

Clinical perspective on pain and pain phenotypes in osteoarthritis

Feng Pan, MD, PhD^{*}, Graeme Jones, MD, PhD

Menzies Institute for Medical Research, University of Tasmania, Private Bag 23, Hobart, Tasmania 7000, Australia

Corresponding author:

Feng Pan*, Menzies Institute for Medical Research, University of Tasmania, Private Bag 23, Hobart, Tasmania 7000, Australia; Tel: +61 3 6226 7705; Fax: +61 3 6226 7704

Email: Feng.Pan@utas.edu.au

Conflict of Interest

None

Human and Animal Rights and Informed Consent

This article does not contain any studies with human or animal subjects performed by any of the authors.

Abstract

Purpose of review Pain is the most prominent symptom in osteoarthritis. Pain experience is a complex and multifactorial phenomenon. This review, therefore, offers a brief overview of the literature on factors from main pain dimensions and summarizes current evidence for identifying pain phenotypes in knee osteoarthritis.

Recent findings Peripheral structural damage has been traditionally considered a source of pain and this has strengthened with MRI studies; however, a discordance between structural damage and pain severity suggests individual variations in pain presentation which may be determined by genetic, environmental (obesity), psychological and neurological factors. Each of the factors may play its role or interact with other factors to contribute to the variation which can partly explain the overall lack of treatment efficacy with the current ‘one-size-fits-all’ treatment approach. Identifying pain phenotypes in knee osteoarthritis is promising to develop individualized treatments; however, the validity and reliability of osteoarthritis pain phenotypes have not been tested in clinical practice.

Summary Given the heterogeneity of osteoarthritis pain, peripheral, psychological and neurological factors are considered key phenotypic dimensions in the identification of pain phenotypes. This new concept allows for patients’ stratification for clinical trials, thus providing the potential for individualized interventions in patients with osteoarthritis pain.

Keywords Knee Osteoarthritis, Pain, Phenotypes, Treatment

Introduction

Osteoarthritis (OA) is the most common form of joint disease worldwide affecting 9.6% of men and 18% of women aged more than 60 years [1]. It is a chronic and painful disease of the synovial joint and the most common cause of chronic disability [2]. Knees, hips and hands are the most commonly affected joints. Pain is the most prominent symptom of OA which drives patients to seek healthcare. Thus, OA represents an enormous health and economic burden on patients and societies.

There are no proven strategies to prevent, slow, halt or reverse the OA progression. Current OA management is mostly palliative and focuses on pain relief. There is no single ideal medication for management of pain. ‘First-line’ agents, such as paracetamol and non-steroid anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), have only small to moderate efficacy, with >75% of patients reporting the need for additional symptomatic treatment [3]. Therefore, pain control remains a substantial unmet need in OA treatment.

Furthermore, OA is a heterogeneous condition characterized by a complex and multifactorial nature [4]. This leads to a large variation in pain presentations and responses to OA treatment. Some studies have considered disease or structural progression to identify knee OA phenotypes with few reports on pain phenotypes [4]. The pain experience is a complex phenomenon affected by factors across multiple domains—peripheral, psychological, and neurological [5]. This complexity has hindered the identification of pain phenotypes in prior work. Peripheral structural damage in the knee has historically been thought to be the key origin of pain in knee OA; however, a weak association between radiographic structural damage and knee pain [6] raised the possibility of individual variations in pain presentation which may be mediated by genetic, environmental (obesity), psychological and neurological factors. The exact etiology and mechanisms of each factor contributing to OA pain are far less well known. This review, therefore, offers a brief review of the literature on these factors associated with OA pain and summarizes evidence for identifying pain phenotypes in knee OA.

Factors associated with OA pain

Genetic factors

Robust inter-individual differences in pain experience are often observed in the clinical setting, raising the possibility that the inter-individual variability in the experience of pain

may be due to differences in pain sensitivity which is probably affected by underlying genetic factors [7]. Earlier twin and epidemiological studies have demonstrated that pain sensitivity *per se* is heritable [8, 9], although it has been suggested that a range of factors such as prior experience, expectation, and current mood modulate the experience of pain and these factors themselves are genetically mediated [10-13]. The estimates of heritability from studies range from 9% to 60% for different pain traits [10, 11, 14]. The heritability of knee pain was estimated at 44% in a sib-pair study from our group [15].

Research has been trying to search for genes that might predispose individuals to the development of chronic pain or experiencing greater pain sensitivity. There were two categories (linkage and candidate-gene studies) that the majority of studies have fallen into. A variety of genes identified have been shown to be tentatively associated with pain states [16, 17]. As in other fields, there have been inconsistent associations in the replication across populations or across pain conditions. Other than the general reason for inconsistent results in genetic studies including sample size, pain definition, *etc*, the pain field struggles with the existence of complex pain phenotypes [16]. For example, pain conditions are quite heterogeneous even if one could identify genetic associations with specific pain conditions, such as low back pain, or there are a number of subcategories while investigating a broader category of clinical pain [7, 16]. Recent years have seen an explosion of genome-wide association study (GWAS) in the identification of risk alleles; however, GWAS in human pain has lagged behind than in other fields, for reasons such as difficulties in undertaking the quantitative phenotyping of this subjective phenomenon [18]. At present, there is only one adequately powered GWAS conducted for chronic widespread pain (CWP) [19].

Relative to numerous genomics studies in OA, only a few studies have examined genes that regulate OA-related pain so far. Currently, there are six genes identified with a possible association with pain in OA, as shown in **Table 1**. A common genetic variant of Val158Met in catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT) gene which reduces the activity of the catecholamine degrading enzyme was identified to be associated with hip pain among those with hip OA [20]. Unfortunately, this SNP failed to be replicated for knee pain in an independent and adequately powered study [21]. Another gene SCN9A encoding the voltage-gated Sodium Channel 1.7 (Nav1.7) that is essential for transmission of pain-related signals, was initially shown to associate with greater pain in a study with 578 OA patients [22], and held up in a larger cohort of replication study [23]. Some other candidate genes have been examined and have confirmed associations with OA-related pain, including transient receptor

potential cation channel subfamily V member 1 (TRPV1), P2X7 and proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 6 (PCSK6) [24-26]. More recently, one of six SNPs in the neurokinin 1 receptor (TACR1) has shown a nominally significant association with a decreased risk of symptomatic OA in discovery cohort and then replicated in four additional cohorts [27].

Table 1 Genomics studies in osteoarthritis-related pain

Studies	SNP	Gene	Protein	Function of protein	Sample size (n)	Ethnic group	Source
Initial study	rs4680	COMT	Catechol-O-methyltransferase	Degradation of catecholamine neurotransmitters such as norepinephrine and dopamine	288 (radiographic hip OA)	Caucasian	[20]
	rs6746030	SCN9A	Voltage-gated Sodium Channel 1.7 (Nav1.7)	Nociception signalling	171 (female radiographic hip OA) 578 (symptomatic OA)	Caucasian	[22]
	rs8065080	TRPV1	Transient receptor potential cation channel, subfamily V, member 1	Thermosensitive channel	7122 (3270 symptomatic knee OA and 3852 controls) 4950 (1098 asymptomatic knee OA and 3852 controls)	Caucasian	[24]
	rs7958311	P2X7	P2X purinoceptor 7	Ionotropic ATP-gated receptors, affecting pore formation in cell membranes	1329 (743 symptomatic OA and 586 controls)	Caucasian and African American	[25]
	rs900414	PCSK6	PACE4 (paired amino acid converting enzyme 4)	Activating aggrecanases	756 (600 symptomatic knee OA and 156 asymptomatic knee OA) 2742 (2068 symptomatic knee OA and 674 asymptomatic knee OA)	Caucasian	[26]
	rs11688000	TACR1	Neurokinin receptor 1	Dopamine modulation in central nervous system	1615 (1232 symptomatic OA and 383 asymptomatic knee OA) 2450 (1566 symptomatic OA and 884 asymptomatic knee OA)	Caucasian	[27]
	rs4680	COMT	Catechol-O-methyltransferase	Degradation of catecholamine neurotransmitters such as norepinephrine and dopamine	9556 (3934 symptomatic knee OA and 5622 controls) 6781 (1159 asymptomatic knee OA and 5622 controls)	Caucasian	[21]
Replication	rs6746030	SCN9A	Voltage-gated Sodium Channel 1.7 (Nav1.7)	Nociception signalling	1854 (1325 symptomatic OA or TKR and 529 asymptomatic OA)	Caucasian	[23]

OA osteoarthritis; TKR Total knee replacement.

Structural damage/inflammation

Pain in OA has been considered nociceptive pain, arising from peripheral local tissue damage [28]. Cartilage, the primary site of OA pathology, is aneural and avascular, so it cannot generate pain directly, raising the possibility that pain may come from other structures or chemicals released by cartilage [28]. By contrast, subchondral bone, adjacent periosteum, synovial membrane, periarticular ligaments and joint capsule are richly innervated with the nerve fibres transmitting peripheral input to spinal cord [29]. However, imaging studies have widely reported a discordance between radiographic severity of OA and pain severity [30]. A systemic review of the literature concluded that 15-76% of patients with knee pain had radiographic OA, and 15-81% of patients with radiographic OA had knee pain [31]. This discordance is often explained by the insensitivity of X-ray to discern underlying pathologies contributing to pain [32]. Some studies have examined the relationships between structures on MRI and knee pain and reported inconsistent results [32]. This is supported by a recent literature review describing only thirteen of twenty-one studies reporting statistically significant associations of MRI findings in OA and symptoms [33]. **Table 2** summarizes the associations between structures detected on MRI and knee pain. Overall, the levels of evidence between structural features and pain are limited or conflicting, except for bone marrow lesions (BMLs) and effusion-synovitis which appear to have moderate levels of evidence supporting their relation to OA-related pain [30]. The lack of strong evidence of the association between structures detected on MRI scans or radiographs suggests that peripheral damage is not only one contributor to pain [34].

Table 2 Associations between knee structural factors detected by MRI and osteoarthritis pain

Structures	Evidence				
	No	Conflicting	Limited	Moderate	Strong
Cartilage defects		+			
Meniscal pathology		+			
Bone marrow lesions				+	
Bone attrition		+			
Osteophytes			+		
Effusion-synovitis				+	
Ligament tear			+		
Tibial bone size	+				

Adapted from a systematic review by Yusuf et al. [35]

Obesity

Since the end of the last century, the relationship between obesity and chronic pain has attracted extensive investigation. There is a sizeable body of evidence to suggest that obesity and pain adversely impact each other, and obesity is predictive of worse functional and psychological status of chronic pain [36]. Based on a US study in one million people, individuals with overweight (body mass index (BMI), 25–29.9 kg/m²) reported 20% higher rates of pain, 68% higher for those BMIs of 30–34 kg/m², 136% higher for those BMIs of 35–39 kg/m² and 254% higher for those BMIs of more than 40 kg/m² compared to normal weight group [37]. Evidence from longitudinal studies suggests that obesity (even in childhood) is an important risk factor for the development of chronic pain, indicating that obesity is more likely a cause rather than a consequence of pain [38-40].

It has long been assumed that potential mechanisms underlying the relationship between obesity and pain may be due to mechanical loading, especially for lower extremities. There is a linear increment of compressive loading across the joint as BMI increases. In knee OA, relative to those with overweight, people classified as class 1 or 2+ obesity have greater peak knee compressive forces [41]. Similarly, weight loss has been shown to be effective in reduction of knee joint forces [42]. Increased joint forces may result in an aberrant biomechanical environment; it is, therefore, not surprising to observe greater structural damage in the loading joint in obese individuals. The evidence of the role of inflammation involved in the link between obesity and pain is accumulating because adipose tissue has been recognized as an endocrine organ responsible for producing and releasing

proinflammatory cytokines and adipokines [43]. Recent studies have shown an increased level of cytokines and inflammatory markers, such as C-reactive protein (CRP), IL-6, tumor necrosis factor (TNF)- α and leptin in obese individuals [44, 45]. In addition, the release of inflammatory markers also can be triggered by the breakdown products from structures or tissues damages due to aberrant loading [46, 47]. Elevated levels of these biomarkers lead to enhancing pain severity and its change [48, 49], which in turn stimulate more inflammatory markers release [50]. Mounting evidence suggests that inflammation can lead to a lowering of excitation threshold and enhanced responses to suprathreshold stimuli of peripheral nociceptors (peripheral sensitization) and subsequently developing central nervous system sensitization with pain hypersensitivity and increased vulnerability to reporting more pain sites [30, 51].

Psychological factors

As in other pain conditions, psychological factors have been found to be crucial contributors to the OA pain experience [52]. Two critically important psychological factors—depression and catastrophizing have shown consistent associations with increased pain severity, reduced pain threshold, impaired physical function and poor response to treatment [52]. Psychological factors exert their effects on pain through multiple interactive pathways from behavioural, cognitive to neurophysiological (details can be found in the review [52]). Briefly, the mechanisms by which psychological factors contribute to pain may include dysfunction of pain processing in the central nervous system [53-55], genetic vulnerability [19] and cognitive influence [56]. In the context of OA, psychological health is implicated in the pain experience [57-59]. Furthermore, there is a growing body of evidence showing that patients with preoperative depression and pain catastrophizing are more likely to have higher pain scores after total knee replacement [60, 61]. However, causal effects are hard to be discerned due to bidirectional relationships between psychological factors and pain [62].

Neurological factors

Various studies have shown pain in OA is neuropathic, reflecting that potential mechanisms of neuropathic pain are a consequence of the interrelation of peripheral and central sensitization mechanisms [63-65]. Joint injury and/or inflammation lead to the release of mediators into the joint which sensitize primary afferent nerves with a reduction in threshold and an amplification of responsiveness to suprathreshold stimuli of peripheral nociceptors (peripheral sensitization) [66-68]. As such, exaggerated responses to noxious mechanical

stimuli (primary hyperalgesia) can be evoked, and normally innocuous joint movement can evoke a painful response (allodynia) [30]. Increased peripheral neuronal activity further confers the alteration in pain processing by the central nervous system (central sensitization) including more responsive to peripheral input, an expansion of receptive field of dorsal horn neurons as well as brain activation, sensitization and modification [34, 69]. Studies using quantitative sensory testing (QST) analyses and functional MRI have confirmed central sensitization in OA [65, 69]. The presence of central sensitization in OA may be predictive of more severe, longer duration and larger area of pain which does not respond to conventional analgesics [64].

Searching for pain phenotypes in OA

There have been numerous attempts to identify OA phenotypes in order to better target this heterogeneous condition. In a systematic review including 24 studies to identify knee OA phenotypes, Dell'Isola *et al* [4] proposed that there are existing six phenotypes based on key variables extracted from prior studies: chronic pain (central sensitization mechanisms are prominent); inflammatory knee OA; metabolic syndrome; bone and cartilage metabolism; mechanical overload; minimal joint disease. More recently, Deveza *et al* [70] identified knee OA phenotypes and their clinical outcomes in a review with 34 observational studies. The author found that poor clinical outcomes were linked to pain sensitization, psychological distress, radiographic severity, BMI, muscle strength, inflammation and comorbidities. Poor structural outcomes were associated with gender, obesity and other metabolic abnormalities, inflammation and cartilage damage pattern. Although these distinct phenotypes clearly show the variability in OA mechanisms and may represent different subgroups to benefit from different treatments, it remains unclear about whether mechanisms of OA pathology and OA pain might differ and therefore they can be considered discrete entities separately [71]. From a clinical perspective, a phenotype based on OA pain traits would be more clinically meaningful than structural characteristics given the fact that there are no approved disease-modifying drugs available and that there is a great discordance between pain intensity and the extent of structural damage.

While there is no agreement on pain traits selected to identify pain phenotypes in OA, studies have identified pain phenotypes mostly based on a single pain dimension and cross-sectional study design [70]. Profiling psychological factors, pain sensitivity, comorbidities and obesity were the most common investigation in prior studies; however, there were few studies on structural factors and across multiple pain dimensions including the factors mentioned above.

To date, only one cross-sectional study by Kittelson *et al* [72] identified four pain phenotypes in knee OA across multiple pain dimensions, including higher levels of comorbidities; higher knee joint sensitivity; higher levels of psychological distress; and minimal joint disease and pain. Structural pathology in this study was assessed by radiograph, other MRI structural lesions associated with OA pain such as BMLs and effusion-synovitis were not profiled. This is of clinical relevance to early interventions as early MRI structural damage might be reversible. To shed light on this question, we recently identified pain phenotypes using a wide spectrum of factors including main pain dimensions (structural damage on MRI, BMI, comorbidities, psychological and neurological factors) [73]. Three distinct pain phenotypes were identified: Class 1 participants having a high prevalence of emotional problems and low prevalence of structural damage; participants in Class 2 had a high prevalence of structural damage and low prevalence of emotional problems, and Class 3 participant had a low prevalence of emotional problems and low prevalence of structural damage. Furthermore, we found that participants in Class 1 had greater pain severity and number of painful sites than those in Class 2 and 3 over 10.7 years. This may also suggest that the phenotypes reflect distinct clinical prognosis.

Challenges in the identification of OA pain phenotypes

There are some challenges in identifying OA or OA pain phenotypes due to their heterogeneity and lack of in-depth understanding of mechanisms by which etiological factors contribute to OA and pain, as such it seems hard to determine which factors most reflecting the disease pathophysiology should be profiled, and whether factors included may overlap with each other through other pathways. This raises an important question of whether phenotypes identified which really represent distinct patient subgroups whose clinical presentations, prognosis and response to treatments are different. There is no consensus regarding the core data or traits recommended for defining phenotypes in the OA field. Despite the important role of genetic factors in pain pathogenesis, focusing on modifiable factors in clinical practice could allow for potential intervention. In our opinion, therefore, core data from knee structural, psychological and neurological domains are essential in the identification of OA pain phenotypes. However, advanced imaging techniques (e.g. MRI) and QST are not routinely utilized in clinical research and practice due to the cost and practicality. This may hinder to define a multidimensional phenotype and limit the potential use of the phenotype to inform stratified care in the clinical setting. Cross-sectional study design in prior studies aimed at identifying OA pain phenotypes was unable to validate the

stability of the phenotypes and determine whether phenotypes are relevant to clinical outcomes including prognosis and treatment response.

Individualized pain treatment based on phenotypes: are we there yet?

Currently, therapeutic interventions for OA are palliative and primarily focus on alleviating pain [74]; however, treatment for the management of OA pain is problematic and mainly targets the peripheral joint and peripheral nervous system. NSAIDs have been a mainstay treatment for OA pain, but the efficacy of these has been proven to be only moderate [75]. Intra-articular glucocorticoid injections and joint replacement surgery also play a key part in the management of OA, both targeting the peripheral mechanism of pain [76, 77]. Failure to relieve OA pain through these treatments is frequently seen in the clinical settings; for instance, there are approximately 7-23% and 10-34% of patients having long-term pain after hip and knee replacement surgery [78, 79], suggesting that treatments targeting peripheral mechanisms are insufficient for those patients.

Weight loss has been a focus in the treatment and management of OA pain. It has been demonstrated that weight loss in obese patients can reduce the risk of the development of symptomatic OA [80] and improve symptoms in OA patients [81]. There is a dose-response relationship between weight loss and pain improvement in people with symptomatic knee OA [82]. A combination of exercise and diet is recommended to achieve weight loss and a greater pain reduction [83].

With the appreciation of increasing understanding of psychological and neurological factors in OA pain, interventions targeting pain catastrophizing, cognitive behavioural therapy and pain coping skills training have drawn great attention, although the results were mixed. Other pain management strategies are emerging including sleep interventions and pain education [84-86]. The treatments (e.g. antidepressants) designed to target the central nervous system have shown effects on pain improvement in patients with knee OA [87, 88]; however, central sensitization does not exist in all patients with OA pain. It is likely that subgroup with this feature may have a greater pain improvement.

Recent reviews summarized the new therapeutic approaches for OA pain and highlighted the heterogeneous feature of OA pain [89, 90]. It is highlighted that treatments might be only effective for subsets patients with a specific phenotype. Targeting selected subgroup (phenotype) enables the development of more effective analgesic and reduces the suffering of ineffective treatments. Patients with a specific OA phenotype (such as BMLs) have received

targeted therapies [91]. Further, studies using stratification according to neuropathic pain mechanisms confirm the effectiveness in certain subgroups of patients, but there are no studies available targeting selected OA pain phenotype in the clinical practice [92].

Therefore, implementing individualized treatments into clinical setting based on phenotypes from the research community still need considerable efforts.

In conclusion

Despite the fact that pain in knee OA is multifactorial, peripheral, psychological and neurological factors are considered key phenotypic dimensions in the identification of pain phenotypes. Further understanding of these factors contributing to OA pain mechanisms will lead to the identification of pain phenotypes in OA patients, thus ultimately provide individualised interventions.

Disclosure

No potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported.

References and Recommended Reading

Papers of particular interest, published recently, have been highlighted as:

- Of importance
- Of major importance

1. Woolf AD, Pfleger B. Burden of major musculoskeletal conditions. Bull World Health Organ. 2003;81:646-56.

2. Loeser RF. Aging and osteoarthritis. Curr Opin Rheumatol. 2011;23:492-6. doi:10.1097/BOR.0b013e3283494005.

3. Matthews GL, Hunter DJ. Emerging drugs for osteoarthritis. Expert Opin Emerg Drugs. 2011;16:479-91. doi:10.1517/14728214.2011.576670.

4. Dell'Isola A, Allan R, Smith SL, Marreiros SS, Steultjens M. Identification of clinical phenotypes in knee osteoarthritis: a systematic review of the literature. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2016;17:425. doi:10.1186/s12891-016-1286-2.

- *Reviews on the literature of knee OA phenotypes.*

5. Kittelson AJ, George SZ, Maluf KS, Stevens-Lapsley JE. Future directions in painful knee osteoarthritis: harnessing complexity in a heterogeneous population. Phys Ther. 2014;94:422-32. doi:10.2522/ptj.20130256.

- *Proposes a conceptual model of knee OA pain phenotypes and its potential clinical significance.*

6. Nwosu LN, Mapp PI, Chapman V, Walsh DA. Relationship between structural pathology and pain behaviour in a model of osteoarthritis (OA). Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2016;24:1910-7. doi:10.1016/j.joca.2016.06.012.

7. Fillingim RB, Wallace MR, Herbstman DM, Ribeiro-Dasilva M, Staud R. Genetic contributions to pain: a review of findings in humans. Oral Dis. 2008;14:673-82. doi:10.1111/j.1601-0825.2008.01458.x.

8. Nielsen CS, Stubhaug A, Price DD, Vassend O, Czajkowski N, Harris JR. Individual differences in pain sensitivity: genetic and environmental contributions. Pain. 2008;136:21-9. doi:10.1016/j.pain.2007.06.008.

9. Norbury TA, MacGregor AJ, Urwin J, Spector TD, McMahon SB. Heritability of responses to painful stimuli in women: a classical twin study. Brain. 2007;130:3041-9. doi:10.1093/brain/awm233.

10. Hocking LJ, Generation S, Morris AD, Dominiczak AF, Porteous DJ, Smith BH. Heritability of chronic pain in 2195 extended families. *Eur J Pain*. 2012;16:1053-63. doi:10.1002/j.1532-2149.2011.00095.x.
11. Livshits G, Popham M, Malkin I, Sambrook PN, Macgregor AJ, Spector T et al. Lumbar disc degeneration and genetic factors are the main risk factors for low back pain in women: the UK Twin Spine Study. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2011;70:1740-5. doi:10.1136/ard.2010.137836.
12. Williams FM, Scollen S, Cao D, Memari Y, Hyde CL, Zhang B et al. Genes contributing to pain sensitivity in the normal population: an exome sequencing study. *PLoS Genet*. 2012;8:e1003095. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003095.
13. Williams FM, Spector TD, MacGregor AJ. Pain reporting at different body sites is explained by a single underlying genetic factor. *Rheumatology (Oxford)*. 2010;49:1753-5. doi:10.1093/rheumatology/keq170.
14. Kato K, Sullivan PF, Pedersen NL. Latent class analysis of functional somatic symptoms in a population-based sample of twins. *J Psychosom Res*. 2010;68:447-53. doi:10.1016/j.jpsychores.2010.01.010.
15. Zhai G, Stankovich J, Ding C, Scott F, Cicuttini F, Jones G. The genetic contribution to muscle strength, knee pain, cartilage volume, bone size, and radiographic osteoarthritis: a sibpair study. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2004;50:805-10. doi:10.1002/art.20108.
16. Mogil JS. Pain genetics: past, present and future. *Trends Genet*. 2012;28:258-66. doi:10.1016/j.tig.2012.02.004.
17. Foulkes T, Wood JN. Pain genes. *PLoS Genet*. 2008;4:e1000086. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000086.
18. Max MB, Stewart WF. The molecular epidemiology of pain: a new discipline for drug discovery. *Nat Rev Drug Discov*. 2008;7:647-58. doi:10.1038/nrd2595.
19. Peters MJ, Broer L, Willemen HL, Eiriksdottir G, Hocking LJ, Holliday KL et al. Genome-wide association study meta-analysis of chronic widespread pain: evidence for involvement of the 5p15.2 region. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2013;72:427-36. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2012-201742.
20. van Meurs JB, Uitterlinden AG, Stolk L, Kerkhof HJ, Hofman A, Pols HA et al. A functional polymorphism in the catechol-O-methyltransferase gene is associated with osteoarthritis-related pain. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2009;60:628-9. doi:10.1002/art.24175.
21. Neogi T, Soni A, Doherty SA, Laslett LL, Maciewicz RA, Hart DJ et al. Contribution of the COMT Val158Met variant to symptomatic knee osteoarthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2014;73:315-7. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2013-203836.

22. Reimann F, Cox JJ, Belfer I, Diatchenko L, Zaykin DV, McHale DP et al. Pain perception is altered by a nucleotide polymorphism in SCN9A. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2010;107:5148-53. doi:10.1073/pnas.0913181107.
23. Valdes AM, Arden NK, Vaughn FL, Doherty SA, Leaverton PE, Zhang W et al. Role of the Nav1.7 R1150W amino acid change in susceptibility to symptomatic knee osteoarthritis and multiple regional pain. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*. 2011;63:440-4. doi:10.1002/acr.20375.
24. Valdes AM, De Wilde G, Doherty SA, Lories RJ, Vaughn FL, Laslett LL et al. The Ile585Val TRPV1 variant is involved in risk of painful knee osteoarthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2011;70:1556-61. doi:10.1136/ard.2010.148122.
25. Sorge RE, Trang T, Dorfman R, Smith SB, Beggs S, Ritchie J et al. Genetically determined P2X7 receptor pore formation regulates variability in chronic pain sensitivity. *Nat Med*. 2012;18:595-9. doi:10.1038/nm.2710.
26. Malfait AM, Seymour AB, Gao F, Tortorella MD, Le Graverand-Gastineau MP, Wood LS et al. A role for PACE4 in osteoarthritis pain: evidence from human genetic association and null mutant phenotype. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2012;71:1042-8. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2011-200300.
27. Warner SC, Walsh DA, Laslett LL, Maciewicz RA, Soni A, Hart DJ et al. Pain in knee osteoarthritis is associated with variation in the neurokinin 1/substance P receptor (TACR1) gene. *Eur J Pain*. 2017;21:1277-84. doi:10.1002/ejp.1027.
28. Lluch Girbes E, Nijs J, Torres-Cueco R, Lopez Cubas C. Pain treatment for patients with osteoarthritis and central sensitization. *Phys Ther*. 2013;93:842-51. doi:10.2522/ptj.20120253.
29. Dieppe PA, Lohmander LS. Pathogenesis and management of pain in osteoarthritis. *Lancet*. 2005;365:965-73. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(05)71086-2.
30. Neogi T. The epidemiology and impact of pain in osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. 2013;21:1145-53. doi:10.1016/j.joca.2013.03.018.

••*Presents the prevalence, risk factors and impact of OA pain.*

31. Bedson J, Croft PR. The discordance between clinical and radiographic knee osteoarthritis: a systematic search and summary of the literature. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2008;9:116. doi:10.1186/1471-2474-9-116.
32. Hunter DJ, Guermazi A, Roemer F, Zhang Y, Neogi T. Structural correlates of pain in joints with osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. 2013;21:1170-8. doi:10.1016/j.joca.2013.05.017.

••*Reviews the literature on the association between structure and pain in OA.*

33. Hunter DJ, Zhang W, Conaghan PG, Hirko K, Menashe L, Li L et al. Systematic review of the concurrent and predictive validity of MRI biomarkers in OA. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. 2011;19:557-88. doi:10.1016/j.joca.2010.10.029.
34. Woolf CJ. Central sensitization: implications for the diagnosis and treatment of pain. *Pain*. 2011;152:S2-15. doi:10.1016/j.pain.2010.09.030.
35. Yusuf E, Kortekaas MC, Watt I, Huizinga TW, Kloppenburg M. Do knee abnormalities visualised on MRI explain knee pain in knee osteoarthritis? A systematic review. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2011;70:60-7. doi:10.1136/ard.2010.131904.
36. Okifuji A, Hare BD. The association between chronic pain and obesity. *J Pain Res*. 2015;8:399-408. doi:10.2147/JPR.S55598.
37. Stone AA, Broderick JE. Obesity and pain are associated in the United States. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2012;20:1491-5. doi:10.1038/oby.2011.397.
38. Haukka E, Ojajarvi A, Takala EP, Viikari-Juntura E, Leino-Arjas P. Physical workload, leisure-time physical activity, obesity and smoking as predictors of multisite musculoskeletal pain. A 2-year prospective study of kitchen workers. *Occup Environ Med*. 2012;69:485-92. doi:10.1136/oemed-2011-100453.
39. Mork PJ, Holtermann A, Nilsen TI. Physical exercise, body mass index and risk of chronic arm pain: longitudinal data on an adult population in Norway. *Eur J Pain*. 2013;17:1252-8. doi:10.1002/j.1532-2149.2013.00298.x.
40. Heuch I, Heuch I, Hagen K, Zwart JA. Body mass index as a risk factor for developing chronic low back pain: a follow-up in the Nord-Trøndelag Health Study. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2013;38:133-9. doi:10.1097/BRS.0b013e3182647af2.
41. Messier SP, Pater M, Beavers DP, Legault C, Loeser RF, Hunter DJ et al. Influences of alignment and obesity on knee joint loading in osteoarthritic gait. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. 2014;22:912-7. doi:10.1016/j.joca.2014.05.013.
42. Messier SP, Gutekunst DJ, Davis C, DeVita P. Weight loss reduces knee-joint loads in overweight and obese older adults with knee osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2005;52:2026-32. doi:10.1002/art.21139.
43. Ronti T, Lupattelli G, Mannarino E. The endocrine function of adipose tissue: an update. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)*. 2006;64:355-65. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2265.2006.02474.x.
44. Vuolteenaho K, Koskinen A, Moilanen E. Leptin - a link between obesity and osteoarthritis. applications for prevention and treatment. *Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol*. 2014;114:103-8. doi:10.1111/bcpt.12160.
45. Bluher M, Fasshauer M, Tonjes A, Kratzsch J, Schon MR, Paschke R. Association of interleukin-6, C-reactive protein, interleukin-10 and adiponectin plasma concentrations with

measures of obesity, insulin sensitivity and glucose metabolism. *Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes*. 2005;113:534-7. doi:10.1055/s-2005-872851.

46. Berenbaum F. Osteoarthritis as an inflammatory disease (osteoarthritis is not osteoarthrosis!). *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. 2013;21:16-21. doi:10.1016/j.joca.2012.11.012.

•*Reviews the literature on the role of inflammation in OA.*

47. Sokolove J, Lepus CM. Role of inflammation in the pathogenesis of osteoarthritis: latest findings and interpretations. *Ther Adv Musculoskelet Dis*. 2013;5:77-94. doi:10.1177/1759720X12467868.

48. Stannus OP, Jones G, Blizzard L, Cicuttini FM, Ding C. Associations between serum levels of inflammatory markers and change in knee pain over 5 years in older adults: a prospective cohort study. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2013;72:535-40. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2011-201047.

49. Miller RE, Miller RJ, Malfait AM. Osteoarthritis joint pain: the cytokine connection. *Cytokine*. 2014;70:185-93. doi:10.1016/j.cyto.2014.06.019.

•*Describes the role of inflammatory markers in the generation of OA pain.*

50. Lee YC, Lu B, Bathon JM, Haythornthwaite JA, Smith MT, Page GG et al. Pain sensitivity and pain reactivity in osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*. 2011;63:320-7. doi:10.1002/acr.20373.

51. Neogi T, Guermazi A, Roemer F, Nevitt MC, Scholz J, Arendt-Nielsen L et al. Association of Joint Inflammation With Pain Sensitization in Knee Osteoarthritis: The Multicenter Osteoarthritis Study. *Arthritis Rheumatol*. 2016;68:654-61. doi:10.1002/art.39488.

52. Edwards RR, Cahalan C, Mensing G, Smith M, Haythornthwaite JA. Pain, catastrophizing, and depression in the rheumatic diseases. *Nat Rev Rheumatol*. 2011;7:216-24. doi:10.1038/nrrheum.2011.2.

•*Reviews the literature on pain experience relating to psychological factors in the rheumatic diseases.*

53. Somers TJ, Keefe FJ, Godiwala N, Hoyler GH. Psychosocial factors and the pain experience of osteoarthritis patients: new findings and new directions. *Curr Opin Rheumatol*. 2009;21:501-6. doi:10.1097/BOR.0b013e32832ed704.

54. Murphy SL, Lyden AK, Phillips K, Clauw DJ, Williams DA. Subgroups of older adults with osteoarthritis based upon differing comorbid symptom presentations and potential underlying pain mechanisms. *Arthritis Res Ther*. 2011;13:R135. doi:10.1186/ar3449.

55. Cruz-Almeida Y, King CD, Goodin BR, Sibille KT, Glover TL, Riley JL et al. Psychological profiles and pain characteristics of older adults with knee osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*. 2013;65:1786-94. doi:10.1002/acr.22070.
 56. Goesling J, Clauw DJ, Hassett AL. Pain and depression: an integrative review of neurobiological and psychological factors. *Curr Psychiatry Rep*. 2013;15:421. doi:10.1007/s11920-013-0421-0.
 57. Ahn H, Weaver M, Lyon D, Choi E, Fillingim RB. Depression and Pain in Asian and White Americans With Knee Osteoarthritis. *J Pain*. 2017;18:1229-36. doi:10.1016/j.jpain.2017.05.007.
 58. Rathbun AM, Stuart EA, Shardell M, Yau MS, Baumgarten M, Hochberg MC. Dynamic Effects of Depressive Symptoms on Osteoarthritis Knee Pain. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*. 2018;70:80-8. doi:10.1002/acr.23239.
 59. Riddle DL, Kong X, Fitzgerald GK. Psychological health impact on 2-year changes in pain and function in persons with knee pain: data from the Osteoarthritis Initiative. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. 2011;19:1095-101. doi:10.1016/j.joca.2011.06.003.
 60. Wylde V, Trela-Larsen L, Whitehouse MR, Blom AW. Preoperative psychosocial risk factors for poor outcomes at 1 and 5 years after total knee replacement. *Acta Orthop*. 2017;88:530-6. doi:10.1080/17453674.2017.1334180.
 61. Rakel BA, Blodgett NP, Bridget Zimmerman M, Logsden-Sackett N, Clark C, Noiseux N et al. Predictors of postoperative movement and resting pain following total knee replacement. *Pain*. 2012;153:2192-203. doi:10.1016/j.pain.2012.06.021.
 62. Calders P, Van Ginckel A. Presence of comorbidities and prognosis of clinical symptoms in knee and/or hip osteoarthritis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Semin Arthritis Rheum*. 2018;47:805-13. doi:10.1016/j.semarthrit.2017.10.016.
- Reviews the literature on the association between comorbidities and pain severity in OA.*
63. Thakur M, Dickenson AH, Baron R. Osteoarthritis pain: nociceptive or neuropathic? *Nat Rev Rheumatol*. 2014;10:374-80. doi:10.1038/nrrheum.2014.47.
- Presents the evidence of neuropathic mechanisms in OA pain.*
64. Akinci A, Al Shaker M, Chang MH, Cheung CW, Danilov A, Jose Duenas H et al. Predictive factors and clinical biomarkers for treatment in patients with chronic pain caused by osteoarthritis with a central sensitisation component. *Int J Clin Pract*. 2016;70:31-44. doi:10.1111/ijcp.12749.
 65. Fingleton C, Smart K, Moloney N, Fullen BM, Doody C. Pain sensitization in people with knee osteoarthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. 2015;23:1043-56. doi:10.1016/j.joca.2015.02.163.

•• *Reviews the evidence of central sensitization in knee OA.*

66. Woolf CJ, Ma Q. Nociceptors--noxious stimulus detectors. *Neuron*. 2007;55:353-64. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2007.07.016.

67. Latremoliere A, Woolf CJ. Central sensitization: a generator of pain hypersensitivity by central neural plasticity. *J Pain*. 2009;10:895-926. doi:10.1016/j.jpain.2009.06.012.

68. Hucho T, Levine JD. Signaling pathways in sensitization: toward a nociceptor cell biology. *Neuron*. 2007;55:365-76. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2007.07.008.

69. Perrot S. Osteoarthritis pain. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol*. 2015;29:90-7. doi:10.1016/j.berh.2015.04.017.

70. Deveza LA, Melo L, Yamato TP, Mills K, Ravi V, Hunter DJ. Knee osteoarthritis phenotypes and their relevance for outcomes: a systematic review. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. 2017;25:1926-41. doi:10.1016/j.joca.2017.08.009.

•• *Reviews the literature of knee OA phenotypes identification and their clinical outcomes.*

71. Eitner A, Hofmann GO, Schaible HG. Mechanisms of Osteoarthritic Pain. *Studies in Humans and Experimental Models*. *Front Mol Neurosci*. 2017;10:349. doi:10.3389/fnmol.2017.00349.

•• *Reviews the literature of the mechanisms of OA pain.*

72. Kittelson AJ, Stevens-Lapsley JE, Schmiede SJ. Determination of Pain Phenotypes in Knee Osteoarthritis: A Latent Class Analysis Using Data From the Osteoarthritis Initiative. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*. 2016;68:612-20. doi:10.1002/acr.22734.

73. Pan F, Tian J, Cicuttini F, Jones G, Aitken D. Differentiating knee pain phenotypes in older adults: a prospective cohort study. *Rheumatology (Oxford)*. 2018. doi:10.1093/rheumatology/key299.

74. Johnson VL, Hunter DJ. The epidemiology of osteoarthritis. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol*. 2014;28:5-15. doi:10.1016/j.berh.2014.01.004.

75. Hochberg MC, Altman RD, April KT, Benkhalti M, Guyatt G, McGowan J et al. American College of Rheumatology 2012 recommendations for the use of nonpharmacologic and pharmacologic therapies in osteoarthritis of the hand, hip, and knee. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*. 2012;64:465-74.

76. Zhang W, Doherty M, Leeb BF, Alekseeva L, Arden NK, Bijlsma JW et al. EULAR evidence based recommendations for the management of hand osteoarthritis: report of a Task Force of the EULAR Standing Committee for International Clinical Studies Including Therapeutics (ESCISIT). *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2007;66:377-88. doi:10.1136/ard.2006.062091.

77. Conaghan PG, Dickson J, Grant RL, Guideline Development G. Care and management of osteoarthritis in adults: summary of NICE guidance. *BMJ*. 2008;336:502-3. doi:10.1136/bmj.39490.608009.AD.
78. Wyld V, Hewlett S, Learmonth ID, Dieppe P. Persistent pain after joint replacement: prevalence, sensory qualities, and postoperative determinants. *Pain*. 2011;152:566-72. doi:10.1016/j.pain.2010.11.023.
79. Beswick AD, Wyld V, Gooberman-Hill R, Blom A, Dieppe P. What proportion of patients report long-term pain after total hip or knee replacement for osteoarthritis? A systematic review of prospective studies in unselected patients. *BMJ Open*. 2012;2:e000435. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2011-000435.
80. Felson DT, Zhang Y, Anthony JM, Naimark A, Anderson JJ. Weight loss reduces the risk for symptomatic knee osteoarthritis in women. The Framingham Study. *Ann Intern Med*. 1992;116:535-9.
81. Gudbergson H, Boesen M, Lohmander LS, Christensen R, Henriksen M, Bartels EM et al. Weight loss is effective for symptomatic relief in obese subjects with knee osteoarthritis independently of joint damage severity assessed by high-field MRI and radiography. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. 2012;20:495-502. doi:10.1016/j.joca.2012.02.639.
82. Atukorala I, Makovey J, Lawler L, Messier SP, Bennell K, Hunter DJ. Is There a Dose-Response Relationship Between Weight Loss and Symptom Improvement in Persons With Knee Osteoarthritis? *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*. 2016;68:1106-14. doi:10.1002/acr.22805.
83. Messier SP, Mihalko SL, Legault C, Miller GD, Nicklas BJ, DeVita P et al. Effects of intensive diet and exercise on knee joint loads, inflammation, and clinical outcomes among overweight and obese adults with knee osteoarthritis: the IDEA randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2013;310:1263-73. doi:10.1001/jama.2013.277669.
84. Vitiello MV, McCurry SM, Shortreed SM, Balderson BH, Baker LD, Keefe FJ et al. Cognitive-behavioral treatment for comorbid insomnia and osteoarthritis pain in primary care: the lifestyles randomized controlled trial. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2013;61:947-56. doi:10.1111/jgs.12275.
85. Vitiello MV, McCurry SM, Shortreed SM, Baker LD, Rybarczyk BD, Keefe FJ et al. Short-term improvement in insomnia symptoms predicts long-term improvements in sleep, pain, and fatigue in older adults with comorbid osteoarthritis and insomnia. *Pain*. 2014;155:1547-54. doi:10.1016/j.pain.2014.04.032.
86. Louw A, Zimney K, Puenteadura EJ, Diener I. The efficacy of pain neuroscience education on musculoskeletal pain: A systematic review of the literature. *Physiother Theory Pract*. 2016;32:332-55. doi:10.1080/09593985.2016.1194646.
87. Wang G, Bi L, Li X, Li Z, Zhao D, Chen J et al. Efficacy and safety of duloxetine in Chinese patients with chronic pain due to osteoarthritis: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. 2017;25:832-8. doi:10.1016/j.joca.2016.12.025.

88. Chappell AS, Ossanna MJ, Liu-Seifert H, Iyengar S, Skljarevski V, Li LC et al. Duloxetine, a centrally acting analgesic, in the treatment of patients with osteoarthritis knee pain: a 13-week, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *Pain*. 2009;146:253-60. doi:10.1016/j.pain.2009.06.024.

89. Miller RE, Block JA, Malfait AM. What is new in pain modification in osteoarthritis? *Rheumatology (Oxford)*. 2018;57:iv99-iv107. doi:10.1093/rheumatology/kex522.

•• *Provides updated treatments for OA pain based on recently completed clinical trials.*

90. Walsh DA, Stocks J. New Therapeutic Targets for Osteoarthritis Pain. *SLAS Discov*. 2017;22:931-49. doi:10.1177/2472555217716912.

91. Laslett LL, Dore DA, Quinn SJ, Boon P, Ryan E, Winzenberg TM et al. Zoledronic acid reduces knee pain and bone marrow lesions over 1 year: a randomised controlled trial. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2012;71:1322-8. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2011-200970.

92. Forstenpointner J, Otto J, Baron R. Individualized neuropathic pain therapy based on phenotyping: are we there yet? *Pain*. 2018;159:569-75. doi:10.1097/j.pain.0000000000001088.

• *Reviews the literature on individualised pain treatment based on pain sensory pheontypes.*