



Medicago truncatula SOC1 Genes Are Up-regulated by Environmental Cues That Promote Flowering

Jared B. Fudge^{1†}, Robyn H. Lee¹, Rebecca E. Laurie¹, Kirankumar S. Mysore², Jiangqi Wen², James L. Weller³ and Richard C. Macknight^{1,4*}

¹ Department of Biochemistry, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand, ² Plant Biology Division, Samuel Roberts Noble Foundation, Ardmore, OK, United States, ³ School of Biological Sciences, University of Tasmania, Hobart, TAS, Australia, ⁴ New Zealand Institute for Plant and Food Research Ltd., University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Stefan de Folter,
Centro de Investigación y de Estudios
Avanzados del Instituto Politécnico
Nacional (CINVESTAV-IPN), Mexico

Reviewed by:

Lucio Conti,
Università degli Studi di Milano, Italy
Yajun Wu,
South Dakota State University,
United States

*Correspondence:

Richard C. Macknight
richard.macknight@otago.ac.nz

† Present address:

Jared B. Fudge,
Department of Botany and Plant
Biology, University of Geneva,
Geneva, Switzerland

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Plant Evolution and Development,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 23 December 2017

Accepted: 03 April 2018

Published: 27 April 2018

Citation:

Fudge JB, Lee RH, Laurie RE,
Mysore KS, Wen J, Weller JL and
Macknight RC (2018) *Medicago*
truncatula SOC1 Genes Are
Up-regulated by Environmental Cues
That Promote Flowering.
Front. Plant Sci. 9:496.
doi: 10.3389/fpls.2018.00496

Like *Arabidopsis thaliana*, the flowering of the legume *Medicago truncatula* is promoted by long day (LD) photoperiod and vernalization. However, there are differences in the molecular mechanisms involved, with orthologs of two key *Arabidopsis thaliana* regulators, *FLOWERING LOCUS C* (*FLC*) and *CONSTANS* (*CO*), being absent or not having a role in flowering time function in *Medicago*. In *Arabidopsis*, the MADS-box transcription factor gene, *SUPPRESSOR OF OVEREXPRESSION OF CONSTANS 1* (*AtSOC1*), plays a key role in integrating the photoperiodic and vernalization pathways. In this study, we set out to investigate whether the *Medicago* *SOC1* genes play a role in regulating flowering time. Three *Medicago* *SOC1* genes were identified and characterized (*MtSOC1a–MtSOC1c*). All three *MtSOC1* genes, when heterologously expressed, were able to promote earlier flowering of the late-flowering *Arabidopsis* *soc1-2* mutant. The three *MtSOC1* genes have different patterns of expression. However, consistent with a potential role in flowering time regulation, all three *MtSOC1* genes are expressed in the shoot apex and are up-regulated in the shoot apex of plants in response to LD photoperiods and vernalization. The up-regulation of *MtSOC1* genes was reduced in *Medicago* *fta1-1* mutants, indicating that they are downstream of *MtFTa1*. Insertion mutant alleles of *Medicago* *soc1b* do not flower late, suggestive of functional redundancy among *Medicago* *SOC1* genes in promoting flowering.

Keywords: flowering time, *Medicago*, photoperiod, vernalization, legume, genome evolution

INTRODUCTION

In annual plants, the transition from vegetative growth to flowering, termed floral induction, is regulated by environmental and endogenous cues to promote flowering in spring time (Srikanth and Schmid, 2011; Letswaart et al., 2012; Romera-Branchat et al., 2014). In the Brassica *Arabidopsis thaliana*, the key environmental cues which promote flowering are exposure to a prolonged period of cold (vernalization), followed by long day (LD) photoperiods. Endogenous signals such as carbohydrate status, gibberellin metabolism, developmental stage, and the autonomous floral promotion pathway also interact to promote flowering (Andres and Coupland, 2012; McClung et al., 2016; Cheng et al., 2017). In *Arabidopsis*, floral induction is repressed in non-inductive conditions by the MADS-box transcription factors *FLOWERING LOCUS C* (*FLC*) and *SHORT VEGETATIVE PHASE* (*SVP*) (Andres and Coupland, 2012). These floral repressors bind to

regulatory elements of *FLOWERING LOCUS T* (*FT*) and *SUPPRESSOR OF OVEREXPRESSION OF CONSTANS 1* (*SOC1*) and other genes, such as those involved in gibberellin metabolism (Hepworth et al., 2002; Searle et al., 2006; Tao et al., 2012; Mateos et al., 2015). Vernalization downregulates *FLC* expression by epigenetic and non-coding RNA-based mechanisms (Whittaker and Dean, 2017). *SVP* represses flowering under short day (SD) photoperiods but has reduced expression under LDs in the inflorescence meristem and under high ambient temperatures (Hartmann et al., 2000; Lee et al., 2007). Flowering is then promoted in LDs by the stabilization of the zinc finger transcription factor *CONSTANS* (*CO*) protein. *CO* is expressed in leaf phloem companion cells and activates the expression of the *FT* gene, which encodes a mobile florigen signal protein (Kardailsky et al., 1999; Wigge et al., 2005; Corbesier et al., 2007; Notaguchi et al., 2008). Studies in rice have shown that *FT* protein moves to the shoot apical meristem (SAM), whereupon it interacts with bZIP transcription factor *FLOWERING D* (*FD*) via a 14-3-3 protein-mediated complex (Abe et al., 2005; Taoka et al., 2011) to activate expression of the MADS box genes *SOC1* and floral meristem identity (FMI) gene *APETALA 1* (*API*) (Abe et al., 2005; Wigge et al., 2005; Yoo et al., 2005), thereby inducing the floral transition.

In *Arabidopsis*, *SOC1* is a 'floral integrator' gene that perceives inputs from the vernalization, LD photoperiodic, and gibberellin pathways to promote flowering (Lee et al., 2000; Onouchi et al., 2000; Samach et al., 2000; Hepworth et al., 2002; Moon et al., 2003; Yoo et al., 2005; Helliwell et al., 2006; Searle et al., 2006; Sheldon et al., 2006; Jung J.H. et al., 2012; Hou et al., 2014). *SOC1* is also regulated post-transcriptionally (Song et al., 2009; Kim et al., 2013). Upon activation at the shoot apex, the *SOC1* protein binds its own regulatory sequences (Immink et al., 2012; Tao et al., 2012) and interacts with *AGAMOUS-LIKE 24* (*AGL24*), for translocation to the nucleus, thereby providing positive feedback from inductive floral cues (Lee et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2008; Torti and Fornara, 2012). Proper integration of inductive floral cues by *SOC1* is, therefore, an important step in regulating floral induction in *Arabidopsis*.

Despite strong conservation of flowering time genes between *Arabidopsis* and legume species, differences in the regulation and function of genes controlling flowering in legumes are becoming progressively more apparent (Weller and Ortega, 2015). *Medicago truncatula* (*Medicago*, *Mt*) and the garden pea (*Pisum sativum*, *Ps*), are vernalization-responsive, long-day annual legume species which are emerging models for flowering time studies in the agronomically important Fabaceae family (Clarkson and Russell, 1975; Laurie et al., 2011; Putterill et al., 2013; Weller and Ortega, 2015). The most striking difference is the absence of a clear *FLC* ortholog in legume species (Hecht et al., 2005). Expansions of flowering time genes are typical, such that *SVP*, *CO-LIKE* (*COL*), *FT*, *SOC1*, and *FRUITFUL* (*FUL*) orthologs typically occur in multi-gene families in *Medicago*, garden pea, and soybean (*Glycine max*, *Gm*) (Hecht et al., 2005; Kim et al., 2012; Jung C.H. et al., 2012; Putterill et al., 2013). Yet, differences in gene function seem to have arisen – *MtSVP* genes do not delay flowering when over-expressed in transgenic *Medicago* (Jaudal et al., 2014), and *MtCOL* genes do

not appear to regulate photoperiodic flowering (Wong et al., 2014). Insights have been gained into the function and regulation of garden pea and *Medicago* *FT* genes by inductive seasonal cues. *PsFTa1/GIGAS* is a LD photoperiod inducible and graft-transmissible mobile signal which promotes flowering in garden pea (Hecht et al., 2011). In *Medicago*, *MtFTa1* is the sole target of the vernalization pathway and is rapidly up-regulated in leaves in response to LD photoperiod (Laurie et al., 2011). Transgenic *Medicago* over-expressing *MtFTa1* flowers very early, while loss-of-function *fta1-1* mutants flower late and no longer respond to vernalization (Laurie et al., 2011). *Medicago* *spring* mutants flower early without vernalization and have elevated levels of *MtFTa1* when grown in LD photoperiods (Jaudal et al., 2013; Yeoh et al., 2013). However, it is unclear how vernalization or LD activates *Medicago* *FT* expression and how *FT* triggers flowering.

Here, we examined the role of three *Medicago* *SOC1* homologs (*MtSOC1a*, *MtSOC1b*, and *MtSOC1c*). Our study indicates that all three *MtSOC1*s are up-regulated by favorable seasonal cues in the shoot apex, via both *MtFTa1*-dependent and *MtFTa1*-independent pathways, and likely play a role in the regulation of *Medicago* flowering.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sequence and Phylogenetic Analyses

MtSOC1 cDNA sequences were obtained as described previously (Hecht et al., 2005). *AtSOC1* was used as a query for a BLASTP search of GenBank. Predicted *SOC1* amino acid sequences belonging to Rosid species were aligned using the MUSCLE algorithm (Edgar, 2004) in Geneious software version 10.0.2 (Biomatters). A neighbor-joining phylogenetic tree was constructed using aligned amino acid residues 61–170, which excluded the variable C-terminal domain and the conserved MADS DNA-binding domain.

Plant Materials and Growth Conditions

Medicago truncatula wild-type accessions Jester and R108 were grown as previously described (Laurie et al., 2011). *fta1-1* (R108 background) was described by Laurie et al. (2011). *Tnt1* retroelement insertions in the R108 background at the *MtSOC1b* locus (Medtr8g033250) were sourced from the Noble Foundation collection (Tadege et al., 2008). Primers for genotyping and quantitative real-time RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) are listed in Supplementary Table 1. Flowering time was scored by counting the number of nodes to the first flower on the main stem. *Arabidopsis thaliana* *soc1-2* (Columbia) mutants were described in Lee et al. (2000). *Arabidopsis* plants were grown and flowering time scored by counting the total number of rosette leaves at flowering (Laurie et al., 2011).

Generation of Binary Constructs and *Arabidopsis* Transformation

The DNA was amplified by PCR using Platinum High Fidelity Taq DNA polymerase (Invitrogen) and cloned into binary expression constructs by Gateway® LR recombination

technology. Construct integrity was verified by Sanger sequencing and restriction digest. *Arabidopsis soc1-2* (Col) (Lee et al., 2000) was transformed in accordance with Narusaka et al. (2010) with *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* GV3101 harboring the constitutive expression (*CaMV 35S*) construct pB2GW7 (Karimi et al., 2002), incorporating the coding sequences of *AtSOC1*, *MtSOC1a*, *MtSOC1b*, and *MtSOC1c*. Transgenic plants were selected on soil by spraying with 0.02% BASTA (glufosinate).

Quantitative Real-Time PCR (qRT-PCR)

Total RNA was isolated from *Medicago* and *Arabidopsis* and 1 µg used for cDNA synthesis, as described previously (Laurie et al., 2011). qRT-PCR was performed in 10 µL reactions using 3 µL of cDNA diluted 1/30, with Roche SYBR Green I master mix, in the Roche LightCycler 480 instrument. Multi-well plates were loaded using a CAS1200 PCR robot. Calculation of the relative gene expression levels was based on the $\Delta\Delta C_t$ method (Pfaffl, 2001). In *Medicago*, the data were normalized to the reference gene, *PROTODERMAL FACTOR 2 (PDF2)* (Kakar et al., 2008). In *Arabidopsis*, the data were normalized to *ACTIN2* (Lee et al., 2013). See Supplementary Table 1 for qRT-PCR primer sequences.

Statistical Analyses

Differences between means for treatments in gene expression data, and for flowering times of transgenic lines compared to the *Arabidopsis soc1-2* mutant, were assessed by one-way ANOVA at the 0.05 significance level, with corrections for multiple comparisons as stated, using GraphPad Prism version 7.0.

RESULTS

An Ancient Genome Duplication Has Resulted in Two Distinct Classes of SOC1 Genes in Legumes

The full-length *AtSOC1* amino acid sequence was used as a BLASTP query to search for predicted SOC1 orthologs in GenBank. Sequences from 21 Rosid species were retrieved and aligned using the MUSCLE algorithm. A region 110 amino acids long corresponding to positions 61–170 (spanning the Intervening and Keratin domains, excluding the highly conserved MADS DNA-binding domain and highly variable C-terminal domain) was used to generate a neighbor-joining phylogenetic tree (Figure 1A). As a control, related AGL sequences (AGL19, AGL24, and AGL42) from *Arabidopsis* were included and did not cluster with any of the predicted SOC1 orthologs. Two distinct classes of SOC1 proteins were observed for Fabaceae members. We have named them Fabaceae Group A and B SOC1s (Figure 1A). *Medicago* has three SOC1 genes, *MtSOC1a* (Medtr07g075870), *MtSOC1b* (Medtr08g033250), and *MtSOC1c* (Medtr08g033220) (Hecht et al., 2005). All three *MtSOC1* (c.v. R108) genes encode proteins sharing 65–67% amino acid identity with *AtSOC1* and were identical over the N-terminal MADS domain that is important for DNA-binding

activity (Figure 1B). *MtSOC1b* and *MtSOC1c* proteins are highly similar to one another, sharing 93% identity (Figure 1B).

To investigate the evolution of the two groups of legume SOC1 genes, we examined their genomic regions in diverse legume species. This revealed that a genomic region containing approximately 20 genes had been duplicated (Supplementary Figure 1). The synteny of this duplicated region has remained largely conserved. However, there are differences which can be used to determine which region likely contains the Group A or B SOC1 genes. For example, the region containing *MtSOC1b* also includes a predicted deacetylase gene (Medtr08g033340) that is not present in the region with the *MtSOC1a* locus (a Group A SOC1). All the legume species we examined possessed this duplication, with the duplication existing in diverse taxonomic clades of papilionoid legumes; the phaseoloid or warm season legumes (such as soybean, common bean, cowpea, and pigeon pea), the galegoid or temperate legumes (*Medicago*, garden pea, and chickpea), and in lupin and peanut species, which belong to more distantly related clades (Genistoids and Dalbergioids, respectively). This indicates that the duplication likely occurred during the early evolution of the legume family, likely as a result of a whole genome duplication (WGD) event that occurred about 58 million years ago during the early evolution of the papilionoid legumes (Bertioli et al., 2009). Also in *M. truncatula*, there is a duplication of the Group B SOC1 genes, resulting in *MtSOC1b* and *MtSOC1c* genes (Figure 1A, Supplementary Figure 1). This duplication is also present in *M. sativa* (*Ms*, alfalfa) (Supplementary Figure 2), but is absent in the other legumes, indicating that it occurred more recently.

The MtSOC1 Genes Can Partially Complement an Arabidopsis soc1-2 Mutant

To investigate the function of the three *MtSOC1* genes, the ability of each gene to complement the *Arabidopsis* late-flowering *soc1-2* (Col) mutant (Lee et al., 2000) was examined. A series of transgenic plant lines expressing the coding sequence of each *MtSOC1* gene under the control of the constitutive *CaMV 35S* promoter were generated, in the *soc1-2* (Col) mutant background. For each *MtSOC1* gene, multiple transgenic lines were identified that promoted the flowering of *soc1-2* mutant to varying extents, as inferred by flowering times statistically significantly different to *soc1-2* (Figures 2A,B). As a control, the *Arabidopsis* SOC1 gene was also re-introduced into the *soc1-2* mutant. Only one of seven lines (line 1) expressing *AtSOC1* completely rescued the *soc1-2* late-flowering mutant phenotype, meanwhile three lines partially rescued (lines 4, 6, and 7) flowered statistically significantly earlier than *soc1-2* (Figure 2C). To investigate the possibility that transgene expression level contributed to the variation in flowering time observed, we isolated RNA from seedling tissues of additional transgenic lines containing 35S:*MtSOC1* cassettes in the *soc1-2* background and examined transgene expression levels by qRT-PCR. Generally, the earlier flowering lines had higher levels of SOC1 expression (Supplementary Figure 3). Overall, these




FIGURE 1 | Phylogenetic relationships among SOC1 proteins. **(A)** Phylogeny of Rosid SOC1 proteins showing two distinct clades of Fabaceae SOC1, based upon a neighbor-joining tree from a MUSCLE alignment of residues 61–170, comprising a region spanning the Intervening and Keratin domains. Related *A. thaliana* AGAMOUS-LIKE sequences were used as outgroups. Species abbreviations: At: *Arabidopsis thaliana*, Bj: *Brassica juncea*, Bn: *Brassica napus*, Bp: *Betula platyphylla*, Br: *Brassica rapa*, Ca: *Cicer arietinum*, Cf: *Cardamine flexuosa*, Cs: *Citrus sinensis*, Fv: *Fragaria vesca*, Glyma: *Glycine max*, Hb: *Hevea brasiliensis*, Jc: *Jatropha curcas*, Md: *Malus x domestica*, Mt: *Medicago truncatula*, Phvul: *Phaseolus vulgaris*, Pm: *Prunus mume*, Pp: *Pyrus pyrifolia*, Py: *Prunus x yedoensis*, Ps: *Pisum sativum*, Sa: *Sinapis alba*, Tp: *Trifolium pratense*. **(B)** Alignment of full-length *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Col-0) and *Medicago* (R108 accession) SOC1.

results indicate that all three *MtSOC1* genes can promote flowering and are functionally equivalent to the *Arabidopsis SOC1* gene.

The *MtSOC1* Genes Have Distinct Expression Profiles

Next, the expression pattern of the three *MtSOC1* genes was analyzed by qRT-PCR using primers specific for each *MtSOC1*

gene (Supplementary Figure 4). All three *MtSOC1* genes are expressed (Figure 3). *MtSOC1a* is expressed in vegetative tissues (leaves, stem, apical nodes), but is almost undetectable in reproductive tissues (floral buds, flowers, and seed pods) (Figure 3A). In contrast, *MtSOC1b* and *MtSOC1c* have a similar but not identical pattern of expression, and both are detected in vegetative tissues, in floral buds, and in flowers (Figures 3B,C). Consistent with a potential role in floral induction, all three




FIGURE 2 | Complementation of late-flowering *Arabidopsis soc1-2* (Col) mutants by *Medicago SOC1* genes. Flowering time phenotypes of genetically independent transgenic plant lines ectopically expressing *SOC1* transgenes in the *soc1-2* mutant background. **(A)** Flowering time of homozygous T_3 transgenic lines harboring 35S:MtSOC1a or 35S:MtSOC1b cassettes. **(B)** Flowering time of homozygous T_3 transgenic lines harboring a 35S:MtSOC1c cassette. **(C)** Flowering time of T_2 transgenic lines harboring a 35S:AtSOC1 cassette. Data are mean $\pm$ SD of at least 12 plants grown under 16 h illumination at $\sim 120 \mu\text{E} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ light intensity at 22°C day time/18°C night time. Statistically significant differences between means of *soc1-2* versus each other genotype were determined by one-way ANOVA. Asterisks, where annotated, denote *P*-values. * $P \leq 0.05$, ** $P \leq 0.01$, *** $P \leq 0.001$, **** $P \leq 0.0001$.

MtSOC1 genes are expressed under inductive environmental conditions in apical node tissue, containing the shoot apical meristem (SAM) (Figure 3). All three *MtSOC1* genes are also




FIGURE 3 | Expression pattern of *MtSOC1* genes. **(A)** *MtSOC1a*, **(B)** *MtSOC1b*, and **(C)** *MtSOC1c*. RNA was isolated from various tissues of WT c.v. Jester plants grown under inductive conditions. Vegetative tissues were harvested 15 days after sowing and reproductive tissues 35 days after sowing in soil. Data are the mean $\pm$ SE of three biological replicates and transcripts were normalized to *PDF2*. All tissues were harvested at ZT 2.

expressed in stem internode tissue, with *MtSOC1b* and *MtSOC1c* being expressed at the highest level in this tissue.

To determine whether the Group A and B *SOC1* genes also have distinct patterns of expression in other plants, we first examined available RNAseq data from *Medicago sativa* (The Alfalfa Gene Index and Expression Atlas Database¹). This data also revealed that the three alfalfa *SOC1* genes are expressed at their highest levels in stem internodes. *MsSOC1a* was expressed at relatively low levels in leaves compared with the *MsSOC1b* and *MsSOC1c* genes. For all three *MsSOC1* genes, low or no expression was detected in developing flowers, nor root nodules, while modest expression was found in roots (Supplementary Figure 5A). Next, we examined the expression

¹<http://plantgrn.noble.org/AGED/SearchVisual.jsp#>

of the soybean *SOC1* genes in the RNA Seq Atlas database available at <https://soybase.org/> (Severin et al., 2010). This revealed that *GmSOC1b* from chromosome 3 is only expressed at low levels in the tissues examined (young leaves, flowers, developing seeds, roots, and nodules), whereas the other *GmSOC1b* (from chromosome 7) and the Group A *GmSOC1s* from chromosomes 9 and 18 had somewhat similar patterns of expression, with low levels of expression in developing seeds, and modest expression in the other tissues (Supplementary Figure 5B).

Given that the legume *SOC1* genes have similar patterns of expression, we examined their promoters with the aim of identifying conserved regulatory elements. Short regions of high conservation were identified within the various legume promoters (Supplementary Figure 6A). Examination of these conserved regions revealed potential transcription factor-binding sites. Notably, there were multiple MADS-box transcription factor-binding sites (Supplementary Figure 6B). In *Arabidopsis*, the *SOC1* promoter is bound by the MADS-box proteins, FLC, SVP, and *SOC1* itself (Searle et al., 2006; Immink et al., 2012; Tao et al., 2012; Mateos et al., 2015). Potential SPL, WRKY, and bHLH transcription factor-binding sites were also found in the legume *SOC1* promoter regions (Supplementary Figure 6B).

MtSOC1b* and *MtSOC1c* Up-regulation in Response to Inductive Conditions Requires *MtFTa1

Given the potential role of the *MtSOC1* genes in flowering time, we examined their expression during development under different environmental conditions. As *Medicago* flowering is promoted by both vernalization and LD photoperiods, wild-type plants (accession R108) were grown with and without vernalization ($\pm$ V) under LD (16 h light:8 h dark) and SD (8 h light:16 h dark) photoperiods. Germinated seeds of all treatments were sown into soil at the same time. Gene expression was examined in aerial tissues (excluding cotyledons) for samples collected at days 5–15 after sowing, and in apical nodes at days 20 and 25 (Figure 4 and statistical analysis of this data is shown in Supplementary Figure 7). *MtSOC1a* was the lowest expressed *MtSOC1* gene throughout the experiment, but was expressed at the highest levels in the vernalized LD samples at days 20 and 25 (Figure 4A). *MtSOC1b* was the most strongly expressed gene under inductive conditions in WT and was induced by day 20 (Figure 4B), with high expression by day 25. In contrast, *MtSOC1b* was relatively weakly expressed under SD (Figure 4B). However, it did show higher expression under LD photoperiod even without vernalization, compared to vernalized and non-vernalized SD treatments (Supplementary Figure 8). *MtSOC1c* behaved in a similar fashion to *MtSOC1b*, being strongly up-regulated under inductive conditions in WT, although to a lesser extent (Figure 4C).

The role of *MtFTa1* in the induction of the *MtSOC1* genes was also addressed in this experiment, by examining the expression of *MtSOC1* in the late-flowering and vernalization insensitive *fta1-1* (R108) mutant background (Laurie et al., 2011). In *fta1-1* mutants grown under LD, both *MtSOC1b* and *MtSOC1c* were

expressed at similarly low levels irrespective of vernalization, and expression was dramatically attenuated compared to vernalized wild-type plants (for *MtSOC1b* and *MtSOC1c* approximately 100-fold, Figures 4G,H), suggesting both these genes are common targets of the vernalization pathway and are downstream of *MtFTa1*.

Expression of the FMI gene, *MtPIM* (Benlloch et al., 2006), was examined in all conditions tested (Figures 4D,I). Similar to *MtSOC1* genes in WT plants grown under inductive conditions, *MtPIM* was up-regulated in apical nodes by day 20, thereby heralding the commitment to flowering, and confirming the timing of *MtSOC1* up-regulation is consistent with these genes having a role in the floral transition at the apex. Furthermore, only vernalized LD conditions resulted in a gradual up-regulation of *MtFTa1* in WT plants (Figure 4E), which is necessary to rapidly promote flowering under favorable environmental conditions (Laurie et al., 2011; Jaudal et al., 2013). In wild-type, *MtFTa1* induction is concomitant with *MtSOC1b* and *MtSOC1c* activation in apical nodes, in both vernalization and LD photoperiod conditions, over the duration of this experiment.

Regulation of *MtSOC1* by Photoperiod Shift

As the *MtSOC1* genes are up-regulated under inductive LD photoperiods (Figure 4, Supplementary Figure 7), we sought to examine in greater detail the effect of photoperiod on *MtSOC1* expression in apical nodes. Since exposure of SD-raised vernalized WT (accession R108) plants to just 3 LD is sufficient to commit *Medicago* to flowering (Laurie et al., 2011), we investigated whether *MtSOC1* is induced in the SAM after this period of exposure to LD photoperiod, and the role of *MtFTa1* in this activation (Figure 5 and statistical analysis of this data is shown in Supplementary Figure 9). In plants permanently grown under LD, which were harvested at the conclusion of the experiment (the equivalent of 3 SD time point), *MtSOC1a* and *MtSOC1b* were expressed at higher levels in WT than *fta1-1* (Figures 5A,B). All three *MtSOC1* genes were relatively weakly expressed in SD before shift (SD BS) conditions (Figures 5A–C). Upon transfer of WT plants into LD, *MtSOC1a* and *MtSOC1b* were up-regulated and remained high when transferred back to SDs (Figures 5A,B). An increase in *MtSOC1a* and *MtSOC1b* expression was also evident when *fta1-1* mutant plants were shifted into LDs, and generally this increase was smaller than for WT plants (although none of the changes in expression were statistically significant; Supplementary Figure 9). Although *MtSOC1c* is expressed at higher levels in LD grown plants, compared with SD grown plants (Figure 4C), no statistically significant up-regulation in *MtSOC1c* expression was observed over the six days of this experiment (Figure 5C). To check the LD exposure was sufficient to induce markers of the floral transition, we examined the expression of the FMI genes, *MtPIM* and *MtFULc* (Benlloch et al., 2006; Jaudal et al., 2015), in WT of pre-shift SD samples, and in samples harvested at the conclusion of the experiment, which had seen both 3 LD and subsequently





FIGURE 4 | Time course of *MtSOC1* expression during development. **(A–E)** Expression of indicated genes in wild-type R108 and **(F–I)** in *fta1-1* (R108 background). Wild-type plants were grown under LD and SD photoperiod, with and without vernalization. *fta1-1* plants were grown under LD alone, with and without vernalization. All treatments were sown into soil at the same time. Aerial tissues of plants excluding cotyledons were harvested for days 5–15 and apical nodes only for days 20 and 25. Data are the mean $\pm$ SE of 2–4 biological replicates and transcripts were normalized to *PDF2*. All samples were harvested at ZT 2.

3 SD (**Figure 5D**). *MtPIM* expression remained unchanged between these time points, while *MtFULc* was activated following return to SD, suggesting that *MtFULc* is likely activated before *MtPIM*.

Overall, our results indicated that LDs promote the expression of the three *MtSOC1* genes (**Figures 4, 5**). However, the


dynamics of this LD response varied between the *MtSOC1* genes, with *MtSOC1b* showing the largest increase in expression after exposure to three LDs, *MtSOC1a* showing a moderate increase and *MtSOC1c* showing little response (**Figure 5**). This rapid induction of *MtSOC1a* and *MtSOC1b* is not eliminated in the *fta1-1* mutant (**Figure 5**), likely due to the



involvement of other LD-inducible *MtFT* genes, such as *MtFTb1* (Laurie et al., 2011). In contrast, the large increase in *MtSOC1a* and *MtSOC1b* expression is probably associated with floral induction (as indicated by the up-regulation of the FMI gene, *MtPIM*) and is not seen in the *fta1-1* mutants which flower late and are yet to express *MtPIM* (Figure 4). Consistent with this idea, *MtSOC1b* expression begins to increase at later in development in the *fta1-1* mutant (Supplementary Figure 8).

Medicago *soc1b* Mutants Do Not Flower Late

To identify mutations within the *MtSOC1* genes, a reverse genetics approach was employed to screen for Tnt1



retrotransposon-tagged insertion mutants, sourced from the Noble Foundation collection (Tadege et al., 2008) by PCR. Two independent lines in the c.v. R108 background were found to have Tnt1 insertions within exon 7 of *MtSOC1b* (Supplementary Figure 10A). Homozygous plants for an insertion annotated as NF1789 were identified using PCR primers binding within the *MtSOC1b* gene and the Tnt1 insertion. No qRT-PCR products were detected using primers across the insertion site, indicating that the Tnt1 sequences prevent a correctly spliced mRNA from being produced (Supplementary Figure 10B). Homozygous NF1789 plants flowered at a similar time to wild-type (R108), when grown under inductive conditions (Figure 6). Moreover, a second independent insertion mutant, line NF9471 (also with a Tnt1 insertion within exon 7), also flowered at a similar time to wild-type (Supplementary Figure 10C).

DISCUSSION

While detailed knowledge of how flowering time is regulated exists for *Arabidopsis*, a member of the Brassica family, less is known about how flowering is regulated in other plant families. There are several differences between *Arabidopsis* and legumes relating to flowering time control, with evolutionary and genetic data indicating the likely involvement of legume-specific genes and mechanisms. For example, legumes lack a clear ortholog of the key *Arabidopsis* vernalization-responsive gene, *FLC* (Hecht et al., 2005) and the ability to respond to vernalization has likely evolved independently in legumes and other plant families (Bouché et al., 2017). Orthologs of the key gene in the *Arabidopsis* photoperiodic flowering pathway, *CONSTANS* (CO) do not function to control flowering of *Medicago* (Wong et al., 2014). Here, we set out to investigate the *SOC1* genes of

Medicago truncatula and their role in the control of flowering time.

The *SOC1* gene plays an important role in *Arabidopsis* flowering by integrating multiple floral cues within the apex to promote flowering at the appropriate time. We have found that legumes contain two classes of *SOC1* genes, which we refer to as Group A and Group B *SOC1*s, as a result of a duplication of a region containing ~20 genes. This duplication is present in diverse legumes and likely a result of the WGD that occurred during the early evolution of the papilionoid legumes about 58 million years ago (Bertioli et al., 2009). This WGD has been important in the evolution of various legume-specific traits allowing the duplicated genes to acquire new functions, while the other gene copy maintains its original function (Young and Bharti, 2012). In the case of the two groups of *SOC1* genes, they differ in their amino acid sequences and patterns of expression, indicating that they might have evolved distinct functions.

Despite the duplication that resulted in two groups of legume *SOC1*s and the more recent *Medicago*-specific tandem duplication, all three *MtSOC1* genes are able to promote the flowering of the *Arabidopsis soc1-2* mutant. Similar phenotypes have also been reported for the two Group A *GmSOC1* genes, with *GmGAL1/GmSOC1* (Glyma18g224500) being able to partially complement the late-flowering *soc1-1* (Ler) mutant, and causing early flowering when constitutively expressed in a wild-type *Arabidopsis* (Col) background (Zhong et al., 2012). *GmSOC1-like*, the other Group A member (Glyma09g266200), also resulted in early-flowering when heterologously expressed (Na et al., 2013). Similar to *Arabidopsis* and *GmSOC1* genes (Lee et al., 2000; Samach et al., 2000; Zhong et al., 2012; Na et al., 2013), *Medicago SOC1* genes are expressed in a range of tissues, though at differing levels. All three *MtSOC1* genes are expressed in apical node tissue, consistent with a potential role in control of flowering time, as reported for *GmSOC1* genes under inductive SD conditions (Na et al., 2013). *MtSOC1b* and *MtSOC1c* are also expressed at relatively high levels in stem tissue, indicating that they might also play a role in other aspects of *Medicago* development, such as internode elongation.

All three *MtSOC1* genes were up-regulated by inductive conditions of LD and vernalization, in a spatio-temporal manner concomitant with *MtFTa1* and *MtPIM* induction in leaves and shoot apices, respectively. *Medicago fta1-1* mutants do not respond to vernalization (Laurie et al., 2011), indicating that *MtFTa1* is an important and perhaps the sole direct target of the vernalization process. Consistent with this hypothesis, there are no clear differences between the expressions of the different *MtSOC1* genes in an *fta1-1* mutant compared to wild-type grown without vernalization. This suggests *MtSOC1* genes can be placed genetically downstream of *MtFTa1* in the vernalization pathway. Supporting this conclusion, studies on early-flowering *Medicago spring* mutants, which show LD-conditional ectopic *MtFTa1* expression, have higher levels of *MtSOC1a* expression in both leaves and apices, compared to wild-type (Jaudal et al., 2013). The requirement for *MtFTa1* for vernalization, as well as *MtSOC1* induction, has been further highlighted in *Medicago vrn2* mutants

that flower early under LD photoperiod without vernalization (Jaudal et al., 2016). *vrn2-1* mutants show elevated levels of *MtFTa1* under LD photoperiod, accompanied by increased levels of all three *MtSOC1* genes in leaf tissue. *vrn2-1 fta1-1* double mutants flowered late like *fta1-1* single mutants, which was accompanied by a suppression of *MtSOC1* expression in the double mutant back to levels approaching wild-type (Jaudal et al., 2016). Taken together, these data from us and others clearly demonstrate that both *MtFTa1* and vernalization are essential for maximal *MtSOC1* induction, in response to favorable seasonal cues.

MtSOC1 expression is also up-regulated by LD photoperiod in the absence of vernalization (therefore with low *MtFTa1* expression) (Figure 4 and Supplementary Figure 7). We have previously shown that shifting *Medicago* plants from non-inductive SDs into inductive LDs for just 3 days, is sufficient to both up-regulate two functional *MtFT* genes, *MtFTa1* and *MtFTb1*, and to commit to flowering in plants that are subsequently returned back SD photoperiod (Laurie et al., 2011). *MtFTa1* and *MtFTb1* are both rapidly up-regulated in the leaves, while *MtFTa1* is also up-regulated in the shoot apex. The expression of *MtFTa1* and *MtFTb1* rapidly declines upon returning plants to SD photoperiod (Laurie et al., 2011). Here, we show that 3 days of *MtFTa1* expression is sufficient to induce the expression of *MtSOC1a* and *MtSOC1b* genes (Figures 5A,B). *MtSOC1a* and *MtSOC1b* expression also remains at higher levels when the plants are shifted back into SDs. Consistent with both *MtFTa* and *MtFTb* genes having a role in LD induction of flowering in legumes (Hecht et al., 2011; Laurie et al., 2011), this induction was reduced, but not eliminated, in the *fta1-1* mutant background. The rapid induction of *MtSOC1a* and *MtSOC1b* suggests that they might be early targets of *MtFT* genes. In *Arabidopsis*, *SOC1* is also rapidly up-regulated during the floral transition (Samach et al., 2000). The FMI gene, *MtFULc* (Jaudal et al., 2015), was also induced six days after plants were first transferred into LDs, however, the *Medicago* ortholog of *AP1*, *MtPIM*, remained unchanged. *MtSOC1c* also did not show strong up-regulation upon exposure to LD in the photoperiod shift experiment, whereas in the time course experiment both *MtSOC1c* and *MtPIM* were up-regulated (Figure 4). This raises the possibility that these two genes could be more important slightly later during the *Medicago* floral transition. Following flowering, the expression of both *MtSOC1b* and *MtSOC1c* remained at high levels in floral nodes, indicating a potential role in floral development.

Overall, the results of this study indicate that *Medicago SOC1* genes act downstream of the *FT* genes and likely act redundantly to promote flowering. Obtaining double and triple mutants' combinations for the three *SOC1* genes will be needed to determine their importance in flowering and potentially other aspects of *Medicago* development.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

JF, REL, and RM designed the experiments. JF, RHL, REL, and JLW performed the experiments. KM and JW identified the

Medicago soc1b mutants. JF and RM wrote and edited the manuscript.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the New Zealand Marsden Fund (RM) and the Australian Research Council (JLW). The *Medicago truncatula* plants utilized in this research project, which are jointly owned by the Centre National de la Recherche

Scientifique, were obtained from Noble Research Institute, LLC, United States, and were created through research funded, in part, by a grant from the National Science Foundation, NSF-0703285.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2018.00496/full#supplementary-material>

REFERENCES

- Abe, M., Kobayashi, Y., Yamamoto, S., Daimon, Y., Yamaguchi, A., Ikeda, Y., et al. (2005). FD, a bZIP protein mediating signals from the floral pathway integrator FT at the shoot apex. *Science* 309, 1052–1056. doi: 10.1126/science.1115983
- Andres, F., and Coupland, G. (2012). The genetic basis of flowering responses to seasonal cues. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 13, 627–639. doi: 10.1038/nrg3291
- Benlloch, R., d'Erfurth, I., Ferrandiz, C., Cosson, V., Beltran, J. P., Canas, L. A., et al. (2006). Isolation of *MtPIM* proves *Tnt1* a useful reverse genetics tool in *Medicago truncatula* and uncovers new aspects of *API*-like functions in legumes. *Plant Physiol.* 142, 972–983. doi: 10.1104/pp.106.083543
- Bertioli, D. J., Moretzsohn, M. C., Madsen, L. H., Sandal, N., Leal-Bertioli, S. C., Guimaraes, P. M., et al. (2009). An analysis of synteny of *Arachis* with *Lotus* and *Medicago* sheds new light on the structure, stability and evolution of legume genomes. *BMC Genomics* 10:45. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-10-45
- Bouché, F., Woods, D. P., and Amasino, R. M. (2017). Winter memory throughout the plant kingdom: different paths to flowering. *Plant Physiol.* 173, 27–35. doi: 10.1104/pp.16.01322
- Cheng, J. Z., Zhou, Y. P., Lv, T. X., Xie, C. P., and Tian, C. E. (2017). Research progress on the autonomous flowering time pathway in Arabidopsis. *Physiol. Mol. Biol. Plants* 23, 477–485. doi: 10.1007/s12298-017-0458-3
- Clarkson, N., and Russell, J. (1975). Flowering responses to vernalization and photoperiod in annual medics (*Medicago* spp.). *Austr. J. Agric. Res.* 26, 831–838. doi: 10.1071/AR9750831
- Corbesier, L., Vincent, C., Jang, S. H., Fornara, F., Fan, Q. Z., Searle, I., et al. (2007). FT protein movement contributes to long-distance signaling in floral induction of Arabidopsis. *Science* 316, 1030–1033. doi: 10.1126/science.1141752
- Edgar, R. C. (2004). MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 32, 1792–1797. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkh340
- Hartmann, U., Hohmann, S., Nettekheim, K., Wisman, E., Saedler, H., and Huijser, P. (2000). Molecular cloning of *SVP*: a negative regulator of the floral transition in Arabidopsis. *Plant J.* 21, 351–360. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-313x.2000.00682.x
- Hecht, V., Foucher, F., Ferrandiz, C., Macknight, R., Navarro, C., Morin, J., et al. (2005). Conservation of Arabidopsis flowering genes in model legumes. *Plant Physiol.* 137, 1420–1434. doi: 10.1104/pp.104.057018
- Hecht, V., Laurie, R. E., Vander Schoor, J. K., Ridge, S., Knowles, C. L., Liew, L. C., et al. (2011). The pea *GIGAS* gene is a *FLOWERING LOCUS T* homolog necessary for graft-transmissible specification of flowering but not for responsiveness to photoperiod. *Plant Cell* 23, 147–161. doi: 10.1105/tpc.110.081042
- Helliwell, C. A., Wood, C. C., Robertson, M., Peacock, W. J., and Dennis, E. S. (2006). The Arabidopsis *FLC* protein interacts directly *in vivo* with *SOC1* and *FT* chromatin and is part of a high-molecular-weight protein complex. *Plant J.* 46, 183–192. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2006.02686.x
- Hepworth, S. R., Valverde, F., Ravenscroft, D., Mouradov, A., and Coupland, G. (2002). Antagonistic regulation of flowering-time gene *SOC1* by *CONSTANS* and *FLC* via separate promoter motifs. *EMBO J.* 21, 4327–4337. doi: 10.1093/emboj/cdf432
- Hou, X., Zhou, J., Liu, C., Liu, L., Shen, L., and Yu, H. (2014). Nuclear factor Y-mediated H3K27me3 demethylation of the *SOC1* locus orchestrates flowering responses of Arabidopsis. *Nat. Commun.* 5:4601. doi: 10.1038/ncomms5601
- Immink, R. G. H., Pose, D., Ferrario, S., Ott, F., Kaufmann, K., Valentim, F. L., et al. (2012). Characterization of *SOC1*'s central role in flowering by the identification of its upstream and downstream regulators. *Plant Physiol.* 160, 433–449. doi: 10.1104/pp.112.202614
- Jaudal, M., Monash, J., Zhang, L., Wen, J., Mysore, K. S., Macknight, R., et al. (2014). Overexpression of *Medicago SVP* genes causes floral defects and delayed flowering in *Arabidopsis* but only affects floral development in *Medicago*. *J. Exp. Bot.* 65, 429–442. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ert384
- Jaudal, M., Yeoh, C. C., Zhang, L., Stockum, C., Mysore, K. S., Ratet, P., et al. (2013). Retroelement insertions at the *Medicago FTa1* locus in *spring* mutants eliminate vernalisation but not long day requirements for early flowering. *The Plant Journal* 76, 580–591. doi: 10.1111/tj.12315
- Jaudal, M., Zhang, L., Che, C., Hurley, D. G., Thomson, G., Wen, J., et al. (2016). *MtVRN2* is a Polycomb *VRN2-like* gene which represses the transition to flowering in the model legume *Medicago truncatula*. *Plant J.* 86, 145–160. doi: 10.1111/tj.13156
- Jaudal, M., Zhang, L., Che, C., and Putterill, J. (2015). Three *Medicago MtFUL* genes have distinct and overlapping expression patterns during vegetative and reproductive development and *35S:MtFULb* accelerates flowering and causes a terminal flower phenotype in *Arabidopsis*. *Frontiers in Genetics* 6:50. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2015.00050
- Jung, C. H., Wong, C. E., Singh, M. B., and Bhalla, P. L. (2012). Comparative genomic analysis of soybean flowering genes. *PLoS One* 7:e38250. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0038250
- Jung, J. H., Ju, Y., Seo, P. J., Lee, J. H., and Park, C. M. (2012). The *SOC1-SPL* module integrates photoperiod and gibberellic acid signals to control flowering time in Arabidopsis. *Plant J.* 69, 577–588. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2011.04813.x
- Kakar, K., Wandrey, M., Czechowski, T., Gaertner, T., Scheible, W.-R., Stitt, M., et al. (2008). A community resource for high-throughput quantitative RT-PCR analysis of transcription factor gene expression in *Medicago truncatula*. *Plant Methods* 4:18. doi: 10.1186/1746-4811-4-18
- Kardailsky, I., Shukla, V. K., Ahn, J. H., Dagenais, N., Christensen, S. K., Nguyen, J. T., et al. (1999). Activation tagging of the floral inducer *FT*. *Science* 286, 1962–1965. doi: 10.1126/science.286.5446.1962
- Karimi, M., Inzé, D., and Depicker, A. (2002). GATEWAY™ vectors for *Agrobacterium*-mediated plant transformation. *Trends Plant Sci.* 7, 193–195. doi: 10.1016/s1360-1385(02)02251-3
- Kim, H.-S., Abbasi, N., and Choi, S.-B. (2013). Bruno-like proteins modulate flowering time via 3' UTR-dependent decay of *SOC1* mRNA. *New Phytol.* 198, 747–756. doi: 10.1111/nph.12181
- Kim, M. Y., Shin, J. H., Kang, Y. J., Shim, S. R., and Lee, S. H. (2012). Divergence of flowering genes in soybean. *J. Biosci.* 37, 857–870. doi: 10.1007/s12038-012-9252-0
- Laurie, R., Diwadar, P., Jaudal, M., Zhang, L., Hecht, V., Wen, J., et al. (2011). The *Medicago FLOWERING LOCUS T* homolog, *MtFTa1*, is a key regulator of flowering time. *Plant Physiol.* 156, 2207–2224. doi: 10.1104/pp.111.180182
- Lee, H., Suh, S. S., Park, E., Cho, E., Ahn, J. H., Kim, S. G., et al. (2000). The AGAMOUS-LIKE 20 MADS domain protein integrates floral inductive pathways in Arabidopsis. *Genes Dev.* 14, 2366–2376. doi: 10.1101/gad.813600
- Lee, J., Oh, M., Park, H., and Lee, I. (2008). *SOC1* translocated to the nucleus by interaction with AGL24 directly regulates *LEAFY*. *Plant J.* 55, 832–843. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2008.03552.x
- Lee, J. H., Yoo, S. J., Park, S. H., Hwang, I., Lee, J. S., and Ahn, J. H. (2007). Role of *SVP* in the control of flowering time by ambient temperature in Arabidopsis. *Genes Dev.* 21, 397–402. doi: 10.1101/gad.1518407

- Lee, R., Baldwin, S., Kenel, F., McCallum, J., and Macknight, R. (2013). *FLOWERING LOCUS T* genes control onion bulb formation and flowering. *Nat. Commun.* 4:2884. doi: 10.1038/ncomms3884
- Letswaart, R., Wu, Z., and Dean, C. (2012). Flowering time control: another window to the connection between antisense RNA and chromatin. *Trends Genet.* 28, 445–453. doi: 10.1016/j.tig.2012.06.002
- Liu, C., Chen, H., Er, H. L., Soo, H. M., Kumar, P. P., Han, J. H., et al. (2008). Direct interaction of *AGL24* and *SOC1* integrates flowering signals in *Arabidopsis*. *Development* 135, 1481–1491. doi: 10.1242/dev.020255
- Mateos, J. L., Madrigal, P., Tsuda, K., Rawat, V., Richter, R., Romera-Branchat, M., et al. (2015). Combinatorial activities of *SHORT VEGETATIVE PHASE* and *FLOWERING LOCUS C* define distinct modes of flowering regulation in *Arabidopsis*. *Genome Biol.* 16:31. doi: 10.1186/s13059-015-0597-1
- McClung, C. R., Lou, P., Hermand, V., and Kim, J. A. (2016). The importance of ambient temperature to growth and the induction of flowering. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7:1266. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2016.01266
- Moon, J., Suh, S. S., Lee, H., Choi, K. R., Hong, C. B., Paek, N. C., et al. (2003). The *SOC1* MADS-box gene integrates vernalization and gibberellin signals for flowering in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant J.* 35, 613–623. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-313X.2003.01833.x
- Na, X., Jian, B., Yao, W., Wu, C., Hou, W., Jiang, B., et al. (2013). Cloning and functional analysis of the flowering gene *GmSOC1*-like, a putative *SUPPRESSOR OF OVEREXPRESSION CO1/AGAMOUS-LIKE 20 (SOC1/AGL20)* ortholog in soybean. *Plant Cell Rep.* 32, 1219–1229. doi: 10.1007/s00299-013-1419-0
- Narusaka, M., Shiraishi, T., Iwabuchi, M., and Narusaka, Y. (2010). The floral inoculating protocol: a simplified *Arabidopsis thaliana* transformation method modified from floral dipping. *Plant Biotechnol.* 27, 349–351. doi: 10.5511/plantbiotechnol.27.349
- Notaguchi, M., Abe, M., Kimura, T., Daimon, Y., Kobayashi, T., Yamaguchi, A., et al. (2008). Long-distance, graft-transmissible action of *Arabidopsis* *FLOWERING LOCUS T* protein to promote flowering. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 49, 1645–1658. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pcn154
- Onouchi, H., Igeno, M. I., Perilleux, C., Graves, K., and Coupland, G. (2000). Mutagenesis of plants overexpressing *CONSTANS* demonstrates novel interactions among *Arabidopsis* flowering-time genes. *Plant Cell* 12, 885–900. doi: 10.1105/tpc.12.6.885
- Pfaffl, M. W. (2001). A new mathematical model for relative quantification in real-time RT-PCR. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 29:e45. doi: 10.1093/nar/29.9.e45
- Putterill, J., Zhang, L., Yeoh, C. C., Balcerowicz, M., Jaudal, M., and Gasic, E. V. (2013). *FT* genes and regulation of flowering in the legume *Medicago truncatula*. *Funct. Plant Biol.* 40, 1199–1207. doi: 10.1071/FP13087
- Romera-Branchat, M., Andres, F., and Coupland, G. (2014). Flowering responses to seasonal cues: what's new? *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 21C, 120–127. doi: 10.1016/j.jpb.2014.07.006
- Samach, A., Onouchi, H., Gold, S. E., Ditta, G. S., Schwarz-Sommer, Z., Yanofsky, M. F., et al. (2000). Distinct roles of *CONSTANS* target genes in reproductive development of *Arabidopsis*. *Science* 288, 1613–1616. doi: 10.1126/science.288.5471.1613
- Searle, I., He, Y. H., Turck, F., Vincent, C., Fornara, F., Krober, S., et al. (2006). The transcription factor *FLC* confers a flowering response to vernalization by repressing meristem competence and systemic signaling in *Arabidopsis*. *Genes Dev.* 20, 898–912. doi: 10.1101/gad.373506
- Severin, A. J., Woody, J. L., Bolon, Y.-T., Joseph, B., Diers, B. W., Farmer, A. D., et al. (2010). RNA-Seq Atlas of *Glycine max*: a guide to the soybean transcriptome. *BMC Plant Biol.* 10:160. doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-10-160
- Sheldon, C. C., Finnegan, E. J., Dennis, E. S., and Peacock, W. J. (2006). Quantitative effects of vernalization on *FLC* and *SOC1* expression. *Plant J.* 45, 871–883. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2006.02652.x
- Song, H. R., Song, J. D., Cho, J. N., Amasino, R. M., Noh, B., and Noh, Y. S. (2009). The RNA binding protein *ELF9* directly reduces *SUPPRESSOR OF OVEREXPRESSION OF CO1* transcript levels in *Arabidopsis*, possibly via nonsense-mediated mRNA decay. *Plant Cell* 21, 1195–1211. doi: 10.1105/tpc.108.064774
- Srikanth, A., and Schmid, M. (2011). Regulation of flowering time: all roads lead to Rome. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 68, 2013–2037. doi: 10.1007/s00018-011-0673-y
- Tadege, M., Wen, J. Q., He, J., Tu, H. D., Kwak, Y., Eschstruth, A., et al. (2008). Large-scale insertional mutagenesis using the *Tnt1* retrotransposon in the model legume *Medicago truncatula*. *Plant J.* 54, 335–347. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2008.03418.x
- Tao, Z., Shen, L., Liu, C., Liu, L., Yan, Y., and Yu, H. (2012). Genome-wide identification of *SOC1* and *SVP* targets during the floral transition in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant J.* 70, 549–561. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2012.04919.x
- Taoka, K., Ohki, I., Tsuji, H., Furuita, K., Hayashi, K., Yanase, T., et al. (2011). 14-3-3 proteins act as intracellular receptors for rice *Hd3a* florigen. *Nature* 476, 332–335. doi: 10.1038/nature10272
- Torti, S., and Fornara, F. (2012). *AGL24* acts in concert with *SOC1* and *FUL* during *Arabidopsis* floral transition. *Plant Signal. Behav.* 7, 1251–1254. doi: 10.4161/psb.21552
- Weller, J. L., and Ortega, R. (2015). Genetic control of flowering time in legumes. *Front. Plant Sci.* 6:207. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2015.00207
- Whittaker, C., and Dean, C. (2017). The *FLC* locus: a platform for discoveries in epigenetics and adaptation. *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.* 33, 555–575. doi: 10.1146/annurev-cellbio-100616-060546
- Wigge, P. A., Kim, M. C., Jaeger, K. E., Busch, W., Schmid, M., Lohmann, J. U., et al. (2005). Integration of spatial and temporal information during floral induction in *Arabidopsis*. *Science* 309, 1056–1059. doi: 10.1126/science.1114358
- Wong, A. C., Hecht, V. F., Picard, K., Diwadkar, P., Laurie, R. E., Wen, J., et al. (2014). Isolation and functional analysis of *CONSTANS-LIKE* genes suggests that a central role for *CONSTANS* in flowering time control is not evolutionarily conserved in *Medicago truncatula*. *Front. Plant Sci.* 5:486. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2014.00486
- Yeoh, C. C., Balcerowicz, M., Zhang, L., Jaudal, M., Brocard, L., Ratet, P., et al. (2013). Fine mapping links the *FTa1* flowering time regulator to the dominant *spring1* locus in *Medicago*. *PLoS One* 8:e53467. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0053467
- Yoo, S. K., Chung, K. S., Kim, J., Lee, J. H., Hong, S. M., Yoo, S. J., et al. (2005). *CONSTANS* activates *SUPPRESSOR OF OVEREXPRESSION OF CONSTANS 1* through *FLOWERING LOCUS T* to promote flowering in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiol.* 139, 770–778. doi: 10.1104/pp.105.066928
- Young, N. D., and Bharti, A. K. (2012). Genome-enabled insights into legume biology. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 63, 283–305. doi: 10.1146/annurev-arplant-042110-103754
- Zhong, X., Dai, X., Xu, J., Wu, H., Liu, B., and Li, H. (2012). Cloning and expression analysis of *GmGAL1*, *SOC1* homolog gene in soybean. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* 39, 6967–6974. doi: 10.1007/s11033-012-1524-0

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2018 Fudge, Lee, Laurie, Mysore, Wen, Weller and Macknight. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Supplementary Material


***Medicago truncatula SOC1* genes are up-regulated by environmental cues that promote flowering**

Jared B. Fudge, Robyn H. Lee, Rebecca E. Laurie, Kirankumar S. Mysore, Jiangqi Wen, James L. Weller and Richard C. Macknight*

*** Correspondence:** Corresponding Author: Richard.Macknight@otago.ac.nz


1 Supplementary Figures and Tables

1.1 Supplementary Figures




Supplementary Figure 1. Genomic structure of loci adjacent to Group A and B *SOC1* genes in various legume species.


A




B



Supplementary Figure 2. *Medicago sativa* contains *SOC1a*, *SOC1b* and *SOC1c* genes. Chromosomal regions containing the *SOC1a* gene (A) and the duplicated *SOC1b* and *c* genes (B). The location of the *AGL6-like* genes is also shown. Pictures are screen shots from the *Medicago sativa* Genome browser available at www.alfalfatoolbox.org.




Supplementary Figure 3. Characterization of selected *soc1-2* (Col) transgenic lines harbouring *35S:MtSOC1* cassettes. (A) *MtSOC1* transgene expression levels in six independent lines. RNA was isolated from pooled LD-grown whole seedlings and used to quantify *MtSOC1* expression by qRT-PCR. Three lines showing the highest expression levels per construct were subsequently scored for their flowering time phenotypes in (B). Expression was normalized to *AtACTIN2* (B) Flowering time phenotypes of selected T₃ transgenic lines harbouring *35S:MtSOC1* constructs in the *soc1-2* (Col) mutant background. Plants were grown under LD photoperiod and the total number of leaves was scored at flowering. Data are mean $\pm$ SE and statistically significant differences between means of genotypes compared to *soc1-2* were determined by one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's test. Asterisks, where annotated, denote P values. * $P \leq 0.05$, ** $P \leq 0.01$.




Supplementary Figure 4. Primers for qRT-PCR are specific to each *MtSOC1* gene. cDNA samples from transgenic Arabidopsis lines expressing *35S:MtSOC1* sequences in the *soc1-2* (Col) background were used as template for amplification by qRT-PCR using primers designed to amplify each *MtSOC1* gene, in the presence of the other *MtSOC1* genes and absence of wild-type *AtSOC1*. Expression was normalised to *AtACTIN2*.



A




B




Supplementary Figure 5. Expression of group A and B *SOC1* genes from other legumes. (A) *Medicago sativa* contigs representing *SOC1a*, *SOC1b* and *SOC1c* transcripts. Samples are: B47_ES1-3 (Elongating Stem Internodes), B47_Flower1-3 (Developing Flowers), B47_Leaf1-3 (Mature Leaf), B47_Nodule1 (Nitrogen Fixing Nodules), B47_PES1-3 (Post-Elongating Stem Internodes), B47_Root1-3 (Whole Root), F56_ES1-3 (Elongating Stem Internodes), F56_Flower1-3 (Developing Flowers), F56_Leaf1-3 (Mature Leaf), F56_Nodule1-3 (Nitrogen Fixing Nodules), F56_PES1-3 (Post-Elongating Stem Internodes), and F56_Root1-3 (Whole Root). 1-3 refers to the number of biological replicates. Data was obtained from The Alfalfa Gene Index and Expression Atlas Database, available at <http://plantgrn.noble.org/AGED/SearchVisual.jsp#>. **(B)** Soybean RNA SEQ Atlas data for the four soybean *SOC1* genes. Data is from <https://soybase.org/>.




Supplementary Figure 6 Promoter analysis of legume *SOC1* genes. 5' regions (defined as ~2 kb of sequence upstream of the start codon) were analyzed using the online program MEME (Multiple Em for Motif Elicitation) available at <http://meme-suite.org/tools/meme>. The Tomtom program (<http://meme-suite.org/tools/tomtom>) was used to identify transcription factor binding sites within the identified conserved motifs based on known *Arabidopsis* transcription factor binding sites. (A) Relative locations of identified motifs as shown by color codes in panel B. (B) Consensus sequences and alignments of motifs found to be enriched in legume *SOC1* 5' regions.




Supplemental Figure 7. Effect of vernalization and photoperiod on *MtSOC1* expression during development. Plants were grown and harvested as described in Figure 4. Data were re-plotted to compare the effects of vernalization and photoperiod treatments on *MtSOC1* expression at days 15-25 after germination. Statistically significant differences between means of each treatment were determined by one-way ANOVA with Tukey's test for multiple comparisons. Data are the mean $\pm$ SE of 2-4 biological replicates and data were normalized to *PDF2*. Different letters indicate statistically significant differences ($P < 0.05$) between means for each treatment.



Supplementary Figure 8. *MtSOC1b* expression during plant development. Data from Figure 4B in WT R108 (reproduced here in panel **A** were re-plotted here in panel **B** in the absence of data points from vernalized LD conditions, and the y axis re-scaled. **(C)** Shows *MtSOC1b* begins to increase in *fta1-1* mutants after 32 days.





Supplementary Figure 10. Characterization of additional Tnt1 insertions in *MtSOC1b*. (A) Schematic of the *MtSOC1b* locus with insertion sites of two independent Tnt1 retrotransposon lines (indicated by triangles) shown. (B) *MtSOC1b* expression in wild-type (R108) and homozygous NF1789 mutants. Expression is relative to *PDF2*. (C) Flowering time of line NF9471 plants (heterozygous and homozygous individuals) and wild-type c.v. R108. Plants were vernalized and grown under LD photoperiod.

Supplementary Table 1. List of oligonucleotide primers used in this study.

Gene	Mt3.5 or GenBank ID	Sequence of oligonucleotide (5' to 3')	Source
<i>MtPDF2 F</i>	TC107161	GTGTTTTGCTTCCGCCGTT	Kakar <i>et al.</i> 2008
<i>MtPDF2 R</i>		CCAAATCTTGCTCCCTCA TCTG	
<i>MtSOC1a F</i>	Medtr7g075860	GCATTTGAGCTATCTGTT	This study
<i>MtSOC1a R</i>		GTAGCGTTCAATTGTGT	
<i>MtSOC1b F</i>	Medtr8g033250	GCTGAAAATGTCAGGCTCTCT	This study
<i>MtSOC1b R</i>		GACAATGAACAATTCAGTCTCC	
<i>MtSOC1c F</i>	Medtr8g033220	CTGAAAATGCCATGCTCGCA	This study
<i>MtSOC1c R</i>		AGACCAATGAACAATTCAGTTTC	
<i>MtFTa1 F</i>	Medtr7g084970	CTAGCAGTAGGAATCCACTAGC	Laurie <i>et al.</i> 2011
<i>MtFTa1 R</i>		GTGAATCAGGATCCACCATA	
<i>MtPIM F</i>	Medtr8g066260	ACGCAGAAACCAAGTCA	Laurie <i>et al.</i> 2011
<i>MtPIM R</i>		TGGAAGTTGCTGCTGTAG	
<i>MtFULc F</i>	Medtr7g016630	CTT GAC ACT TCG CTA AAG CGA AT	Laurie <i>et al.</i> 2011
<i>MtFULc R</i>		GCA TCT TTG TGG ATG TTC ACT C	
<i>AtACTIN2 F</i>	NM_112764.3	CGCTCTTTCTTTCCAAGCTCAT	Lee <i>et al.</i> 2013
<i>AtACTIN2 R</i>		TCCTGCAAATCCAGCCTTC	
<i>MtSOC1b_F</i>	Genotyping	ATGTCAGGCTCTCTGAGAAG	This study
<i>MtSOC1b_R</i>	Genotyping	GCATCAATACAGACATAGG	This study
<i>Tnt1_F1</i>	Genotyping	TCCTTGTTGGATTGGTAGCCAACTT TGTTG	Tadege <i>et al.</i> 2008
<i>Tnt1_R</i>	Genotyping	CAGTGAACGAGCAGAACCTGTG	Tadege <i>et al.</i> 2008

References for supplementary material

- Kakar K, Wandrey M, Czechowski T, Gaertner T, Scheible W-R, Stitt M, Torres-Jerez I, Xiao Y, Redman J, Wu H, Cheung F, Town C, Udvardi M (2008) A community resource for high-throughput quantitative RT-PCR analysis of transcription factor gene expression in *Medicago truncatula*. *Plant Methods* **4**: doi:10.1186/1746-4811-4-18
- Karimi M, Inzé D, Depicker A (2002) GATEWAY™ vectors for *Agrobacterium*-mediated plant transformation. *Trends in Plant Science* **7**: 193-195 doi: 10.1016/s1360-1385(02)02251-3.
- Laurie R, Diwadkar P, Jaudal M, Zhang L, Hecht V, Wen J, Tadege M, Mysore K, Putterill J, Weller J, Macknight R (2011) The *Medicago FLOWERING LOCUS T* homolog, *MtFTa1*, is a key regulator of flowering time. *Plant Physiology* **156**: 2207-2224 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.111.180182>
- Lee R, Baldwin S, Kenel F, McCallum J, Macknight R (2013) *FLOWERING LOCUS T* genes control onion bulb formation and flowering. *Nature Communications* **4**: doi:10.1038/ncomms3884
- Tadege M, Wen J, He J, Tu H, Kwak Y, Eschstruth A, Cayrel A, Endre G, Zhao PX, Chabaud M, Ratet P, Mysore KS (2008) [Large-scale insertional mutagenesis using the Tnt1 retrotransposon in the model legume *Medicago truncatula*](#). *Plant J.* 54: 335-47. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3113.2008.03418.x