Little Penguin (Eudyptula minor) Photograph by Raelee Turner, Cradle Coast, NRM.

Good Practice Guidelines for Little Penguin Tourism in Tasmania

by

Wendy Mitchell

University of Tasmania

Declaration

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for a Masters Degree at the School of Geography and Environmental Studies, University of Tasmania.

This thesis contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any tertiary institution, and to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference is made in the text of the thesis.

Signed

Wendy Mitchell

28 May 2010

This thesis is an uncorrected text as submitted for examination.

Abstract

This paper seeks to analyse the current management practices of Little Penguins, (*Eudyptula minor*.) in Tasmania with a view to determining if these are sufficient to adequately protect the Little Penguins from interaction with humans. It seeks to identify and document the current applicable legislation and management plans with a view to analysing the adequacy or otherwise of that legislation

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank sincerely the School of Geography and in particular my supervisor Michael Lockwood for his incredible patience, guidance and untiring support.

I would also like to thank the following people:

Dr Eric Woehler for his untiring support, advice and awe inspiring enthusiasm and energy and passion for wildlife, and in particular Tasmanian birds;

We find friends in wonderful places and Stephanie Knox from the Planning Institute of Australia, PIA, is a one of those, I would like to thank Stephanie for her continually encouragement to complete the research;

Mr Drew Lee for the initially inspiring me with his enthusiasm and dedication to welfare of the Tasmania Little Penguin to start this thesis;

Dr. Peter Dann, Chief Researcher and Scientist at the Phillip Island Nature Park who supplied the information I needed immediately and unfailingly, including his unpublished work for use within this thesis;

To the Department of Parks and Wildlife Service for their cooperation and for their committed and dedicated staff who are so passionate about the Tasmanian wildlife and there protection;

To the Natural Resource Management groups in particular, NRM South, NRM North and NRM Tamar;

For my husband Llew who never complained of the time devoted to this project in the past year; and

I would also like to acknowledge the support and cooperation from the Nick Wardlaw and Paul Male from Bicheno Penguin Tours and Dr Tonia Cochran of Inala Nature Tours;

Finally I would like to acknowledge the financial assistance from:

Birds Tasmania;

NRM South for their support and financial assistance;

The University of Tasmania; and

Tourism Tasmania.

Contents

Declaration 1
Abstract 1
Acknowledgments 2
Contents 3
List of Figures 6
Chapter 1 Introduction 9
1.1 Purpose 11
1.2 Introduction to Approach and Methodology 12
1.3 Scope and Limitations 13
1.4 Thesis structure 14
1.4.1 Chapter 1 14
1.4.2 Chapter 2 14
1.4.3 Chapter 3 14
1.4.4 Chapter 4 15
1.4.5 Chapter 5 15
1.4.6 Chapter 6 15
1.4.7 Chapter 7 15
1.4.8 Chapter 8 15
Chapter 2 Methods 17
2.1 Introduction 17
2.2 Literature and Legislative Reviews 17
2.3 Case Study Selection 18
2.4 Key Informant Interviews 20
2.5 Visitor Surveys 22
2.6 Field Observations 24
2.7 Ethics and Parks and Wildlife Service Approvals 27
Chapter 3 Characteristics and Potential Impacts of Commercial Wildlife Tourism 30
3.1 Introduction 30
3.2 Characteristics of Ecotourism and Wildlife Tourism 30
3.3 Potential Impacts of Wildlife Tourism on Little Penguins 34
3.4 Potential Impacts of Commercial Little Penguin Tourism 38
Chapter 4 - Good Practice Commercial Little Penguin Tourism 45
4.1 Introduction 45
4.2 Penguin Biology 46
4.3 Commercial Little Penguin Tourism examples 59
4.3.1. Granite Island Commercial Little Penguin Tourism 60
4.3.2 The Phillip Island Penguin Parade, Victoria 65
4.3.3 Manly Point 69
4.4 Summary 73
4.4 Characteristics of Good Practice Commercial Wildlife Tourism 74
4.5.1 Baseline Information and Review 75
4.5.2 Vision, Goals and Objectives 75
4.5.3 Protection of Biodiversity and Ecosystems 76
4.5.4 Land Classifications and Reserves 76
4.5.5 Licensing 77
4.5.6 Impact Assessment 77
4.5.7 Impact Management and Mitigation 78
4.5.8 Decision Making 79

4.5.9 Risk Management 79
4.5.10 Implementation 79
4.5.11 Monitoring and Reporting 80
4.5.12 Adaptive Management 80
4.5.13 Concluding Remarks 80
Chapter 5 Regulation and Management of Little Penguin Tourism in Tasmania .. 82
5.1 Introduction 82
5.2 International and National Policies and Legislation 82
5.3 Tasmanian Legislation 84
5.3.1 Nature Conservation Act 2002 85
5.3.2. Conservation Area 87
5.3.3 National Parks and Reserves Management Act 2002 88
5.3.4 Commercial Visitors Service License 89
5.3.5 Parks and Wildlife - Authorised Officers 90
5.3.6 Crown Lands Act 1976 91
5.3.7 Tasmanian Government Resource Management and Planning System 92
5.3.8 Resource Planning and Development Commission Act 1997 93
5.3.9 Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993 94
5.3.10 Dog Control Act 2002 95
5.3.11 Vermin Control Act 2000 95
5.4 Tasmanian policies 95
5.4.1 Tasmanian Nature Conservation Strategy 96
5.4.2 Tasmanian National Parks and Reserves Code of Practice 96
5.4.3 Tasmanian State Coastal Policy 1996 97
Chapter 6 Examples of Current Practice in Tasmania 100
6.1 Introduction 100
6.2 Case Study One – Bruny Island 101
6.2.1 Theme 1: Governance and tenure 104
6.2.2 Theme 3: Threats to population sustainability 109
6.2.3 Theme 5 Pressures from development and tourism 113
6.2.4 Theme 6 Potential threats to the population 114
6.2.5 Summary of findings - Bruny Island - Case Study One 115
6.3 Case Study Two – Low Head 118
6.3.1 Case Study Introduction 118
6.3.2 Site description 118
6.3.3 Theme 1: Governance and Tenure 121
6.3.4 Theme 2: Public Perception and Appreciation of Little Penguins 123
6.3.5 Theme 3: Threats to Population Sustainability 126
6.3.6 Theme 5: Pressure on the Colony from Commercial Tourism 133
6.3.7 Theme 6: Visitor Experience 137
6.3.8 Summary of Findings – Low Head Case Study Two 137
6.4 Case Study Three - Bicheno Penguin Tours 142
6.4.1 Introduction 142
6.4.2 Site description, use and regulation 143
6.4.3 Theme 1: Governance and management 145
6.4.4 Theme 2: Public perception and appreciation of Little Penguins 147
6.4.5 Theme 3: Threat to population sustainability – introduced predators . 149
6.4.6 Theme 4 Threats to population sustainability – Tourism 152
6.4.7 Theme 5 Indirect threats to population sustainability 155
6.4.8 Visitor survey results 161

6.4.9 Visitor Characteristics 161
6.4.10 Visitors motivations 163
6.4.11 Summary of findings 169
Chapter 7 Researchers Analysis 175
7.1 Introduction 175
7.2 The Importance of Strategic Planning and Vision Establishment 176
7.3 Legislation: the Power and the Will to Enforce 177
7.4 The Need for Management of Introduced Species 180
7.5 The Importance of Risk Assessment and Mitigation Measures 181
7.6 The Need to Understand and Address the Impacts of Visitation 182
7.7 The Importance of Collaborative Relations and Partnerships 184
7.8 Community Support 184
7.9 Improve Operator Engagement and Responsibilities for Biodiversity Protection 185
7.10 The Necessity for Critical Habitat Maintenance 185
7.12 Effective Communication 186
7.13 Critical Infrastructure 187
7.14 Critical Need for Education and Communication 188
7.15 The Importance of Research and Monitoring 189
7.16 The Need for Investment and Funding 190
7.17 Marine Impacts Including Recreational and Commercial Fishing 191
7.18 Rehabilitation Programs 191
7.19 Commitment to Maintain Biological Diversity 191
7.20 The Establishment of Exclusion Zones not Accessible to Visitation Other Than Approved Research 192
7.21 Conclusion 192
Chapter 8 Conclusion and Recommendations 193
8.1 Introduction 193
8.2 Summation and Findings 194
8.3 Recommendations 200
8.3.1 Recommendations 201
8.3.2 Good Practice Guidelines for Operators 203
8.3.3. Standard Operating Procedures 204
8.3 Concluding Statement 204
Chapter 9 References 207

List of Figures

Figure 1 Relationships between objectives and methods within this thesis 13

Figure 2 Interview Schedule Commercial Tourism Operators, Key Staff and Parks and Wildlife Rangers 21

Figure 3 Observations during Field Studies 26

Figure 4 Analysis matrix of case study sites 29

Figure 5 Ecotourism effects (Page *et al.*, 2002: 186) 34

Figure 6 The number of active Little Penguins burrows at Granite Island 2001-2006, (Page *et al.*, 2002, as cited in Higginbottom, 2004:10). 38

Figure 7 Mean numbers of penguins crossing at Summerland Beach at Phillip Island 1977 – 2009 (Dakin 2006:12). 42

Figure 8 Little Penguin annual cycle as adopted from Hodgson (1975:217)..... 48

Figure 9 Satellite Tracking of Little Penguins at Phillip Island showing penguins during Yguard stage, (Dann: 2007, unpublished data) 50

Figure 10 Satellite Tracking of Little Penguins at Phillip Island showing penguins during guard and post guard stage (Dann: 2007, unpublished data) 50

Figure 11 Pressures on Little Penguin Colonies (Wendy Mitchell - adapted from Dann 1996) 53

Figure 12 Philip Island Strategic Planning outline (Dakin, 2006:6) 67

Figure 13 Key Supply side impediments, (Jackson, 2009:22) 75

Figure 14 Bruny Island (Source: Google Earth)..... 103

Figure 15 Vegetation Significance, the LIST, Coastal Values, Vegetation Classes, Baker, 2009..... 104

Figure 16 Parks and Wildlife Commercial Visitor License condition extracts (Parks and Wildlife, 2009)..... 106

Figure 17 Bruny Island Risk Matrix, adapted from Brothers *et al.*, 2001 113

Figure 18 National Parks and Reserves Management Act 2002, Schedule 1 with commentary 117

Figure 19 Low Head Case Study site as depicted by purple infill (The List) 118

Figure 20 2010 Goggle view of Low Head Little Penguin Rockery – an open informal track networks through African Boxthorn (*Lycium Ferocissimum*)..... 119

Figure 21 Group of visitors making a tunnel through which the Little Penguins must pass in order to reach their burrow (Low Head Penguin Tour web site, 2009) 120

Figure 22 Low Head Commercial License agreement directives and observations..... 123

Figure 23 A 2009 view - attempts at regeneration at Low Head Penguin Colony by NRM Tamar and NRM North (photograph by Wendy Mitchell) 124

Figure 24 A 2009 view of Concrete burrows, unplaced and unoccupied (photograph by Wendy Mitchell) 125

Figure 25 Low Head Risk Matrix, adapted from Brothers *et al.*, 2001 127

Figure 26 Low Head Weed Description (The List, Sharpe's 2009) 129

Figure 27 Privately owned Land in the Conservation area shown in white (The LIST 2010) 131

Figure 28 A 2009 view of privately held land in the Conservation Area (photograph by Wendy Mitchell) 131

Figure 29 Low Head area, early 19th century (Launceston State Library Collection) 134

Figure 30 A 2009 view of the Low Head commercially operated Little Penguin colony showing one of the many informal tracks forged by both visitors, walkers and sheep (photograph by Wendy Mitchell) 134

Figure 31 National Parks and Reserves Management Act 2002, Schedule 1 and commentary 139

Figure 32 Site of the Bicheno Penguin Tours (Google Earth) 144

Figure 33 Native Flora and introduced species at Bicheno Little Penguin Tourism site area, (Operator Interviewees #1 and #2 2009). 145

Figure 34 Bicheno site is classified under the Public Land Classification as Public Reserve (The LIST) 146

Figure 35 Bicheno Risk Matrix, adapted from Brothers *et al.*, 2001 155

Figure 36 2009 view of the Bicheno Little Penguin colony site adjacent to a major tourism structure in the distance and grazing land to the right (photograph by Wendy Mitchell) 156

Figure 37 Native Vegetation viability, (The List 2009) 158

Figure 38 Visitor nationality (n=53) 161

Figure 39 Visitors origins, percentage responses against each question, (n = 53) 162

Figure 40 Visitor Respondent age range, percentage responses against each question, (n = 53) 162

Figure 41 Respondents Educational standards, percentage responses against each question, (n = 53) 163

Figure 42 Tour motivations, percentage responses against each question, (n = 53) 163

Figure 43 Satisfaction Levels of visitors, percentage responses against each question, (n=53) 164

Figure 44 Information and educational, percentage responses against each question, (n = 53) 165

Figure 45 Modified behavior as a result of information, percentage responses against each question, (n = 53) 166

Figure 46 Visitor perceptions and understanding, percentage responses against each question, (n = 53) 167

Figure 47 Support for changes which may assist Little Penguin sustainability, percentage responses against each question, (n = 53) 168

Figure 48 Crown Lands Act 1989, Schedule 3 with commentary 170

Figure 49 The Improvement Management Plan Model (Jones 2005:1). 176

Figure 50 Influences on Penguin Populations (Ellis *et al.*, 1998: 98). 182
Figure 51 Recommendations, (Wendy Mitchell 2010)..... 202
Figure 52 Good Practice Guidelines (Wendy Mitchell, 2010)..... 203
Figure 53 Standard Operating Procedures, (Wendy Mitchell 2010, adapted from Parks and Wildlife Service License agreements) 204