# Long-term patterns in estuarine fish growth across two climatically

| 2  | divergent regions  |
|----|--|
| 3  |  |
| 4  | Zoë A. Doubleday <sup>a*</sup> , Christopher Izzo <sup>a</sup> , James A. Haddy <sup>b</sup> , Jeremy M. Lyle <sup>c</sup> , Qifeng Ye <sup>d</sup> , Bronwyn M. |
| 5  | Gillanders <sup>a</sup>  |
| 6  |  |
| 7  | <sup>a</sup> Southern Seas Ecology Laboratories and The Environment Institute, School of Biological Sciences,  |
| 8  | The University of Adelaide, South Australia, 5005  |
| 9  | <sup>b</sup> Fisheries and Aquaculture Centre, Institute for Marine and Antarctic Studies, University of   |
| 10 | Tasmania, Locked Bag 1370, Launceston, Tasmania  |
| 11 | <sup>c</sup> Fisheries and Aquaculture Centre, Institute for Marine and Antarctic Studies, University of   |
| 12 | Tasmania, Private Bag 49, Hobart, Tasmania, 7001   |
| 13 | <sup>d</sup> South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences), West Beach, South   |
| 14 | Australia, 5024  |
| 15 | *Corresponding author: <a href="mailto:zoe.doubleday@adelaide.edu.au">zoe.doubleday@adelaide.edu.au</a> , +61883131485   |
| 16 |  |
| 17 |  |
| 18 |  |
| 19 |  |
| 20 |  |
| 21 |  |
| 22 |  |
| 23 |  |
| 24 |  |
| 25 | Author Contributions: ZAD, CI and BMG conceived and designed the research; JAH, QY, and JML provided   |
| 26 | prepared field samples; ZAD collected and analysed the data and wrote the manuscript; all authors discussed  |
| 27 | results and commented on manuscript drafts.  |

#### Abstract

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

28

Long-term ecological datasets are vital for investigating how species respond to changes in their environment, yet, there is a critical lack of such datasets from aquatic systems. We developed otolith growth 'chronologies' to reconstruct the growth history of a temperate estuarine fish species (Acanthopagrus butcheri). Chronologies represented two regions in south-east Australia: South Australia, characterised by a relatively warm, dry climate, and Tasmania, characterised by a relatively cool, wet climate. Using a mixed modelling approach, we related inter-annual growth variation to air temperature, rainfall, freshwater inflow (South Australia only), and El Niño-Southern Oscillation events. Otolith chronologies provided a continuous record of growth over a 13 and 21 year period for fish from South Australia and Tasmania respectively. Even though fish from Tasmania were sourced across multiple estuaries they showed higher levels of growth synchronicity across years, and greater year-to-year growth variation, than fish from South Australia, which were sourced from a single, large estuary. Growth in Tasmanian fish declined markedly over the time period studied and was negatively correlated to temperature. In contrast, growth in South Australian fish was positively correlated to both temperature and rainfall. The stark contrast between the two regions suggests that Tasmanian black bream populations are more responsive to regional scale environmental variation and may be more vulnerable to global warming. This study highlights the importance of examining species response to climate change at the intra-specific level and further validates the emerging use of growth chronologies for generating long-term ecological data in aquatic systems.

48

49

**Key words:** climate change, growth history, otolith chronology, southeast Australia

50

51

52

53

54

#### Introduction

Somatic growth is a key driver of population productivity in aquatic systems and understanding what drives variation in growth rate is central to predicting how aquatic communities will change in the future (Audzijonyte et al. 2013; Rountrey et al. 2014). Long-term ecological datasets are vital for determining how species and populations respond to changes in various environmental parameters, however, such datasets in the aquatic environment are rare and can be expensive and logistically difficult to obtain via traditional observational approaches (Poloczanska et al. 2007; Richardson and Poloczanska 2008). Extensive pre-existing archives of calcified tissues, such as fish ear bones (otoliths), mollusc shells, and mammal teeth, represent an alternative, underutilised resource in which long-term ecologically-relevant data can be generated retrospectively. A key attribute of these tissues is that they typically grow incrementally relative to somatic growth. The analysis of annual growth increment patterns or 'growth chronologies' can thus be used to reconstruct continuous, annually-resolved growth histories of individuals and populations (e.g. Black et al. 2011; Hamilton et al. 2013; Kendall et al. 2010).

Fish are undoubtedly essential components of healthy, functioning aquatic environments, as well as being an important component of the human socio-economic system, contributing to global food security and the economy (Garcia and Rosenberg 2010) and providing a suite of ecosystem services vital for human welfare (Holmlund and Hammer 1999). To effectively manage and optimise fishery resources in the future, an understanding of what changes may occur to fish populations is required. Even small changes in fish growth and body size, for instance, can lead to significant changes in mortality, biomass and catch (Audzijonyte et al. 2013). There is a paucity of long-term growth data on fish, particularly in the Southern Hemisphere; nonetheless, a handful of Australian studies have pioneered the use of otolith growth chronologies to generate long-term growth records from archived otolith collections (Gillanders et al. 2012; Morrongiello et al. 2011; Morrongiello et al. 2014; Neuheimer et al. 2011; Rountrey et al. 2014; Thresher et al. 2007).

A variety of approaches have been used to develop growth chronologies (Morrongiello et al. 2012), with traditional dendrochronological time-series modelling, and more recently, mixed-effects modelling, the two most commonly adopted for fish-based research. A key advantage of mixed modelling is that it allows extrinsic (e.g. environmental conditions) and intrinsic (e.g. age) drivers of growth variation to be analysed simultaneously and effectively partitioned so that detailed comparisons and interactions among the two can be made; this provides a more comprehensive, biologically-relevant understanding of how fish respond to environmental variability (Morrongiello and Thresher 2015; Weisberg et al. 2010). Such novel analyses are not possible with the more established dendrochronological approach that is designed to maximise environmental-growth relationships though a series of detrending and standardisation steps (Morrongiello et al. 2012). Dendrochronological methods are also less statistically appropriate for analysing relatively short, decadal growth histories derived from shorter-lived, and typically commercially targeted, fish species.

Estuaries are productive and dynamic environments between marine and freshwater systems. They are highly heterogenous, both temporally and spatially, and can be influenced by a complex interplay of environmental drivers (Gillanders et al. 2011). Due to this complexity, many interacting environmental factors can influence the growth of estuarine species over a range of hierarchical levels (e.g. individual, population and species). Freshwater inflows, influenced by water abstraction and regulation, evapotranspiration, groundwater attributes and precipitation, are, however, considered a primary determinant of estuarine dynamics, and can influence salinity, water-column stratification, water quality, nutrients and primary productivity (Gillanders et al. 2011; Gillanders and Kingsford 2002; Statham 2012). These factors, in turn, can impact the phenology and physiology (i.e. growth) of estuarine species, as well as distribution and abundance (e.g. Jenkins et al. 2010; Morrongiello et al. 2014; Sakabe et al. 2011). The influence of temperature on estuarine environments has received less attention; however, it can directly affect the physiology of individuals (Morrongiello et al. 2014), as well as estuarine attributes such as water quality, nutrient cycling and salinity (Gillanders et al. 2011; Scavia et al. 2002).

Climate change is predicted to have a major impact on estuarine environments around the world, with current and forecasted changes to temperature and precipitation (Gillanders et al. 2011; Scavia et al. 2002; Statham 2012). Estuaries in temperate southern Australia are particularly vulnerable, with the region undergoing significant climatic change. Air temperature is warming faster than the global average and sea surface temperature is also increasing, particularly along the eastern coastline, which is a notable climate change 'hotspot' (Hobday and Pecl 2014; Lough and Hobday 2011). Although rainfall trends (used as proxies of freshwater inflow) are subject to high levels of inter-annual variability, declines are evident with effects exacerbated by increasing evaporation (Lough and Hobday 2011). Within this context we reconstructed the growth history of a commercially and recreationally important estuarine fish species, black bream (Acanthopagrus butcheri, Munro 1949), using otolith growth chronologies. The chronologies represented two climatically divergent regions in temperate southern Australia with the first characterised by a warmer, drier climate (South Australia), and the second characterised by a cooler, wetter climate (Tasmania). We used mixed-effect modelling to examine extrinsically-driven, inter-annual growth variation among the two regions and then determined if environmental predictors, representative of temperature and hydrological change in estuarine systems, drive growth variation in black bream.

## **Material and Methods**

Study species

Black bream is an estuarine-dependent sparid found throughout temperate southern Australia and constitutes valuable fisheries throughout its distributional range. Black bream live for at least 29 years (Morison et al. 1998) with age at maturity varying from 2 to 4 years (Sarre and Potter 1999). The species spawns multiple times over a prolonged period during the austral spring and summer; however, timing of spawning can vary among regions and years (Ferguson and Ye 2008; Haddy and Pankhurst 1998; Sakabe et al. 2011; Sarre and Potter 1999). Black bream is an euryhaline species and can tolerate fresh to hypersaline waters up to 60 ppt (Partridge and Jenkins 2002). It completes its entire life cycle within an estuarine system (Potter and Hyndes 1999), spawning in the upper reaches of estuaries. Larval

recruitment and settlement occurs within the parent estuary, therefore, mixing between populations is typically restricted to the movement of adults during flood events. Climate change impacts are largely unknown for the species, but a recent risk assessment on commercial fishery species in south-east Australia classified black bream as relatively high risk to climate change due to life history characteristics, habitat preference and potential sensitivity to changes in key climate change drivers (Pecl et al. 2014).

## Sample sites

Sectioned otoliths were obtained from archived collections representing two regions in temperate southeast Australia: the Murray River estuarine system in South Australia and the east coast of Tasmania
(represented by nine estuaries across a 230 km stretch of coastline) (Table 1, Fig 1). The Murray River
estuary region has a relatively dry, warm climate with a mean annual rainfall of 463 mm and a mean
daily temperature of 16°C. The Murray River estuary is the terminus of Australia's largest river, the
Murray–Darling, and is a large, complex, and highly modified system. It is separated by barrages from
the freshwater Lower Lakes (Bucater et al. 2013; Gillanders et al. 2011) and water abstraction from
upstream systems has reduced natural flow into the estuary by 80% (Ferguson et al. 2013). As a
consequence, to maintain connectivity with the sea the estuary opening has been dredged during severe
drought (from 2002 to 2010) and under low flow conditions since January 2015. In contrast, eastern
Tasmania has a relatively wet, cool climate with a mean annual rainfall ranging from 601 to 659 mm
across the region and a mean daily air temperature of 13 to 14°C. Estuaries along the east coast are
relatively unmodified and characteristically small and shallow with low tidal ranges and flushing rates
and include closed, seasonally closed and open systems (Table 1).

#### Annual growth estimation

Otoliths were sectioned through the core (primordium), and examined under a compound microscope (Leica DMLB) using transmitted light at 50x magnification. Each section was photographed with a digital camera (Leica DF320) and analysed using ImagePro Plus software (version 6.0). Annual growth increment counts were used to estimate fish age (*Age-at-capture*) (see Morison et al. 1998 for more

details), taking into account the width of the marginal increment, date-of-capture and time of increment formation. Widths between each increment were subsequently measured along a transect running from the core to edge of the otolith along the dorsal side of the *sulcus acusticus*, which provides a robust, temporally-resolved proxy of somatic growth (*Growth*) (see Fig S1). Each growth increment was assigned a calendar growth year (*Year*) and an age (*Age*) based on back calculation from year-of-capture and age-at-capture respectively. This latter step was automated in R (version 3.0.2) (R Development Core Team 2008) using the method developed by Weisberg et al. (2010). The growth year was defined as the 1<sup>st</sup> October to 30<sup>th</sup> September (e.g. 2006 = October 2006 to September 2007), based on a previous study on black bream that suggests that growth increments form in October (Elsdon and Gillanders 2006). The marginal increment and the first two years of growth were not measured, with the latter due to poor visualisation in the inner region of the otolith. Consequently, growth data represented the 3<sup>rd</sup> year of the fish's life onwards to the last full year of growth. Regionally, each growth year was represented by a minimum of five increment measurements (i.e. five individuals) with most years having more than 50 measurements (see Fig 2).

## Growth predictors

A range of predictor variables were selected to investigate sources and drivers of inter-annual growth variation (Table 2). Fixed intrinsic (biological) variables included *Age* and *Age-at-capture*, with the latter included to test for potential bias in the dataset associated with 'age selectivity' and to ensure that estimates of temporal growth variation were not influenced by certain phenotypes (i.e. longer-lived individuals) (Morrongiello et al. 2012; Morrongiello et al. 2014).

A range of fixed extrinsic (environmental) variables were included with regional and global scale influence (see Table 2 and Supporting Information for additional methods). Based on data availability, air temperature (*Temperature*) was used as a proxy for water temperature across both regions and rainfall (*Rainfall*) was used as a proxy of freshwater inflows in Tasmania (see Supporting Information and Fig S2). Freshwater flow (*Flow*) data were also included in the South Australian analysis as flow and rainfall were poorly correlated. The Southern Oscillation Index (*SOI*) was included as a measure of

El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) events, with negative values (El Niño episodes) generally corresponding to warmer sea surface temperatures and drier conditions in the region, and vice versa for positive values (La Niña episodes) (Holbrook et al. 2009). Growth response to environmental predictor variables was examined across three biologically-relevant time periods: annual growth year (October to September), spawning season (August to December) (Ferguson and Ye 2008; Sakabe et al. 2011), and season when maximum otolith growth occurs, hereafter termed 'summer' (December to February) (Elsdon and Gillanders 2006). However, if variables were highly correlated among time periods (within a predictor) (Pearson's correlation = 0.9 to 1), they were removed from analysis. Rainfall, flow (South Australia), air temperature and SOI predictors were not highly correlated to each other (Pearson's correlation = < 0.7) and all showed inter-annual variation, with temperature (growth year and spawning season) showing temporal linear trends (Fig S3).

Three random effect predictors were included in the analysis, FishID, Year and Year Class, which were seen as a random sample from all possible fish, years, and year classes respectively. Fitting a random intercept for FishID generated a correlation among increment measurements (Growth) within an individual, allowing each individual to have above or below average growth relative to the model's intercept (average growth) (Morrongiello and Thresher 2015). This accounts for the inherent repeated increment measures within the growth data and the likelihood that increment measures are more likely correlated within than among individuals (Morrongiello et al. 2011). Similarly, including a random intercept for Year and Year Class generated a correlation among increments formed in the same year and a correlation among increments from fish born in the same year respectively. The former predictor is particularly relevant to sclerochronological analyses as it provides an estimate of above or below average growth for a given calendar year after the effects of intrinsic 'nuisance' variables (e.g. Age and Age-at-capture) are accounted for (Morrongiello and Thresher 2015). A random Age slope for each individual (Age|FishID) was also included as it allows each individual to have unique  $Growth \sim Age$ relationships and is akin to the 'dendrochronological method' of detrending age-related trends for each individual prior to analysis (Morrongiello and Thresher 2015; Morrongiello et al. 2014). For Tasmania, FishID was nested within Estuary to account for potential growth variation among estuaries.

Mixed modelling

Inter-annual variation in *Growth* was analysed separately for each region using a two stage process (Morrongiello and Thresher 2015; Morrongiello et al. 2014). All mixed modelling analyses were performed using the *lme4* (Bates et al. 2013) and *MuMIn* (Barton 2013) packages in R (version 3.0.2) (R Development Core Team 2008). *Growth*, *Age* and *Age-at-capture* were natural log-transformed to meet model assumptions and all fixed effect variables were mean-centred to facilitate model convergence and interpretation of random slopes (Morrongiello et al. 2014).

The first stage of analysis involved building a base set of linear mixed models that included a range of random effect and fixed intrinsic effect structures. The first base model (1) considered just a random intercept for *FishID* and the second base model (2) considered *FishID* and a random *Age* slope for *FishID*, with additional Tasmanian models including *FishID* nested within *Estuary* (models 3 and 4) (Table 3). These models were fitted using restricted maximum likelihood estimation (REML) (Zuur et al. 2009) and ranked using Akaike's Information Criterion corrected for small sample sizes (AIC<sub>C</sub>) rescaled as the difference between the model with the lowest AIC<sub>C</sub> (or optimal model) and each other model (ΔAIC<sub>C</sub>) (Burnham and Anderson 2004). Random intercepts for *Year* and *Year Class*, and a combination of both, were added individually to the optimal model and then fitted and ranked as above (Table 3). At this stage all models included the maximum intrinsic fixed effect structure (*Age* and *Age-at-capture*) (Zuur et al. 2009). To determine if *Age-at-capture* improved model fit the optimal random effects model was re-analysed with and without the *Age-at-capture* term (Table 3). These models were initially fitted using maximum likelihood estimation (ML) with the best ranked model refitted with REML to produce unbiased parameter estimates (Zuur et al. 2009).

To investigate how environmental variables influence *Growth*, the second stage of the analysis involved extending the optimal base model for each region to include different environmental covariates, which were fitted individually (Table 2). Additional models were also explored that included the two highest ranked environmental covariates in combination. It was deemed that there was substantial support for

a model if the difference in  $\Delta AIC_C$  between the highest and second highest ranked model was < 2 (Burnham and Anderson 2004). The ratio of evidence for the highest ranked 'environmental model' against the base model was also calculated by dividing the  $AIC_C$  weight (wAIC<sub>C</sub>) of the environmental model by the wAIC<sub>C</sub> of the optimal base model. *Year*, as a fixed effect, was also added to the optimal model to test for linear and curvilinear temporal growth trends (Morrongiello and Thresher 2015). As above, these models were initially fitted using ML, with the best ranked model refitted with REML. Age-dependent, environmental effects on growth were also initially explored for the highest ranked covariates, but were found to be negligent and, therefore, not presented.

Furthermore, to investigate the level of correlation or temporal synchrony among growth increments from individuals living in the same *Year* and born in the same *Year Class*, an intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) was calculated using the variance estimates from models 4a and 4b (Tasmania) and models 2a and 2b (South Australia) (Table 3) (Morrongiello and Thresher 2015). To examine temporal patterns in growth, *Year* random effect plots were generated by extracting best linear unbiased predictors (BLUPs) from models 4a and 2a. Predicted effects of the most influential fixed effect predictors on growth were also estimated using the *effects* (Fox 2003) package in R.

#### Results

Sources of growth variation

Otolith growth chronologies generated a record of black bream growth over a 13 (1997 to 2009) and 21 (1988 to 2008) year period for South Australia and Tasmania respectively. Calculation of AIC<sub>c</sub> for the initial base models showed that the incorporation of a random Age slope for FishID was overwhelmingly supported in both Tasmanian and South Australian datasets, indicating that  $Growth \sim Age$  relationships varied among individuals (Tables S1, S2). The addition of Estuary in the Tasmanian base models improved model fit, indicating that growth trends varied among estuaries (Table S1). For both regions, the random effects model was significantly improved through the addition of Year, but not Year Class (Tables S1, S2). Comparison of variance components for random effects among the

two regions show that Age/FishID explained a relatively high proportion of growth variance in South Australia and Year explained a relatively high proportion of variance in Tasmania, although Tasmania also had a higher level of unexplained variance (Residual) (Table 4). For Tasmania, Year explained a higher proportion of the variance than Estuary. Furthermore, among-individual correlation of growth increments for a given Year and Year Class was significantly higher in Tasmania than South Australia, with Year having significantly higher levels of growth synchrony than Year Class overall (ICC for Tasmania: 0.138 [Year], 0.016 [Year Class]; ICC for South Australia: 0.030 [Year], 0.003 [Year] Class]).

Age, as anticipated, had a significant negative influence on growth for both regions (Table 5). Age-at-capture also had a negative, though much weaker, influence on growth in both regions, which suggests that fast growers were more likely to be captured at a relatively young age (Table S3, Table 5).

Temporal patterns in growth variation

Year random effect plots revealed significant long-term inter-annual variation in fish growth. This was particularly evident for Tasmania, with strong growth years in 1993/1994 and 1997/1998, followed by an overall marked decline in growth from 2000 to 2008 (Fig 3). Years with especially poor growth were 1988, 2003, 2005 and 2008. South Australia also showed inter-annual variability through time, but at a lower magnitude than Tasmania (Fig 3). There were relatively good growth years in 2004/2005, and relatively poor growth years in 2001 and 2006/2007. The addition of Year as a fixed effect to the optimal base model markedly improved model fit for Tasmania, but not South Australia (Table S4). The Growth ~ Year relationship was negative and curvilinear for Tasmanian fish, with a predicted decline in growth over the chronology time series of 1% year-1 (Table 5, Fig 4A).

Attributing growth variation to environmental variation

The addition of fixed effect environmental predictors to the optimal base models for Tasmania and South Australia revealed significant correlative relationships to growth. For Tasmania, including *Temperature (spawning season)* improved model fit relative to the base model, albeit weakly, and was

1.3 times more likely to explain growth variation (Table S5). *Temperature (spawning season)* was negatively correlated to growth, with a predicted effect on growth rate of -7.0% °C<sup>-1</sup> (within the environmental range experienced by the fish) (Fig 4B, Tables 5, 6). For South Australia, including both *Rainfall (summer)* and *Temperature (spawning season)* improved model fit relative to the optimal base model (Tables S6, S7), with the ratio of evidence indicating that it was 2.6 times more likely to explain growth. *Temperature (spawning season)* and *Rainfall (summer)* were both positively correlated to growth, with a predicted effect on growth rate of 3.7% °C<sup>-1</sup> and 6.0% mm<sup>-1</sup> respectively (Fig 4C,D; Tables 5, 6).

#### Discussion

Otolith growth chronologies provided a continuous, reconstructed record of black bream growth over a 13 and 21 year period in South Australia and Tasmania respectively. Temporal growth variation across years and sources and drivers of such variation were markedly different between the two regions. Notably, the level of temporal growth synchrony among Tasmanian fish, which were sampled across nine estuaries, was four times higher than South Australian fish, which were sampled from a single estuary. Furthermore, in Tasmania, a much higher proportion of growth variation was attributed to year-to-year fluctuations rather than differences among estuaries. This is surprising given that estuarine black bream populations are largely independent from one another, with only low levels of gene flow occurring among proximate estuaries (Burridge et al. 2004; Burridge and Versace 2007). These findings suggest that regional climatic variation exerts a greater influence on black bream growth than population-level, estuary-scale factors such as density-dependent effects, population genotype, and geomorphological and land use attributes of the estuary and catchment, with the latter known to vary among Tasmanian estuaries (Edgar et al. 1999, Table 1).

For South Australian fish, a much higher proportion of growth variation was attributed to individual variation in growth-age relationships rather than year. Although the South Australian growth chronology was shorter, differences in the patterns and magnitude of growth variation were still

apparent in years overlapping with Tasmania (1998 – 2009). Additionally, the number of fish sampled from the Murray River estuary was greater than the number of fish sampled from any one estuary in Tasmania. Yet, the Murray River estuary is a relatively large and physically complex system (see Table 1), which may explain differences in growth synchronicity among the two regions. Furthermore, an otolith chemistry study on black bream demonstrated the presence of partial migration (i.e. populations consist of both resident and migratory individuals) within the Murray River estuary, with each migratory contingent having different growth histories (Gillanders et al. in press). Although, the presence of partial migration has not been investigated in Tasmanian populations, this suggests that South Australian populations may be exposed to a broader range of environmental conditions throughout their life cycle. Differences in fishing pressure may also be driving growth differences among the two regions. In Tasmania, black bream are fished recreationally, with a high proportion of catch-and-release (Lyle et al. 2009), while in the Murray River estuary, they are fished both commercially and recreationally with evidence of fishing-induced age truncation (Ferguson et al. 2013). Our results suggest that age-at-capture influenced temporal growth variation, particularly in South Australia, with younger fish having relatively faster growth. Therefore, it is plausible that the depletion of longer-lived, potentially slower-growing, individuals from the South Australian population has affected long-term growth patterns.

Nonetheless, the level of growth synchrony even among Tasmanian black bream was still relatively low (0.13) compared to other fish species, including red snapper (*Lutjanus campechanus*; 0.54), gray snapper (*Lutjanus griseus*; 0.76) (Black et al. 2011), rock flathead (*Platycephalus laevigatus*; 0.64), longhead flathead (*Leviprora inops*; 0.62) (Coulson et al. 2014), and parore (*Girella tricuspidata*; 0.51) (Gillanders et al. 2012), all of which are marine. Movement of individuals across dynamic, heterogeneous estuarine environments, even within small enclosed systems, may explain the relatively low levels of temporal synchronicity among individuals. Yet, low levels of growth synchronicity have also been observed in western blue groper (*Achoerodus gouldii*, 0.11), a site-attached marine reef fish (Rountrey et al. 2014), suggesting that other factors, aside from environmental heterogeneity, may drive high individual growth variation. It is interesting to note, also, that all of the aforementioned studies are

based on a 'dendrochronological', rather than a mixed modelling, approach, which is designed to maximise environmental-growth relationships and reduce ecological 'noise' and typically entails sampling the longest-lived individuals from a population. Thus, synchronicity coefficients derived from mixed modelling approaches may be more ecologically relevant.

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

363

364

365

366

Growth variation in Tasmanian black bream showed a negative, albeit weak, correlation with air temperature, which is also reflected in the marked decline in growth over the chronology time series (1988 to 2008), with growth variation in South Australian showing a positive correlation. These correlative relationships are unexpected given that Tasmania represents the cooler, southern range edge for black bream. In contrast, growth of banded morwong (Cheilodactylus spectabilis), a marine reef fish, was positively correlated to temperature in the middle and southern end of the species range (southeast Australia) and negatively correlated to growth in the extreme northern edge of the species range (northern New Zealand) (Neuheimer et al. 2011). Similar correlative relationships with temperature have also been observed in tiger flathead (Platycephalus richardsoni), a demersal marine fish also native to south-east Australia (Morrongiello and Thresher 2015). These predictable patterns coincide with the theorised and known physiological effects of temperature increase on ectotherms, whereby growth increases with increasing temperature to a point where metabolic demand can no longer be sustained and growth declines (Neuheimer et al. 2011; Pörtner and Farrell 2008). One explanation for our contrasting result in black bream is intra-specific variation in thermal tolerance, where the pejus temperature (the point at which further temperature increases result in decreased growth) has been already reached for Tasmanian fish, but not South Australian fish. Intra-specific variation in thermal tolerance has been observed in estuarine fish species, including Australian barramundi (Lates calcarifer) and North American killifish (Fundulus heteroclitus), whereby lower latitude subpopulations had higher critical thermal maxima than their higher latitude counterparts (Fangue et al. 2006; Newton et al. 2010). Localised thermal adaptation is plausible for black bream particularly given their restricted movement patterns, tendency to form distinct genetic sub-populations, and dependence on estuarine systems, however, physiological tests should be conducted to further corroborate this hypothesis. An alternative explanation is that temperature increase may lead to a more prolonged spawning season, resulting in greater investment in reproduction than somatic growth. As temperature averaged across the spawning season was more strongly associated with growth than the other two time periods, it also suggests that growth is more responsive to temperature during this time. Acanthopagrus hybrid complexes in southern New South Wales, composed of black bream and yellowfin bream (Acanthopagrus australis), also appear to have a more prolonged spawning season (August to January) relative to higher-latitude hybrid and pure black bream populations (Ochwada-Doyle et al. 2012). Although the reason for this is unknown, it may relate to geographical differences in temperature, which plays an important role in the onset and cessation of spawning in the species (Haddy JA, unpublished data). Physiological impacts aside, temperature may also influence estuarine attributes, such as water quality and salinity stratification, as well as population-level (e.g. density dependent effects) and ecosystem-level processes (e.g. trophic interactions) (Pörtner and Peck 2010), which, in turn, may indirectly impact fish growth. Regardless of the underlying mechanisms, however, a decline in somatic growth as a consequence of temperature increase may have significant implications for a species' ability to persist in the face of global warming and may result in localised shifts in distribution, abundance and productivity (Sorte et al. 2011). As air temperature and sea surface temperature are both currently increasing at a relatively rapid rate in eastern Tasmania (Lough and Hobday 2011), black bream may be more vulnerable to climate change in the region.

Summer rainfall was also positively correlated to growth in South Australian fish, although freshwater inflow, surprisingly, was poorly related to growth. The disconnect between rainfall and flow in the Murray River estuary is the likely product of water abstraction and regulation, as well as prolonged drought, with the growth chronology encompassing the worst dry period (the Millennium Drought, 2001 – 2009) ever recorded in southern Australia (van Dijk et al. 2013). In a drought-stressed, hypersaline system where inflow is negligible, rainfall could have a positive influence on growth by reducing salinity and thus osmotic stress to the fish. Although black bream is tolerant of a wide range of salinities (Partridge and Jenkins 2002), increased osmoregulation can affect basal metabolic rate and energy requirements (Gillanders et al. 2011). This result further supports the widely held belief that freshwater inflows are a key driver of biological processes in estuarine systems (Gillanders et al. 2011;

Morrongiello et al. 2014), but, conversely, the results also indicate that temperature is an important driver. This aligns with another otolith chronology study that showed that temperature was a dominant driver of growth in estuary perch (*Percalates colonorum*), an estuarine-dependent species native to south-east Australia (Morrongiello et al. 2014). It should be noted that the environmental predictors explored herein only explained a small proportion of the growth variation observed, and that other environmental and biological factors may be influencing growth to a greater extent. Yet, given the complex and dynamic nature of estuarine environments, it is likely that a synergistic interplay of several factors is driving growth; such as in South Australia, for example, whereby temperature and rainfall combined explained more growth variation than just rainfall or temperature alone.

Otolith growth chronologies do not reflect absolute changes in somatic growth of individual fish, but rather relative changes in inter-annual rates of growth averaged across a population (i.e. growth anomalies) (Black et al. 2013). The significant otolith growth trends observed in Tasmanian bream particularly (e.g. - 1% year<sup>-1</sup>), however, should be observable at a somatic scale relevant to ecological processes and fisheries management (see also Morrongiello and Thresher 2015 for comparison). Furthermore, as the first two years of growth were not included in our analysis, growth trends, in reality, may be even more pronounced as early life history stages are typically more responsive to the environment. One otolith chronology study has also directly related otolith size to fish size in western blue groper, with modelled predictions suggesting that a 10% increase in otolith size would result in a 5% increase in somatic growth (Rountrey et al. 2014). Although these changes may appear small, seemingly minor changes in fish growth can have disproportionately large ramifications. For instance, a recent modelling study on five marine fish species in south-east Australia predicted that a 4% decline in length-at-age over 50 years would result in a 1 to 35% decline in biomass (Audzijonyte et al. 2013).

This study further validates the use of calcified tissues as valuable tools for retrospectively generating long-term ecologically-relevant datasets in aquatic systems, which would otherwise be logistically difficult and costly to produce. The comparison of growth patterns among two geographically and climatically distinct regions is inherently confounded due to differences in a range of other factors, such

as estuary characteristics, habitat modification, fishing pressure and sampling regimes; yet, with careful model selection and robust statistical analyses, valuable insights into the underlying drivers of growth variation at an intra-specific level can still be gleaned. Overall, the results showed that fish from one region were more responsive to regional-scale changes in the environment than the other, and that the environmental variables examined influenced growth in different ways. This highlights the importance of considering how populations respond to environmental change at the intra-specific level to make more accurate predictions of how they may change in the future.

454

455

456

457

458

459

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

## Acknowledgements

- We would like to thank Ryuji Sakabe, David Fleer and David Short for providing otolith samples and associated data, Vidya Kare for assisting with otolith preparation, and John Morrongiello and Gretchen Grammer for their advice on otolith chronology development and mixed modelling. This research was funded by an ARC Discovery grant (DP110100716) and Future Fellowship (FT100100767) awarded to
- 460 B.M.G.

461

462

463

#### **Statement of Animal Rights**

All applicable institutional guidelines for the care and use of animals were followed.

464

465

466

#### **Conflict of Interest**

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

467

468

# References

- 469 Audzijonyte A, Kuparinen A, Gorton R, Fulton EA (2013) Ecological consequences of body size 470 decline in harvested fish species: positive feedback loops in trophic interactions amplify human 471 impact. Biol Letters 9:20121103
- Barton K (2013) MuMIn: Multi-model inference. R package version 1.9.13. <a href="http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=MuMIn">http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=MuMIn</a>.
- Bates D, Maechler M, Bolker B, Walker S (2013) lme4: Linear mixed-effects models using Eigen and s4. The R Foundation for Statistical Computing
- Black BA, Allman RJ, Schroeder ID, Schirripa MJ (2011) Multidecadal otolith growth histories for red and gray snapper (*Lutjanus* spp.) in the northern Gulf of Mexico, USA. Fish Oceanogr 20:347-356

- Black BA, Matta ME, Helser TE, Wilderbuer TK (2013) Otolith biochronologies as multidecadal indicators of body size anomalies in yellowfin sole (*Limanda aspera*). Fish Oceanogr
- Bucater LB, Livore JP, Noell CJ, Ye Q (2013) Temporal variation of larval fish assemblages of the Murray Mouth in prolonged drought conditions. Mar Freshw Res 64:932-937
- Burnham KP, Anderson DR (2004) Multimodel inference: understanding AIC and BIC in model selection. Sociol Methods Res 33:261-304

- Burridge CP, Hurt AC, Farrington LW, Coutin PC, Austin CM (2004) Stepping stone gene flow in an estuarine-dwelling sparid from south-east Australia. J Fish Biol 64:805-819
- Burridge CP, Versace VL (2007) Population genetic structuring in *Acanthopagrus butcheri* (Pisces: Sparidae): does low gene flow among estuaries apply to both sexes? Mar Biotechnol 9:33-44
- Coulson P, Black B, Potter I, Hall N (2014) Sclerochronological studies reveal that patterns of otolith growth of adults of two co-occurring species of Platycephalidae are synchronised by water temperature variations. Mar Biol 161:383-393
- Edgar GJ, Barrett NS, Graddon DJ (1999) A classification of Tasmanian estuaries and assessment of their conservation significance using ecological and physical attributes, population and land use. Tasmanian Aquaculture and Fisheries Institute, University of Tasmania, Hobart
- Elsdon TS, Gillanders BM (2006) Identifying migratory contingents of fish by combining otolith Sr:Ca with temporal collections of ambient Sr:Ca concentrations. J Fish Biol 69:643-657
- Fangue NA, Hofmeister M, Schulte PM (2006) Intraspecific variation in thermal tolerance and heat shock protein gene expression in common killifish, *Fundulus heteroclitus*. J Exp Biol 209:2859-2872
- Ferguson G, Ward T, Ye Q, Geddes M, Gillanders B (2013) Impacts of drought, flow regime, and fishing on the fish assemblage in southern Australia's largest temperate estuary. Estuaries and Coasts 36:737-753
- Ferguson G, Ye Q (2008) Black bream (*Acanthopagrus butcheri*) stock assessment report for PIRSA. In, South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences), Adelaide, F2008/000810-1. SARDI Research Report Series No. 310
- Fox J (2003) Effect displays in R for generalised linear models. Journal of Statistical Software 8:1-27
- Garcia SM, Rosenberg AA (2010) Food security and marine capture fisheries: characteristics, trends, drivers and future perspectives. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B 365:2869-2880
- Gillanders BM, Black BA, Meekan MG, Morrison MA (2012) Climatic effects on the growth of a termperate reef fish from the Southern Hemisphere: a biochronological approach. Mar Biol 159:1327-1333
- Gillanders BM, Elsdon TS, Halliday IA, Jenkins GP, Robins JB, Valesini FJ (2011) Potential effects of climate change on Australian estuaries and fish utilising estuaries: a review. Mar Freshw Res 62:1115-1131
- Gillanders BM, Izzo C, Doubleday ZA, Ye Q (in press) Partial migration: growth varies between resident and migratory fish. Biol Letters
- Gillanders BM, Kingsford MJ (2002) Impact of changes in flow of freshwater on estuarine and open coastal habitats and the associated organisms. In: Gibson R, Barnes M, Atkinson R (eds) Oceanography and Marine Biology: An Annual Review, vol 40, p 233
- Haddy JA, Pankhurst NW (1998) Annual change in reproductive condition and plasma concentrations of sex steroids in black bream, *Acanthopagrus butcheri* (Munro) (Sparidae). Mar Freshw Res 49:389-397
- Hamilton V, Evans K, Raymond B, Hindell MA (2013) Environmental influences on tooth growth in sperm whales from southern Australia. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 446:236-244
- Hobday A, Pecl G (2014) Identification of global marine hotspots: sentinels for change and vanguards for adaptation action. Rev Fish Biol Fish 24:415-425
- Holbrook NJ et al. (2009) El Niño-Southern Oscillation. In: Poloczanska ES, Hobday AJ, Richardson
   AJ (eds) A Marine Climate Change Impacts and Adaptation Report Card for Australia 2009.
   NCCARF, Publication 05/09
- Holmlund CM, Hammer M (1999) Ecosystem services generated by fish populations. Ecol Econ 29:253-268

- Jenkins G, Conron S, Morison A (2010) Highly variable recruitment in an estuarine fish is determined by salinity stratification and freshwater flow: implications of a changing climate. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 417:249-261
- Kendall NW, Rich HB, Jensen LR, Quinn TP (2010) Climate effects on inter-annual variation in growth
   of the freshwater mussel (*Anodonta beringiana*) in an Alaskan lake. Freshw Biol 55:2339-2346

- Lough JM, Hobday AJ (2011) Observed climate change in Australian marine and freshwater environments. Mar Freshw Res 62:984-999
  - Lyle JM, Tracey SR, Stark KE, Wotherspoon S (2009) 2007-08 survey of recreational fishing in Tasmania. In. Tasmanian Aquaculture and Fisheries Institute, University of Tasmania, Hobart
  - Morison AK, Coutin PC, Robertson SG (1998) Age determination of black bream, *Acanthopagrus butcheri* (Sparidae), from the Gippsland Lakes of south-eastern Australia indicates slow growth and episodic recruitment. Mar Freshw Res 49:491–498
- Morrongiello JR, Crook DA, King AJ, Ramsey DSL, Brown P (2011) Impacts of drought and predicted effects of climate change on fish growth in temperate Australian lakes. Global Change Biol 17:745-755
- Morrongiello JR, Thresher RE (2015) A statistical framework to explore ontogenetic growth variation among individuals and populations: a marine fish example. Ecol Monogr 85:93–115
- Morrongiello JR, Thresher RE, Smith DC (2012) Aquatic biochronologies and climate change. Nature Clim Change 2:849-857
- Morrongiello JR, Walsh CT, Gray CA, Stocks JR, Crook DA (2014) Environmental change drives long-term recruitment and growth variation in an estuarine fish. Global Change Biol 20:1844-1860
- Neuheimer AB, Thresher RE, Lyle JM, Semmens JM (2011) Tolerance limit for fish growth exceeded by warming waters. Nature Clim Change 1:110-113
- Newton JR, Smith-Keune C, Jerry DR (2010) Thermal tolerance varies in tropical and sub-tropical populations of barramundi (*Lates calcarifer*) consistent with local adaptation. Aquaculture 308, Supplement 1:S128-S132
- Ochwada-Doyle F, Roberts D, Gray C, Barnes L, Haddy J, Fearman J (2012) Characterizing the biological traits and life history of *Acanthopagrus* (Sparidae) hybrid complexes: implications for conservation and management. J Fish Biol 81:1540-1558
- Partridge GJ, Jenkins GI (2002) The effect of salinity on growth and survival of juvenile black bream (*Acanthopagrus butcheri*). Aquaculture 210:219-230
- Pecl G et al. (2014) Rapid assessment of fisheries species sensitivity to climate change. Clim Chang 127:505–520
- Poloczanska ES et al. (2007) Climate change and Australian marine life. Oceanogr Mar Biol Annu Rev 45:407-478
- Pörtner HO, Farrell AP (2008) Physiology and climate change. Science 322:690-692
- Pörtner HO, Peck MA (2010) Climate change effects on fishes and fisheries: towards a cause-and-effect understanding. J Fish Biol 77:1745-1779
- Potter IC, Hyndes GA (1999) Characteristics of the ichthyofaunas of southwestern Australian estuaries, including comparisons with holarctic estuaries and estuaries elsewhere in temperate Australia: A review. Aust J Ecol 24:395-421
- R Development Core Team (2008) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria
- Richardson AJ, Poloczanska ES (2008) Under-resourced, under threat. Science 320:1294-1295
- Rountrey AN, Coulson PG, Meeuwig JJ, Meekan M (2014) Water temperature and fish growth: otoliths predict growth patterns of a marine fish in a changing climate. Global Change Biol 20:2450-2458
- Sakabe R, Lyle JM, Crawford CM (2011) The influence of freshwater inflows on spawning success and early growth of an estuarine resident fish species, *Acanthopagrus butcheri*. J Fish Biol 78:1529-1544
- Sarre GA, Potter IC (1999) Comparisons between the reproductive biology of black bream *Acanthopagrus butcheri* (Teleostei: Sparidae) in four estuaries with widely differing characteristics. Int J Salt Lake Res 8:179-210
- Scavia D et al. (2002) Climate change impacts on U.S. coastal and marine ecosystems. Estuaries 25:149 164

587 Sorte CJB, Jones SJ, Miller LP (2011) Geographic variation in temperature tolerance as an indicator of 588 potential population responses to climate change. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 400:209-217 Statham PJ (2012) Nutrients in estuaries — An overview and the potential impacts of climate change. 589 590 Sci Total Environ 434:213-227 Thresher RE, Koslow JA, Morison AK, Smith DC (2007) Depth-mediated reversal of the effects of 591 climate change on long-term growth rates of exploited marine fish. PNAS 104:7461-7465 592 van Dijk AIJM et al. (2013) The Millennium Drought in southeast Australia (2001–2009): Natural and 593 594 human causes and implications for water resources, ecosystems, economy, and society. Water Resour Res 49:1040-1057 595 Weisberg S, Spangler G, Richmond LS (2010) Mixed effects models for fish growth. Can J Fish Aquat 596 Sci 67:269-277 597 Zuur AF, Ieno EN, Walker NJ, Saveliev AA, Smith GM (2009) Mixed Effects Models and Extensions 598 599 in Ecology with R. Springer, New York 600

 Table 1. Details of black bream otolith samples used to develop biochronologies. Tasmanian estuaries are listed from north to south.

| Region             | Estuary           | Estuary type      | Estuary<br>area (km²) | Latitude, longitude    | # sampling years | # fish | age range<br>(years) | # year<br>classes | year range |
|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|------------------|--------|----------------------|-------------------|------------|
| South<br>Australia | Murray River      | Open (dredged)    | 73                    | -35.532720, 138.842654 | 4                | 82     | 7-13                 | 9                 | 1998-2010  |
|                    | Ansons Bay        | Open              | 4.9                   | -41.044480, 148.278539 | 2                | 23     | 9-21                 | 10                | 1991-2009  |
|                    | Grants Lagoon     | Closed            | 0.5                   | -41.252038, 148.295832 | 1                | 7      | 12-22                | 6                 | 1990-2009  |
|                    | Diana's Basin     | Closed            | 0.8                   | -41.371621, 148.285279 | 1                | 2      | 11-20                | 2                 | 1992-2009  |
| Tasmania           | Scamander River   | Seasonally closed | 1.6                   | -41.460404, 148.243077 | 2                | 68     | 8-23                 | 12                | 1989-2009  |
|                    | Four Mile Creek   | Seasonally closed | 0.01                  | -41.557944, 148.290112 | 1                | 16     | 8-19                 | 9                 | 1999-2009  |
|                    | Swan River        | Open              | 22                    | -42.087191, 148.224845 | 3                | 43     | 7-17                 | 11                | 1991-2005  |
|                    | Meredith River    | Seasonally closed | 0.1                   | -42.112600, 148.068193 | 1                | 11     | 8-13                 | 4                 | 1989-2005  |
|                    | Little Swanport   | Open              | 4.8                   | -42.338567, 147.955257 | 3                | 20     | 9-16                 | 8                 | 1990-2009  |
|                    | Prosser River     | Open              | 0.4                   | -42.557806, 147.867426 | 1                | 11     | 9-21                 | 6                 | 1990-2009  |
|                    | all Tas estuaries |                   |                       |                        | 4                | 197    | 7-23                 | 16                | 1989-2009  |

**Table 2.** Details of predictor variables used in the analysis of annual black bream growth. Type refers to whether the predictors were defined as random (R) or fixed (F) effects. Environmental predictor variables were calculated for three time periods: annual growth year (October to September), spawning season (August to December) and summer (December to February).

| Predictor  | Type | Description   |
|--|------|---|
| FishID R Unique value to identify each individual fish |      | Unique value to identify each individual fish               |
| Estuary  | R    | Tasmanian estuaries listed in Table 1                       |
| Year   | R, F | Annual growth year for black bream (October to September)   |
| Year class   | R    | Cohort of individuals born in the same year                 |
| Age  | F    | Age in years when each growth increment formed              |
| Age-at-capture   | F    | Age in years at time of capture                             |
| Temperature  | F    | Mean daily air temperature derived from daily minimum and   |
|  |      | maximum values (°C)   |
| Rainfall   | F    | Mean daily rainfall values (mm)                             |
| SOI  | F    | Mean Southern Oscillation Index derived from monthly values |
| Flow   | F    | Mean monthly inflows through the Murray River estuary (GL)  |

**Table 3.** Description of base models used in the first stage of mixed modelling analysis. | = denotes random Age slopes for each random *FishID* intercept, parentheses = nested term, AAC = Age-at-capture.

| Model #    | Random effects                        | <b>Fixed effects</b> |
|------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Tasmania   |                                       |                      |
| 1          | FishID                                | Age, AAC             |
| 2          | age FishID                            | Age, AAC             |
| 3          | Estuary(FishID)                       | Age, AAC             |
| 4          | Age Estuary(FishID)                   | Age, AAC             |
| 4a         | Age Estuary(FishID), Year             | Age, AAC             |
| 4b         | Age Estuary(FishID), Year Class       | Age, AAC             |
| 4c         | Age Estuary(FishID), Year, Year Class | Age, AAC             |
| 4a1        | Age Estuary(FishID), Year             | Age                  |
| 4a2        | Age Estuary(FishID), Year             | Age, AAC             |
| South Aust | ralia                                 |                      |
| 1          | FishID                                | Age, AAC             |
| 2          | Age FishID                            | Age, AAC             |
| 2a         | Age FishID, Year                      | Age, AAC             |
| 2b         | Age FishID, Year Class                | Age, AAC             |
| 2c         | Age FishID, Year, Year Class          | Age, AAC             |
| 2a1        | Age FishID, Year                      | Age                  |
| 2a2        | Age FishID, Year                      | Age, AAC             |

**Table 4.** The estimate of variance associated with each random effect (variance components  $\pm$  SD) for optimal base models 4a2 and 2a2 for Tasmania and South Australia respectively. |= denotes random Age slopes for each random FishID intercept, corr = correlation statistic.

| Random effects      | Tasmania      | South Australia |
|---------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Estuary(FishID)     | 0.012 (0.110) | -               |
| FishID              | -             | 0.010 (0.100)   |
| Age Estuary(FishID) | 0.005 (0.073) | -               |
|                     | corr = 0.10   |                 |
| Age FishID          | -             | 0.018 (0.133)   |
|                     |               | corr = 0.28     |
| Year                | 0.006 (0.081) | 0.001 (0.038)   |
| Estuary             | 0.001 (0.038) | -               |
| Age Estuary         | 0.004 (0.063) | -               |
|                     | corr = 0.83   |                 |
| Residual            | 0.022 (0.149) | 0.017 (0.129)   |

**Table 5.** Fixed effect parameter estimates ( $\pm$ SE) and test statistics for optimal models describing intrinsic, temporal and environmental effects on growth (see Table 3 for base model details). Spawning = spawning season (August to December); and summer = period of maximum otolith growth (December to February).

| Fixed effects parameter    | Tasmania Sou |                |         | South Aus | outh Australia |         |  |
|----------------------------|--------------|----------------|---------|-----------|----------------|---------|--|
|                            | Model        | Estimate       | t-value | Model     | Estimate       | t-value |  |
| Intrinsic effects          |              |                |         |           |                |         |  |
| Intercept                  | 4a2          | -2.636 (0.025) | -106.44 | 2a2       | -2.509 (0.017) | -149.89 |  |
| Age                        | 4a2          | -0.404 (0.024) | -16.51  | 2a2       | -0.436 (0.020) | -22.09  |  |
| Age-at-capture             | 4a2          | -0.035 (0.041) | -0.84   | 2a2       | -0.140 (0.068) | -2.05   |  |
| Temporal effects           |              |                |         |           |                |         |  |
| Year (Y)                   | 4a2+Y        | -0.015 (0.004) | -3.7    | -         | -              | -       |  |
| Environmental effects      |              |                |         |           |                |         |  |
| Rainfall (summer) (R)      | -            | -              | -       | 2a2+R+T   | 0.056 (0.034)  | 1.65    |  |
| Temperature (spawning) (T) | 4a2+T        | -0.071 (0.044) | -1.96   | 2a2+R+T   | 0.038 (0.023)  | 1.64    |  |

**Table 6.** Predicted effect of significant environmental predictors on growth rate. \* 1 unit is defined as 1  $^{\circ}$ C or 1 mm.

| <b>Environmental predictor</b> | Predictor      | Predicted effects (% change) |           |
|--------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------|-----------|
|                                | range          | across range                 | per unit* |
| Tasmania                       |                |                              |           |
| Temperature (spawning)         | 12.4 − 13.9 °C | -10.5                        | -7.0      |
| South Australia                |                |                              |           |
| Temperature (spawning)         | 14.3 - 16.0 °C | 6.6                          | 3.7       |
| Rainfall (summer)              | 0.3-1.3  mm    | 6.0                          | 6.0       |

# **Figure Captions**

Fig 1. Map of study region (X =black bream collection sites). Dashed lines on smaller map represent black bream distribution

**Fig 2.** Number of otolith increment measurements representing each growth year. Grey columns = Tasmanian samples; black columns = South Australian samples

**Fig 3.** Predicted inter-annual variation in growth of black bream for Tasmania (grey line; n = 197) and South Australia (black line; n = 82) based on *Year* random effect estimates ( $\pm$  SE) from model 4a (Tasmania) and 2a (South Australia). Dashed line represents average growth across the time periods examined

**Fig 4.** Predicted effects of A) *Year* and B) *Temperature (spawning season)* on the growth of Tasmanian fish (grey plots; n = 197), and predicted effects of C) *Rainfall (summer)* and D) *Temperature (spawning season)* on the growth of South Australian fish (black plots; n = 82). Dashed lines represent 95% CI