	238

Chapter 6:	Analysis and findings – Case Study 3	
6.1 Introduction	n	
6.2 Case Study	7 3 – Greenfresh-SaladCorp Processed Lettuce Value Chain	
6.2.1 Overview	v of the chain	246
6.2.2 Current	state of the Greenfresh-SaladCorp Processed Lettuce Value Chain	
6.3 Analysis a	nd findings for the incentivation of the Greenfresh-SaladCorp Processed Lo	ettuce Value
Chain		

6.3.1 Intra-organisational conditions	254
6.3.2 Inter-organisational conditions	277
6.3.3 Chain governance conditions	290
6.4 Emerging themes from this case study	01
Conclusion	305

Chapter 7: Conclusions and implications	
7.1 Introduction	
7.2 Conclusions from the case studies	
7.2.1 Conclusions about research questions	
7.2.2 Conclusions about the emerging themes	
7.3 Conclusions about the research problem	
7.4 Implications for theory	
7.4.1 Implications for value chain theory	
7.4.2 Implications for motivation and incentivation theory	
7.4.3 Implications for co-innovation theory	
7.4.4 Implications for chain collaboration theory	
7.5 Implications for policy and practice	
7.5.1 Public sector policy	
7.5.2 Private sector management	
7.6 Limitations	
7.7 Implications for methodology	
7.8 Implications for further research	
7.9 Chapter summary	
Appendices	
Appendix 1.1 – 1.4: Mapping relevant theoretical perspectives in VCM - Strategic managerspective	0
Appendix 2: The facilitators of co-innovation	
Appendix 3: Summary of foundational motivational theory	
Appendix 4: Members of the Research Advisory Panel	
Appendix 5A - Ethics Information Sheet & Consent Forms	