
**Aspects of the morphological development
and feeding performance of
larval striped trumpeter (*Latris lineata*)
in culture**

by

**Jennifer May Cobcroft,
B.Sc., B.App.Sci. Hons**

Submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

University of Tasmania, January 2002

Declarations

This thesis contains no material which has been accepted for a degree or diploma by the University or any other institution, and to the best of my knowledge and belief is original material, containing no material previously published or written by another person except where due acknowledgment is made in the text of the thesis.

Candidate's signature

Jennifer May Cobcroft

Copyright © 2001

This thesis may be made available for loan and limited copying in accordance with the *Copyright Act 1968*.

Candidate's signature

Jennifer May Cobcroft

Abstract

Striped trumpeter (*Latris lineata*) is a new candidate species for aquaculture in temperate Australia. Survival of larvae prior to flexion has proven a bottleneck in the production of this species for culture. In addition, almost all juveniles cultured to date exhibit malformations of the jaw that may impede larval feeding success. Body size and morphology impose constraints upon feeding success in larvae of broadcast spawning fishes. Furthermore, larvae have an absolute reliance upon sense organs for the detection and subsequent capture of prey. In this study, aspects of morphological development and feeding performance were described in larval striped trumpeter. Chemosensory and mechanosensory organs were present and presumed functional soon after hatching, while the eye was functional coincident with first-feeding on day 7 post-hatching. The structure of the photoreceptors in different regions of the retina of the larvae suggested the area specialised for the most acute image formation corresponded to a visual field in the fronto-ventral region. Analysis of videocinematography of feeding larvae in the horizontal plane confirmed a forward-directed functional visual field. The area of the visual field increased with larval ontogeny from day 13 to day 17 post-hatching, due to the wider range of reactive angles used by older larvae. Maximum reactive distances of larvae to rotifer prey (~ 5.1 mm) were 97% of larval standard length, while the distance at which larvae initiated a strike at the prey was much lower (~ 0.45 mm) at 8% of larval standard length. Visual angles determined from larval feeding behaviour were higher than the minimum separable angles predicted by histology, such that the functional acuity of the larvae was not as good as that predicted by retinal structure. Jaw malformation was only evident in post-flexion larvae greater than 10 mm standard length and was characterised by an open jaw in which cartilage and bone elements appeared structurally normal but were in abnormal positions. The effects of light intensity and microalgal cell density (turbidity) on larval feeding behaviour were assessed in short-term feeding trials. None of the pre-flexion larvae used to investigate optimal light conditions for feeding exhibited jaw malformations. Larvae fed equally well in clearwater (no microalgal cells present) in a light intensity range of $1\text{--}10\ \mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$. An ontogenetic

improvement in photopic visual sensitivity of larvae was indicated by improved feeding at $0.1 \mu\text{mol.s}^{-1}.\text{m}^{-2}$ between day 8 and day 23 post-hatching. Algae-induced turbidity had different effects on larval feeding response dependent upon the previous visual environment of the larvae. Young larvae, day 9 post-hatching, reared in clearwater showed decreased feeding capabilities with increasing turbidity, while older clearwater reared larvae fed well at all turbidities tested. Likewise, greenwater (with microalgal cells present) reared larvae had increased feeding capabilities in the highest algal cell densities tested compared with those in low algal cell density, and clearwater to which they were naive. This study demonstrated that striped trumpeter larvae are primarily visual feeders with a small visual field relative to larval body size, that jaw malformation is unlikely to impede feeding in pre-flexion larvae, and that greenwater may provide a benefit to larval feeding although the previous visual environment of larvae affected subsequent feeding responses.

Acknowledgments

I am grateful to Dr Tish Pankhurst for providing thorough and considerate supervision. She has enthusiastically shared insights into the marvellous world of larval fish development with me, and encouraged my pursuit of a career in science and aquaculture. Thank you.

Piers Hart taught me many practical things about marine fish larviculture and experimentation. I thank him for supervising the early stages of my research and for continuing his interest in my work after leaving the University of Tasmania.

Stephen Battaglene brought new techniques to my larval feeding trials and provided assistance and advice during experiments. I also thank him for being an encouraging and patient workplace manager (boss) while I finished writing my thesis.

Staff and fellow post-graduate students of the Tasmanian Aquaculture and Fisheries Institute, Marine Research Laboratories (MRL) provided a friendly and encouraging atmosphere in which to conduct my research. I particularly thank people involved in the striped trumpeter research group at MRL during my candidature; Stephen Battaglene, Alan Beech, Richard Davis, Debbie Gardner, Ross Goldsmid, Greg Goodchild, Piers Hart, David Morehead, Anna Overweter, Beck Paterson, Craig Thomas, Andrew Trotter, and Bill Wilkinson.

Rob Tennent and Steve Weston are thanked for assisting me with transmission electron microscopy. Steve Hodson taught me how to prepare samples and operate the equipment for scanning electron microscopy.

Jo Sadler, Jenny Cleary and Brad Crear shared their experiences of PhD candidature with me and were supportive friends through the good and the bad.

Thanks to my extended family at St Clement's, Kingston, for all of your support, for teaching me more of the delights of the Lord, and for helping me discover the desires of my heart.

I thank my parents and grandparents for their encouragement of my career and their interest in my research, even though it involved taking their grandchildren to another state. I especially thank Dad, Grandad and Pop for passing on to me their love of all things fishy.

The Co-operative Research Centre for Aquaculture funded my research project. I am grateful for the provision of a Cuthbertson Scholarship through the University of Tasmania, which enabled me to undertake my research on a paid full-time basis. I thank the Cuthbertson family, particularly Helen Dickenson, for their interest in my research.

I thank my husband, Ben, who has loved, supported, fed, encouraged and accompanied me on the path to completing this work. To our children, Matthew and Melanie, thankyou for keeping me in touch with life and for being so patient with your mum. I look forward to sharing new adventures with you in future.

Table of contents

DECLARATIONS	II
ABSTRACT	III
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.....	V
TABLE OF CONTENTS	VII
LIST OF TABLES.....	XI
LIST OF FIGURES.....	XII
1. CHAPTER ONE. GENERAL INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 LARVAE OF BROADCAST SPAWNING MARINE TELEOSTS	1
1.2 STRIPED TRUMPETER - GENERAL INFORMATION	2
1.3 STRIPED TRUMPETER - LARVAE	5
1.4 SCOPE AND OBJECTIVES	6
1.4.1 <i>Constraints on the present study</i>	7
1.4.2 <i>Sensory organs</i>	7
1.4.3 <i>Feeding Behaviour</i>	8
1.4.4 <i>Light intensity</i>	9
1.4.5 <i>Greenwater</i>	9
1.4.6 <i>Jaw development and malformation</i>	10
1.4.7 <i>Animal ethics approval</i>	11
1.4.8 <i>Thesis structure</i>	11
1.5 REFERENCES	12
2. CHAPTER TWO. SENSORY ORGAN DEVELOPMENT IN CULTURED STRIPED TRUMPETER LARVAE <i>LATRIS LINEATA</i> AND IMPLICATIONS FOR FEEDING BEHAVIOUR	21
2.1 ABSTRACT	21
2.2 INTRODUCTION	22
2.3 MATERIALS AND METHODS	23
2.3.1 <i>Larval culture</i>	23
2.3.2 <i>Anatomy</i>	25
2.3.3 <i>Behaviour</i>	27
2.3.4 <i>Statistics</i>	29

2.4	RESULTS	30
2.4.1	<i>Larval growth</i>	30
2.4.2	<i>Olfactory organs</i>	31
2.4.3	<i>Mechanoreceptors</i>	34
2.4.4	<i>Visual morphology</i>	38
2.4.5	<i>Behaviour</i>	47
2.5	DISCUSSION	48
2.5.1	<i>Olfactory organs</i>	48
2.5.2	<i>Superficial neuromasts</i>	49
2.5.3	<i>Visual morphology</i>	51
2.5.4	<i>Contribution of sensory organs to feeding behaviour</i>	54
2.5.5	<i>Summary</i>	55
2.6	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	55
2.7	REFERENCES	56
 3. CHAPTER THREE. CHARACTERISATION OF THE VISUAL FIELD OF CULTURED STRIPED TRUMPETER LARVAE USING ANALYSIS OF FEEDING BEHAVIOUR AND HISTOLOGICAL MEASURES		63
3.1	ABSTRACT	63
3.2	INTRODUCTION	64
3.3	MATERIALS AND METHODS	66
3.3.1	<i>Larval culture</i>	66
3.3.2	<i>Retinal morphometry</i>	68
3.3.3	<i>Video cinematography</i>	71
3.3.4	<i>Statistics</i>	74
3.4	RESULTS	75
3.4.1	<i>Larval growth</i>	75
3.4.2	<i>Retinal morphometry and theoretical acuity</i>	75
3.4.3	<i>Behavioural acuity</i>	82
3.4.4	<i>Comparison of theoretical and behavioural acuity</i>	86
3.5	DISCUSSION	87
3.6	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	96
3.7	REFERENCES	96
 4. CHAPTER FOUR. THE EFFECTS OF LIGHT INTENSITY AND ALGAE-INDUCED TURBIDITY ON FEEDING BEHAVIOUR OF LARVAL STRIPED TRUMPETER		104
4.1	ABSTRACT	104
4.2	INTRODUCTION	105

4.3	MATERIALS AND METHODS	106
4.3.1	<i>Larval culture</i>	106
4.3.2	<i>Light intensity and turbidity measurement</i>	108
4.3.3	<i>Feeding experiments - general procedures</i>	108
4.3.4	<i>Experiment 1 - The effect of light intensity under clearwater conditions on feeding performance of larvae reared in greenwater</i>	109
4.3.5	<i>Experiment 2 - The effect of algal cell-induced turbidity on the feeding performance of larvae reared in clearwater</i>	109
4.3.6	<i>Experiment 3 - The effect of algal cell-induced turbidity on the feeding performance of larvae reared in greenwater</i>	110
4.3.7	<i>Light attenuation and reflected light profiles within the test chambers with increasing algal cell density</i>	110
4.3.8	<i>Statistics</i>	111
4.4	RESULTS	113
4.4.1	<i>Larval growth</i>	113
4.4.2	<i>Experiment 1 - The effect of light intensity under clearwater conditions on feeding performance of larvae reared in greenwater</i>	114
4.4.3	<i>Experiment 2 - The effect of algal cell-induced turbidity on the feeding performance of larvae reared in clearwater</i>	116
4.4.4	<i>Experiment 3 - The effect of algal cell-induced turbidity on the feeding performance of larvae reared in greenwater</i>	116
4.4.5	<i>Light attenuation and reflected light profiles</i>	119
4.5	DISCUSSION	121
4.6	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	127
4.7	REFERENCES	128
5.	CHAPTER FIVE. JAW DEVELOPMENT AND MALFORMATION IN CULTURED STRIPED TRUMPETER <i>LATRIS LINEATA</i>	135
5.1	ABSTRACT	135
5.2	INTRODUCTION	135
5.3	MATERIALS AND METHODS	137
5.3.1	<i>Larval culture - jaw development</i>	137
5.3.2	<i>Bone and cartilage staining</i>	138
5.3.3	<i>Jaw malformation in post-flexion larvae</i>	138
5.3.4	<i>Radiography</i>	138
5.4	RESULTS	139
5.4.1	<i>Larval growth</i>	139
5.4.2	<i>Skeletal morphology of larval cranium and jaw</i>	140
5.4.3	<i>Skeletal morphology of juvenile and adult cranium and jaw</i>	147
5.5	DISCUSSION	149

5.6	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.....	152
5.7	REFERENCES	153
6.	CHAPTER SIX. GENERAL DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY.	161
6.1	GENERAL DISCUSSION	161
6.1.1	<i>Sensory organs</i>	161
6.1.2	<i>Feeding Behaviour</i>	163
6.1.3	<i>Light intensity</i>	166
6.1.4	<i>Greenwater</i>	167
6.1.5	<i>Jaw development and malformation</i>	168
6.1.6	<i>Constraints on the present study</i>	169
6.2	CONCLUSION.....	171
6.3	SUMMARY	172
6.4	REFERENCES	175
	APPENDIX ONE. VITAL STAINING OF NEUROMASTS WITH JANUS GREEN	183
	METHOD: VITAL STAINING OF LIVE STRIPED TRUMPETER LARVAE WITH JANUS GREEN FOR THE OBSERVATION OF FREE NEUROMASTS	183
	REFERENCES.....	184
	APPENDIX TWO. THE EMISSION SPECTRA OF LIGHT SOURCES USED IN LARVAL REARING	185
	MATERIALS AND METHODS	185
	RESULTS	185
	DISCUSSION - PROVISION OF ARTIFICIAL LIGHT IN LARVAL CULTURE	187
	REFERENCES.....	187
	APPENDIX THREE. RETINAL MORPHOMETRIC DATA IN DIFFERENT REGIONS OF THE EYES OF LARVAL STRIPED TRUMPETER.....	189

List of tables

Table 2.1. Feeding response of 18 day old striped trumpeter larvae after 90 min exposure to rotifer prey in the light and in the dark, with and without treatment with streptomycin sulphate to ablate neuromasts. Larval standard length, 5.62 ± 0.06 mm, live. (n = 5 replicates, with 30 larvae per replicate.).....	47
Table 3.1. Ratio of rod precursor nuclei in the dorsal and ventral retina and summation of photoreceptor nuclei to ganglion cells (GC) in striped trumpeter larvae with age in A, transverse and B, sagittal sections. Values calculated from angular cell densities, given as ratios or mean \pm SE.	78
Table 3.2. Behavioural visual acuities in larval and juvenile fishes, expressed as minimum separable angles, determined from different methods: A, optokinetic response and B, reactive distance to prey.	89
Table A3.1. Retinal regions corresponding to the naso-temporal versus dorso-ventral 3 x 3 matrix of retinal position, examined for morphometric parameters, presented in Tables A3.2 to A3.7.	189
Table A3.2. Linear cone cell density in different areas of the retinae of striped trumpeter larvae from A, transverse sections and B, sagittal sections.	190
Table A3.3. Angular cone cell density in different areas of the retinae of striped trumpeter larvae from A, transverse sections and B, sagittal sections.	191
Table A3.4. Acuity (minimum separable angle, MSA) in different areas of the retinae of striped trumpeter larvae calculated from lens radius and cone cell density in A, transverse sections and B, sagittal sections.	192
Table A3.5. Linear density of rod precursor nuclei in different areas of the retinae of striped trumpeter larvae from A, transverse sections and B, sagittal sections.	193
Table A3.6. Angular density of rod precursor nuclei in different areas of the retinae of striped trumpeter larvae from A, transverse sections and B, sagittal sections.	193
Table A3.7. Light path length, width of photoreceptor outer segment (OS) and pigment epithelial (PE) layers, in different areas of the retinae of striped trumpeter larvae from A, transverse sections and B, sagittal sections.	194

List of figures

- Figure 1.1. The approximate distribution of striped trumpeter (*Latris lineata*) in south-eastern Australian waters. (Map provided by Dr D. Morehead.)2
- Figure 1.2. Illustration of an adult striped trumpeter (*Latris lineata*). (Illustration provided by the Tasmanian Department of Primary Industries, Water and Environment.)3
- Figure 1.3. Striped trumpeter yolk sac larvae at hatching, day 0 (A), and on day 5 (B), and feeding larva on day 8 (C) post-hatching. Scale bar is 1mm.5
- Figure 2.1. Standard length of striped trumpeter larvae in four cohorts reared to examine sensory organ development and the role of sense organs in feeding behaviour. Age is standardised to degree-days to compensate for differences in rearing temperature. Values are means \pm SE (n = 10). Cohort 1 (Co1)- open and filled circles, cohort 2 (Co2)- open triangles, cohort 3 (Co3)- filled triangles, cohort 4 (Co4)- squares. Standard lengths of individual larvae prepared for light microscopy are indicated by open symbols; circles and triangles denote larvae sectioned in the transverse and sagittal planes, respectively.30
- Figure 2.2. Photomicrographs of the development of the olfactory organs of striped trumpeter larvae. Transverse sections of larvae on A) day 3 (4.43 mm SL, fixed), B) day 5 (4.66 mm SL, fixed), C) day 19 (7.15 mm SL, fixed), and D) day 26 post-hatching (6.31 mm SL, fixed). Arrowheads indicate degenerating ('white') cells. Abbreviations: bc, basal cell; ci, cilia; ep, epidermal epithelium; n, olfactory nerve bundle; pl, placode; rc, receptor cell; sc, supporting cell. Scale bars are 20 μ m. ...32
- Figure 2.3. Transmission electron micrographs of the sensory epithelium of the olfactory organs of striped trumpeter larvae. Day 11 larva (~5.0 mm SL, live) A)&B) apical surface of the olfactory epithelium showing microvillous (mr) and ciliated (cr) sensory cell types, C) 9+2 microtubule arrangement in cilia, and D) desmosome junctions (arrowheads) between neighbouring cells. Abbreviations: ci, cilia; m, mitochondria; mv, microvilli. Scale bars indicate A) 2 μ m, B)&C) 1 μ m, and D) 200 nm.33
- Figure 2.4. *Camera lucida* drawings of striped trumpeter larvae indicating the distribution and proliferation of superficial neuromasts (black dots) with age. a) day 0, 3.5 mm SL, b) day 1, 4.0 mm SL, c) day 2, 4.6 mm SL, d) day 8, 5.3 mm SL, e) day 13, 5.4 mm SL, f) dorsal view showing asymmetric neuromast locations on the left and right hand sides of the body on day 13, 5.4 mm SL, g) day 14, 6.4 mm SL, and h) day 26, 8.3 mm SL. Standard lengths (SL) are of fixed larvae. Abbreviations: Auc, auditory capsule; Can, enclosed lateral line canals; Lld, lateral line depression; Oo, olfactory organ. All figures are the same scale, and scale bar is 2 mm.35

- Figure 2.5. Superficial neuromasts of striped trumpeter larvae. A) Photomicrograph of a transverse section of a neuromast located dorso-temporal to the eye of a day 5 larva (5.15 mm SL, live), and scanning electron micrographs of B) neuromast on the head of a day 8 larva (4.82 mm SL, fixed) with kinocilia and stereocilia projecting from the apical surface of the hair cells, and C) a superficial neuromast above the posterior end of the lateral line canal opening of a 26 day old larva (7.18 mm SL, fixed). Arrowheads indicate neuromasts. Abbreviations: bn, basal nuclei of support cell; ci, cilia bundle; epc; epidermal epithelial cells; kc, kinocilium; o, lateral line canal opening; rc, receptor cell; stc, stereocilia bundle. Scale bars A) 20 μ m and B)&C) 10 μ m.36
- Figure 2.6. Photomicrograph of a transverse section of the head of a 3 day old striped trumpeter larva (4.43 mm SL, fixed), through the inner ear with cilia from hair cells in contact with the lapillus otolith within the utricle chamber of the labyrinth. Abbreviations: ci, cilia; hc, sensory hair cells; ot, otolith; sc, support cell. Scale bar is 20 μ m.37
- Figure 2.7. Photomicrographs of transverse sections through the developing eyes of striped trumpeter larvae. A) Differentiating neuroblasts in the retina and fibroblasts in the lens of a larva at hatching (day 0, 2.71 mm SL, fixed). B) Position of the optic nerve in a 3 day old larva (4.43 mm SL, fixed). C) & D) Development of layers in the retina of a 5 day old larva (4.66 mm SL, fixed). All figures are orientated with dorsal upwards. Arrowheads indicate neuromasts. Abbreviations: br, brain; cn, cone nuclei; g, ganglion cell layer; gm, germinal cell margin; inl, inner nuclear layer; ipl, inner plexiform layer; l, lens; on, optic nerve; onl, outer nuclear layer; pe, pigmented retinal epithelium; r, retina. Scale bars in A)&C) are 50 μ m, in B) 100 μ m, and in D) 20 μ m.39
- Figure 2.8. Transmission electron micrographs of A) developing photoreceptors in a 4 day old larva (~4.9 mm SL, live), and in an 11 day old larva (~5.0 mm SL, live) B) differentiated single cone photoreceptors, and C) cone synapses. Abbreviations: cn, cone nuclei; cp, cone pedicle; dOS, differentiating outer segments; dsy, developing synapses; e, cone ellipsoid; h, horizontal cell; m, mitochondria; OS, outer segments; p, pigment of the pigmented retinal epithelium; rs, rod spherule. Scale bars are 2 μ m.40
- Figure 2.9. Photomicrographs of transverse sections through the retina of striped trumpeter larvae on day 8 post-hatching (4.94 mm SL, fixed), demonstrating A) the position of the optic nerve and a crystalline lens, B) differentiated retinal layers and single cone photoreceptors, C) the retractor lentis muscle and the embryonic fissure ventral to the lens, and D) on day 21 post-hatching (6.95 mm SL, fixed) showing the extent of distortion in the ventral retina due to the embryonic fissure. All figures are orientated with dorsal upwards. Abbreviations: cn, cone nuclei; ef, embryonic fissure; g, ganglion cell layer, gm, germinal cell margin; h, horizontal cell; inl, inner nuclear layer; ipl, inner plexiform layer; l, lens; onl, outer nuclear layer; os, outer segment layer; pe, pigment epithelium; rlm, retractor lentis muscle. Scale bar in A) 50 μ m, B)&C) 20 μ m, and D) 100 μ m.41

- Figure 2.10. Photomicrographs of transverse sections through regions of the retina of striped trumpeter larvae on day 14 post-hatching (6.38 mm SL, fixed) A) dorsal and B) ventral, on day 21 post-hatching (6.95 mm SL, fixed) C) dorsal and D) ventral, and E) on day 26 post-hatching (9.08 mm SL, live) dorsal. Figures A) to D) are orientated with sclerad to the right, and E) is sclerad upwards. Presumptive rod nuclei are indicated by *, and presumptive double cones by arrowheads. Abbreviations: inl, inner nuclear layer; ipl, inner plexiform layer; onl, outer nuclear layer; opl, outer plexiform layer; os, outer segment layer; pe, pigment epithelium. Scale bars are 20 μ m.43
- Figure 2.11. Photomicrographs of sagittal sections through the eye of a 5 day old larva (5.15 mm SL, live), showing A) advanced pigmentation and retinal layer development in the dorso-temporal retina and an *area temporalis* (arrowhead) associated with a bulge in the outer segment (os) and pigment epithelial (pe) layers, and B) a magnified view of the *area temporalis*. Abbreviations: inl, inner nuclear layer; ipl, inner plexiform layer; onl, outer nuclear layer. Scale bars A) 100 μ m and B) 20 μ m.44
- Figure 2.12. Photomicrographs of transverse sections through photoreceptor ellipsoids and outer segments in the retinæ of striped trumpeter larvae on A) day 8 post-hatching (4.94 mm SL, fixed) revealing an almost linear arrangement of single cones, and B) day 26 post-hatching (6.31 mm SL, fixed) revealing a square mosaic in the dorso-temporal retina. The position of double cones (D) relative to the central single cone (S) is marked in the inset in B). Scale bars are 20 μ m.45
- Figure 2.13. Eye and lens diameters of striped trumpeter larvae with increasing age. Measurements were made in the dorso-ventral plane in transverse histological sections. Values are from individual fish.46
- Figure 3.1. A diagrammatic lateral view of the eye of a striped trumpeter larva (large circle) indicating the division of the retina into nine regions (dorso-nasal, nasal, ventro-nasal, dorsal, fundal, ventral, dorso-temporal, temporal, and ventro-temporal) examined for photoreceptor and neural cell density and retinal layer thickness. The small dashed circle indicates the position of the lens and the dashed line denotes the position of embryonic fissure insertion.69
- Figure 3.2. Diagrams of single frames from a feeding sequence of a striped trumpeter larva. Video analysis measurements were made in the frame (A) immediately before reacting to the rotifer prey, where the distance between the rotifer and the larva's rostral tip is the reactive distance (RD), and the angle between the forward direction of the larva and the rotifer position is the reactive angle (RA), and (B) after the larva has re-oriented to the prey detected in "A" and immediately before striking at the rotifer, where the distance between the larva's rostral tip and the rotifer is the pre-strike distance (PS). Scale bar indicates 1mm.73

- Figure 3.3. Changes in photoreceptor densities in the retinae of larval striped trumpeter, *Latris lineata*, with larval age. Linear density of photoreceptors in larvae observed by transverse sections (closed circles) and sagittal sections (closed triangles), and angular density of photoreceptors in transverse sections (open circles) and sagittal sections (open triangles). A) Cones and B) presumptive rod photoreceptors. Data points are pooled from replicate counts in different regions of the retina and from the larvae examined on each day. Values are mean \pm SE, $n = 5$ to 37 (between 1 and 3 larvae per day, and 5 to 17 counts per larva, where count number is dependent upon eye size and distortion in retinal regions).77
- Figure 3.4. Minimal separable angles (MSA) and light path lengths (LP) in different regions of the retina in larval striped trumpeter, *Latris lineata*, determined from transverse sections. Circles are drawn proportional to eye diameter at each age. Top value in bold font in each region is MSA ($^{\circ}$), bottom value in bold font is LP (μm), values are mean (SE). Different letters, a, b, and c denote significant differences ($P=0.05$) between light path lengths in different regions within each day. A) Day 8, standard length fixed (SL) = $4.81 \pm 0.07\text{mm}$, eye diameter (ED) = $311 \pm 8 \mu\text{m}$ (values from 3 fish), B) Day 14, SL = $6.21 \pm 0.17\text{mm}$, ED = $406 \pm 50 \mu\text{m}$ (values from 2 fish), C) Day 19, SL = 7.15mm , ED = $532 \mu\text{m}$ (values from 1 fish), and D) Day 21, SL = 6.95mm , ED = $562 \mu\text{m}$ (values from 1 fish). Abbreviations D, dorsal; N, nasal; T, temporal; V, ventral. n.d. indicates regions where values were not determined due to the presence of the embryonic fissure. 79
- Figure 3.5. Minimal separable angles (MSA) and light path lengths (LP) in different regions of the retina in larval striped trumpeter, *Latris lineata*, determined from sagittal sections. Circles are drawn proportional to eye diameter at each age. Top value in bold font in each region is MSA ($^{\circ}$), bottom value in bold font is LP (μm), values are individual measures or mean (SE) from a single fish on each day. A) Day 7, standard length live (SL) = 5.46mm , eye diameter (ED) = $288 \mu\text{m}$, B) Day 12, SL = 6.52mm , ED = $369 \mu\text{m}$, C) Day 16, SL = 7.23mm , ED = $413 \mu\text{m}$, and D) Day 26, SL = 9.08mm , ED = $594 \mu\text{m}$. Abbreviations D, dorsal; N, nasal; T, temporal; V, ventral. n.d. indicates regions where values were not determined due to the presence of the embryonic fissure or regions not represented in the sagittal sections.80
- Figure 3.6. Relative thickness of layers in the (A) dorsal, (B) medial, and (C) ventral retina of larval striped trumpeter during ontogeny measured from transverse sections. Closed circles - ganglion cell layers, GCL; open circles - inner plexiform layer, IPL; closed triangles - inner nuclear layer, INL; open triangles - outer plexiform and outer nuclear layers, OPL+ONL; and closed squares - pigment epithelium and photoreceptor outer segment layers, PE+OS (= light path length). Values are mean \pm SE from between 1 and 3 fish per day, with 3 to 9 replicates per dorsal, medial, and ventral area per fish.81

Figure 3.7. Reactive distances and angles: the position of rotifer prey relative to striped trumpeter larvae when the larvae first reacted to them on (A) day 13 post-hatching ($n = 17$), and (B) day 17 post-hatching ($n = 34$). The origin indicates the rostral tip of each larva. Larval standard lengths were $5.24 \pm 0.08\text{mm}$ on day 13 and $5.43 \pm 0.07\text{mm}$ on day 17 (mean \pm SE, $n = 10$). Each data point is from one feeding sequence from one fish, and different symbols indicate the final outcome of that feeding sequence: closed circle, prey caught; open circle, prey missed; closed triangle, larva turned away before strike; open triangle, strike outcome uncertain. 83

Figure 3.8. Frequency distribution of reactive angles (A&B) and reactive distances (C&D) of striped trumpeter larvae feeding on rotifers on day 13 (A&C; $n = 17$) and day 17 (B&D; $n = 34$) post-hatching. 85

Figure 3.9. The horizontal visual field of striped trumpeter larvae on day 13 (black fill) and day 17 (striped fill) post-hatching, determined from maximum reactive distances in each 10° division of reactive angle from pooled left and right-hand-side reactions to rotifer prey. Nine feeding sequences contributed maximum reactive distances on day 13, and ten sequences on day 17. 85

Figure 3.10. Improvement of theoretically determined visual acuity (minimum separable angle) in striped trumpeter larvae with ontogeny (transverse sections – closed circles, sagittal sections – closed upward triangles), compared with ranges of functional visual angles derived from feeding behaviour and calculated with maximum reactive distances (MaxRD), pre-strike distances (PS) and rotifer dimensions ($302\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ length and $155\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ width). 86

Figure 4.1. Growth of larval striped trumpeter *Latris lineata* with age in degree-days in three cohorts used to assess the effects of light intensity and turbidity on feeding performance. Cohort 1 $n = 10$, cohorts 2 and 3 $n = 5$ except where * indicates $n = 20$ on experiment days. Values are means \pm SE. GW - greenwater culture, CW - clearwater culture. 113

Figure 4.2. Feeding response of striped trumpeter *Latris lineata* larvae in clearwater at four light intensities and in greenwater with a surface light intensity of $10\text{ }\mu\text{mol.s}^{-1}.\text{m}^{-2}$ and turbidity of 5.08 ± 0.31 NTU (nephelometric turbidity units) (10-GW), with increasing larval age, a) proportion of larvae feeding and b) feeding intensity. Experiment feeding duration was 1 hour. Means \pm SE ($n = 5$ replicates, 20 larvae per replicate). Different superscripts (a, b, c) within days indicate significant differences among light intensity treatments ($P < 0.05$). Horizontal bars indicate planned daily comparisons between $10\text{ }\mu\text{mol.s}^{-1}.\text{m}^{-2}$ clearwater and 10-GW, where * indicates a significant difference between means ($P < 0.05$). 115

Figure 4.3. Feeding response of striped trumpeter *Latris lineata* larvae reared in clearwater in a range of algal cell densities (turbidities shown in brackets as NTU - nephelometric turbidity units), with increasing larval age, a) proportion of larvae feeding and b) feeding intensity. Experiment feeding duration was 2 hours on days 8 and 15, and 30 minutes on day 23. Means \pm SE ($n = 5$ replicates, 20 larvae per replicate). Different superscripts (a, b) within days indicate significant differences among turbidity treatments ($P < 0.05$). 117

- Figure 4.4. Feeding response of striped trumpeter *Latris lineata* larvae reared in greenwater in a range of algal cell densities (turbidities shown in brackets as NTU - nephelometric turbidity units), with increasing larval age, a) proportion of larvae feeding and b) feeding intensity. Experiment feeding duration was 2 hours. Means \pm SE (n = 5 replicates, 20 larvae per replicate). Different superscripts (a, b) within days indicate significant differences among means ($P < 0.05$). 118
- Figure 4.5. Changes in a) downwelling and b) upwelling light intensity with depth in different densities of the alga *Tetraselmis suecica*. * indicate cell densities similar to those used in larval feeding trials. 120
- Figure 5.1. Increase in length of striped trumpeter (*Latris lineata*) larvae cultured to examine cranium and jaw development (mean \pm SE). Closed squares, standard length (SL) live larvae from cohort 1, n = 10, except day 30 post-hatching where n = 5. Open squares, total length (TL) neutral buffered formalin fixed larvae from cohort 1, n = 3-7. Open triangle, TL neutral buffered formalin fixed larvae from cohort 2, n = 2. Abbreviations: Artemia, period of *Artemia* addition; ff, first-feeding; flex, start of flexion; OD, end of oil drop absorption; rotifers, period of rotifer addition; SB, swimbladder inflation. 140
- Figure 5.2. *Camera lucida* drawings of the lateral view of cartilages (stippled) and bones (non-stippled) of the cranial skeleton of cleared and stained cultured striped trumpeter larvae, normal development on days 17 (a), 22 (b), 30 (c), and 44 (d), and jaw malformation evident on days 44 (e), 48 (f), and 62 (g) post-hatching, and ventral view of the anterior lower jaw (h) of day 62 larva drawn in (g). Sclerotic is omitted from b-g. Abbreviations: An, angular; Ac, asymmetric cartilage; aTr, anterior remnants of trabecula; Auc, auditory capsule; Bas, basisphenoid; Bo, Basisoccipital; Br, branchiostegal ray; Ca, extraneous cartilage ventral to symphyseal joint; Ch, ceratohyal; Ch-Eh, ceratohyal-epihyal cartilage; De, dentary; Ecp, ectopterygoid; Eeb, ectethmoid bar; Eh, epihyal; Enp, endopterygoid; Et, epiphysial tectum; Etp, ethmoid plate; Ex, exoccipital; Fr, frontal; Gh, glossohyal; gSyj, gap at symphyseal joint; Hh, hypohyal; Hm, hyomandibular; Hm-Sy, hyomandibular-symplectic cartilage; Ih, interhyal; Le, lateral ethmoid; Ma, maxilla; Mc, Meckel's cartilage; Mp, Meckel's dorsal process; Mt, medial tectum (*taenia tecta medialis*); Oc, olfactory capsule; Pal, palatine; Pc, parachordal cartilage; Pm, premaxilla; Ps, parasphenoid; pTr, posterior remnants of trabecula; Qp, quadrate posterior process; Qu, quadrate; Ra, retroarticular; Rc, rostral cartilage; Scl, sclerotic; Sob, supraorbital; Sy, symplectic; Tr, trabecula; Vo, vomer. Scale bars are 200 μ m. Larvae drawn are representative of each age, in which mean SL \pm SE and sample number (n) were a) 6.9 ± 0.1 mm (n = 7), b) 7.5 ± 0.1 mm (n = 5), c) 7.9 ± 0.5 mm (n = 5), d) 9.3 mm, e) 11.2 ± 0.8 mm (n = 3), f) 11.4 ± 0.6 mm (n = 10), and g) & h) 15.1 ± 1.3 mm (n = 2). 144

Figure 5.3. X-radiographs of the lateral view of the cranial skeleton of striped trumpeter. a) a normal wild caught adult (47.0 cm FL, 2105 g), b) a relatively normal cultured juvenile (31.0 cm FL, 470 g), c) a malformed cultured juvenile (41.5 cm FL, 950 g), and d) a malformed cultured juvenile (fixed head with eyes removed) (32.0 cm FL, 390 g). Abbreviations: An, angular; bEtp, ethmoid plate bent ventrally; De, dentary; eMa, enlarged maxilla; Gh, glossohyal; gSyj, abnormal gap between adjacent dentary bones at the symphysial joint; Pm, premaxilla; Syj, symphysial joint. Scale bar is 2 cm.	147
Figure 5.4. X-radiographs of the dorsal (a-d) and frontal (e-h) view of the anterior tip of the lower jaw dissected from four malformed, cultured striped trumpeter juveniles. Abbreviations: An, angular; dDe, dentary with abnormal increase in dorso-ventral depth; De, dentary; gSyj, abnormal gap between adjacent dentary bones at the symphysial joint; Syj, symphysial joint. Scale bar is 2 cm.	148
Figure A2.1. Spectral irradiance from a range of light sources measured with a Li-Cor LI-1800 portable spectroradiometer.	186