

University of Tasmania Open Access Repository

Cover sheet

Title

Institutional Collaboration, Learning and Context: A Case Study of Tasmanian Information Technology Institutions

Author

Bound, HI

Bibliographic citation

Bound, HI (2007). Institutional Collaboration, Learning and Context: A Case Study of Tasmanian Information Technology Institutions. University Of Tasmania. Thesis. https://doi.org/10.25959/23211035.v1

Is published in:

Copyright information

This version of work is made accessible in the repository with the permission of the copyright holder/s under the following,

Licence.

Rights statement: Copyright 2007 the Author

If you believe that this work infringes copyright, please email details to: <u>oa.repository@utas.edu.au</u>

Downloaded from University of Tasmania Open Access Repository

Please do not remove this coversheet as it contains citation and copyright information.

University of Tasmania Open Access Repository

Library and Cultural Collections University of Tasmania Private Bag 3 Hobart, TAS 7005 Australia E oa.repository@utas.edu.au

Institutional Collaboration, Learning and Context: A Case Study of Tasmanian Information Technology Institutions

Helen Bound

Submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy Faculty of Education University of Tasmania 2007

Declaration of Originality

This thesis contains material that is original, except where due acknowledgement has been given. Material in this thesis has not been accepted for a degree or diploma by the University of Tasmania or any other institutions, except by way of background information and duly acknowledged in this thesis. To the best of my knowledge I believe that no material previously published or written by another person is cited except where due acknowledgement is made in the text of the thesis.

Authority of Access

This thesis may be made available for loan and limited copying in accordance with the *Copyright Act 1998*.

Abstract

With increasing devolution of governance, collaboration has become ever more important as a means for community groups, regions and institutions to meet their needs. Firms also use a variety of collaborative arrangements to meet their need to compete effectively in local and global markets. However, for all the growth in collaborative activity, there are many fraught or failed attempts suggesting a need to better understand the processes of collaboration. This thesis contributes to our understanding of institutional collaboration, focusing on institutional collaborative activity, learning and context. Collaboration is not considered as a stand-alone activity, but as an activity that is a learning process influenced by context.

Context, institutional collaboration and learning are generally treated as separate components or processes. Furthermore, context is often depicted as that which is external to phenomena, but which impacts on the phenomena. Activity theorists, however, generally recognise context as being intrinsic to activity, noting the mediation of context within the contradictions of the activity. However, Cultural Historical Activity Theory tends not to explore the mediating influence of context as social relations of the mode of production and historical trajectories beyond acknowledging these influences. Rarely is the mediation of economic, social, political and ideological influences arising from the social relations of production explored in depth. In this thesis, these mediating influences are termed contextual conditions and include the mode of production, dominant discourses, policy, industry development, regional infrastructure and institutional arrangements analysed. The mediating influence of contextual conditions on institutional collaborative activity contributes significantly to our understanding of institutional collaborative activity.

A case study methodology of information technology institutions and their collaborative activity between 2002 and 2004 in a small regional state of Australia is used in this thesis. Cultural Historical Activity Theory is used to develop data collection tools and to analyse the data. Engeström's (2004) advice to follow the object when studying interaction between institutions is employed as a key data

collection and analytical tool. A focus on a developing Marine Information, Communications and Technology Cluster and the involvement of three key Tasmanian information technology institutions is used to analyse how contextual conditions mediate collaborative activity and learning.

Within the limitations of a case study, this thesis contains important lessons for policy makers. Analysing the influence of contextual conditions on collaborative activity becomes a tool for policy makers in identifying where their efforts and resources might best be placed. In a small regional State for instance, it was evident that there were limited institutional arrangements in place at the time data was collected. It was evident there was a role for state government policy makers to assist development of the industry and its collaborative capability. This would include encouraging the development of relations and exchange between institutions such as the employer, research and government institutions. Such a role would potentially increase the capacity of a small industry. However, without a tool such as analysis of analysing the influence of context on collaborative activity, this role was not apparent to those involved.

Acknowledgements

All those respondents I interviewed and/or spoke with to make appropriate contacts, or set up observations or participate in industry activities gave generously and freely of their time. Without them there would be no thesis! Thank you.

My supervisor, Doctor Christine Owen, provided constant challenge and support on my journey to complete this thesis while also exhibiting great patience. She introduced me to new ideas and ways of thinking and writing that resulted in discussions that challenged and developed me. Christine initiated me into research and built my foundational research skills through opportunities to work with her on a number of research projects. Her belief in my ability was critical to my perseverance and development.

I would also like to thank Associate Professor Sue Kilpatrick who provided me with multiple opportunities to work with her on a range of national research projects, giving me confidence in my research skills. Also generous with his time was Professor Bevis Yaxley who guided me through the final editing process while Doctor Christine Owen was on study leave overseas. Professor John Williamson's feedback as an academic reader was insightful, resulting in improved clarity of structure and signposting within my writing.

I had many offers from family and friends who also contributed to the final quality of the thesis through critical, careful reading. I would particularly like to thank my sister, Dr Sally Bound and my father, Max Bound.

My husband, Robert Vincent, has been a source, not only of constant encouragement and moral support, but played a critical role in assisting me by being there to critique and challenge. His pride almost outshines my own! My children, Carla Vincent and Vincent Bound, have both been patient and supportive, urging me to complete. Carla's assistance in using her computer design skills to improve the presentation of my contextual conditions figure is much appreciated. Thank you!

Contents

Abstract		
Tables		
Figures		
Chapter 1 Bookmark r		oration, Learning and Context Error!
1.0 ln	troduction	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.1 Ba	ackground	Error! Bookmark not defined.
	1.1.1 Collaboration	Error! Bookmark not defined.
	1.1.2 Learning	Error! Bookmark not defined.
	1.1.3 Context	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.2 A	ctivity Theory	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.3 Re	esearch Design	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.4 O	rganisation of the Thesis	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Chapter 2	Reviewing the Lite	ratureError! Bookmark not defined.
2.1 ln	troduction	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.2 Th	e collaboration Literatu	reError! Bookmark not defined.
2.3 C	ollective Learning	Error! Bookmark not defined.
	2.3.1 The Team Literatu	reError! Bookmark not defined.
	2.3.2 Organisational Le defined.	arning Literature Error! Bookmark not
	2.3.3 Networking Litera	ture Error! Bookmark not defined.
	2.3.4 Regional and Inst defined.	itutional LearningError! Bookmark not
		d Activity Theoretical Perspectives
2.4 Fe	eatures of Collective Lea	arningError! Bookmark not defined.
	2.4.1 Diversity	Error! Bookmark not defined.
	2.4.2 Coordination and not defined .	Decision Making Error! Bookmark

	4.3 Information Flow and ookmark not defined.	Knowledge Creation Error!
	4.4 Common or Shared U ot defined.	nderstandings Error! Bookmark
2.	4.5 Trust and Support	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.5 Con	clusion	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Chapter 3	_Methodology	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.1 Intro	duction	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.2 Judg	ging the Research Proces	Error! Bookmark not defined.
		r Judging the Research Process Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.3 Meth	nodology	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.	3.1 The Case Study	Error! Bookmark not defined.
	3.2 Stages of Data Collec efined.	tion Error! Bookmark not
3.	3.3 Data Collection	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.4. Ana	Ilysis	Error! Bookmark not defined.
	4.1 Analysis of Data Colle ot defined.	cted in Stage 2 Error! Bookmark
	4.2 Analysis of Data Colle ot defined.	cted in Stage 3 Error! Bookmark
3.5. Limi	tations	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.6. Sum	imary	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Chapter 4 Bookmark not		tion Technology Industry Error!
4.1 Intro	duction	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.2. The	Island State of Tasmania	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4. Bookmark not		ion Technology Industry Error!
4.3 Natio	onal Policy	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.	3.1 Clusters	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.4. Con	nclusion	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Chapter 5 Technology In	Collaboration in the Ta dustry	smanian Information Error! Bookmark not defined.

5.1. Introduction......Error! Bookmark not defined.

5.2 Tasmanian Information Technology Institutions**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

5.2.1 TaslT Error! Bookmark not defined.

5.2.2 Intelligent Island Board and Secretariat.....**Error!** Bookmark not defined.

5.2.3 Tasmanian Department of State DevelopmentError! Bookmark not defined.

5.2.4 Information Technology Industry CouncilError! Bookmark not defined.

5.2.5 Tasmanian Information Technology Industry Training Advisory Board......**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

5.3. Collaboration Between the Institutions. Error! Bookmark not defined.

5.4 Conclusion......Error! Bookmark not defined.

Chapter 6 Towards a Conceptual Framework: Contextual conditions and the object of collaborative activity**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

6.1 Introduction......Error! Bookmark not defined.

6.2 Contextual Conditions Error! Bookmark not defined.

6.2.1 Contextual Conditions Relevant to the Evolving Marine ICT Cluster**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

6.3 Collaborative Activity and the Object.. Error! Bookmark not defined.

6.3.1 The 'Boundary Space' of Collaborative Activity**Error!** Bookmark not defined.

6.4 Conclusion..... Error! Bookmark not defined.

Chapter 7 The Ways in Which Contextual Conditions Influence Collaborative Activity......**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

7.1 Introduction......Error! Bookmark not defined.

7.2 The Evolving Object of Institutional Collaboration in the Developing Marine ICT Cluster......**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

7.3 Contextual Conditions and Collaborative Activity**Error!** Bookmark not defined.

7.5 Conclusion..... Error! Bookmark not defined.

			oration as a Process of Learning Error! Bookmark not defined.
	8.1 Intr	oduction	Error! Bookmark not defined.
	8.2 A L	earning Process	Error! Bookmark not defined.
		3.2.1 The Connecting F	haseError! Bookmark not defined.
		3.2.2 The Interacting Pt	naseError! Bookmark not defined.
		3.2.3 The Participating Bookmark not defined	and Committing Phases Error!
	8.3 Co	nclusion	Error! Bookmark not defined.
•		5	ntextual Conditions Mediate
	9.1 Intr	oduction	Error! Bookmark not defined.
	9.2 Me	diation of Learning an	d ToolsError! Bookmark not defined.
			oduction of Collaborative Activity
			ons in the Social Relations of
			d Learning in Collaborative Activity Error! Bookmark not defined.
Bookı		9.5.1 Making Tensions a ot defined.	and Contradictions Visible Error!
	9.6 Co	nclusion	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Chap	oter 10	Conclusion	Error! Bookmark not defined.
	10.1 ln	troduction	Error! Bookmark not defined.
	10.2 Fi	ndings	Error! Bookmark not defined.
		ontributions of this thes a rk not defined .	is and their implications Error!
	10.4 Fu	rther investigation	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Refer	ences .		Error! Bookmark not defined.
Appe	ndices		Error! Bookmark not defined.
Appe	ndix 11	he Researcher	Error! Bookmark not defined.

Appendix 2: Changes in the Research Questions Error! Bookmark not defined.

Research questions	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Focus of the study	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Appendix 4: Interview questions	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Stage one	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Stage two	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Stage three	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Appendix 5: Ethics Application	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Appendix 6: Letters of Invitation	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Stage one	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Stage 2	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Stage 3	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Appendix 7: Consent Forms	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Stage one	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Stage Two	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Diverse groups such as Industry Bodies winder not defined.	working together Error! Bookmark
Stage three	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Appendix 8: Coding Tree	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Appendix 9: Examples of Coded Data	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Appendix 10: Examples of Memos	Error! Bookmark not defined.

TABLES

2.1	Literature on collaboration	39
2.2	Contributions and problematic of bodies of collective learning literatures	48
2.3	The contribution and problematic of different theoretical orientations	49
2.4	Diversity — contributions and problematic	57
2.5	Coordination and decision making — contributions and problematic	61
2.6	Information flow and knowledge creation — contributions and problematic	64
2.7	Shared understandings — contributions and problematic	69
2.8	Trust and support — contributions and problematic	72
3.1	Summary of processes used to address authenticity and trustworthiness	76
3.2	Stages of the research	79
3.3	Documents collected as data	83
3.4	Interviews and observations collected over each stage	86
3.5	Framework for initial data collection in stage two	88

6.1	Ways in which Wertsch's 10 claims add to an understanding of mediation	146
6.2	Collaborative and institutional objects of activity and goals	150
7.1	The evolving object	157
7.2	Collaborative activity and contextual conditions	164
8.1	Evolving object and phases of collaborative activity	180

FIGURES

1.1	Mediated action	30
1.2	Activity system	32
4.1	Map of Australia	99
4.2	Map of Tasmania	100
4.3	Age profile of Tasmanian information technology companies	106
6.1	Contextual conditions	134
6.2	Interacting activity systems	153
8.1	Phases of learning in the collaborative process	182
8.2	Connecting networks	184
9.1	Social relations of production	204