

Chemical treatment of
backsawn Tasmanian Oak
with Polyethylene Glycol (PEG) prior to drying

By

John Ralph, B.App.Sc.(Sport Science), B.Biomed.Sc.(Hons.)

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

School of Human Life Sciences
University of Tasmania, Launceston

December, 2006

	<u>Table of Contents</u>	Page No.
DECLARATION		vi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS		vii
LIST OF FIGURES		viii
LIST OF TABLES		xii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS		xiii
PUBLICATIONS		xvi
PRESENTATIONS TO LEARNED SOCIETIES		xvi
ABSTRACT		xvii
CHAPTER ONE - GENERAL INTRODUCTION (LITERATURE REVIEW)		
1.1 Introduction		1
1.2 <i>Eucalyptus</i> species		2
1.2.1 Taxonomy and Classification of <i>Eucalyptus</i> sp.		2
1.2.2 History of <i>Eucalyptus</i> sp.		4
1.2.3 Tasmanian Oak		5
1.3 Wood Composition and growth		7
1.3.1 Cell structure in plants		7
1.3.2 Growth and development of the tree structure		8
1.3.3 Sapwood and heartwood		9
1.3.4 Earlywood and latewood		10
1.3.5 Composition of a typical wood fibre		11
1.3.6 Structure and function of the cell layers		14
1.3.7 Wood macrostructure		16
1.4 Wood-water considerations		19
1.4.1 Water and cellulose		19
1.4.2 Moisture loss in drying timber		23
1.4.3 Measurement of wood moisture content		26
1.5 Shrinkage in wood		28
1.5.1 Anisotropy		29
1.5.2 Anisotropic considerations for drying sawn timber		32
1.6 Defects caused during drying		37
1.6.1 Collapse		37
1.6.2 Checking		39
1.6.3 Drying defect in Tasmanian Oak		41
1.6.4 The rationale of chemical treatment of timber before drying		42

1.7	Polyethylene glycol	44
1.7.1	Timber treatment with PEG	44
1.7.2	Contemporary, industrial use of PEG in wood	47
1.8	The chemistry of polyethylene glycol	49
1.8.1	The solubility of PEG	50
1.8.2	The effect of PEG on water	53
1.8.3	Water Activity (a_w)	55
1.8.4	Movement of PEG in wood	57
1.8.5	PEG binding to cellulose	58
1.8.6	Variables affecting movement of PEG in timber	63
1.9	Summary	64
CHAPTER TWO – PEG PENETRATION IN TASMANIAN OAK		
2.1	General Introduction	65
2.2	Staining procedures for the detection of polyethylene glycol in timber	66
2.2.1	Introduction	66
2.2.2	Methods	67
2.2.2.1	Sample preparation	67
2.2.2.2	Incubation	68
2.2.2.3	Preparation of sample slices	69
2.2.2.4	Staining methods	69
2.2.2.5	Visualising PEG penetration in timber	70
2.2.3	Results	70
2.2.4	Discussion	73
2.2.5	Conclusion	76
2.3	Quantifying PEG penetration in Tasmanian Oak	77
2.3.1	Introduction	77
2.3.2	Method	78
2.3.2.1	Determining a suitable PEG concentration	78
2.3.2.2	Effects of temperature, concentration and species	79
2.3.2.3	Data Analysis	79
2.3.3	Results	81
2.3.3.1	Determining a suitable PEG concentration	81
2.3.3.2	Effects of time, temperature and PEG molecular weight on PEG penetration in Tasmanian Oak	81
2.3.4	Discussion	87
2.3.5	Conclusion	92
2.4	PEG penetration in drying timber	94
2.4.1	Introduction	94
2.4.2	Method	95
2.4.3	Results	96
2.4.4	Discussion	97
2.4.5	Conclusion	98

2.5	Histological inspection of PEG in Tasmanian Oak	99
2.5.1	Introduction	99
2.5.2	Method	99
2.5.3	Results	100
2.5.4	Discussion	103
2.5.5	Conclusion	105
2.6	General Conclusion	106
CHAPTER THREE – THE PHYSICAL EFFECTS OF PEG TREATMENT ON MOISTURE CONTENT AND DIMENSIONAL STABILITY OF TASMANIAN OAK DURING DRYING		
3.1	General Introduction	108
3.2	Differences in dimensional change in Tasmanian Oak due to pre-treatment with PEG	109
3.2.1	Introduction	109
3.2.2	Method for assessing dimensional change	109
3.2.3	Results	113
3.2.3.1	Shrinkage	113
3.2.3.2	Mass lost (as moisture)	115
3.2.3.3	Dimensional change	115
3.2.3.4	Visual assessment of the timber	116
3.2.4	Discussion	117
3.3	The effect of PEG treatment on moisture content loss in Tasmanian Oak	118
3.3.1	Introduction	118
3.3.2	General method for drying trials	118
3.3.2.1	Obtaining samples	118
3.3.2.2	Sample preparation	119
3.3.2.3	Chemical treatment	119
3.3.2.4	Obtaining sample slices	120
3.3.2.5	Obtaining slice sections and moisture content determination	120
3.3.2.6	Analysis	121
3.3.3	Method for timber treated with PEG an SDS	122
3.3.4	Results	125
3.3.5	Discussion	129
3.3.6	Conclusion	133
3.4	Tangential moisture content profiles	134
3.4.1	Introduction	134
3.4.2	Methods	135
3.4.3	Results	136
3.4.4	Discussion	142
3.4.5	Conclusion	145

3.5	The effect of increasing PEG concentration on moisture loss, shrinkage and dimensional stability in Tasmanian Oak	146
3.5.1	Introduction	146
3.5.2	Methods	147
3.5.2.1	Assessment of shrinkage	149
3.5.2.2	Assessment of distortion	149
3.5.3	Data analysis	149
3.5.4	Results	150
3.5.4.1	Change in Moisture Content	150
3.5.4.2	Shrinkage	156
3.5.4.3	Comparison of moisture loss and shrinkage	158
3.5.4.4	Distortion	162
3.5.5	Discussion	163
3.5.5.1	Moisture loss	163
3.5.5.2	Shrinkage	168
3.5.5.3	Moisture loss and shrinkage	169
3.5.5.4	Assessment of distortion	171
3.5.6	Conclusion	171
3.6	An investigation into the effects of water activity on drying Tasmanian Oak	172
3.6.1	Introduction	172
3.6.2	Method	174
3.6.3	Results	178
3.6.4	Discussion	180
3.6.5	Conclusion	183

CHAPTER FOUR – GENERAL DISCUSSION, RECOMMENDATIONS AND GENERAL CONCLUSION

4.1	General Discussion & Recommendations	184
4.2	General Conclusion	192
	REFERENCES	194

APPENDICES (ON CD)

Appendix 1 – Moisture content loss profiles from Section 3.3

Appendix 2 – Moisture content loss profiles from Section 3.4 and 3.5

Appendix 3 – Design and development of constant conditions chamber from Section 3.6

DECLARATION

I certify that this thesis contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any Institute, College or University, and that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, it contains no material previously published or written by another person except where due reference is made in the text.

John Ralph

STATEMENT OF AUTHORITY OF ACCESS

This thesis may be made available for loan and limited copying in accordance with the *Copyright Act 1968*.

John Ralph

List of Abbreviations

a_w – Water activity

ERH – Equilibrium relative humidity

IMC – Initial moisture content

IRP – Initial rate of penetration

FRP – Final rate of penetration

MC – Moisture content

NaCl – Sodium chloride

ODW – Oven dry weight

PEG – Polyethylene glycol

RH – Relative humidity

SDS – Sodium dodecyl sulphate

SEM – Standard error of the mean

List of Figures

Figure	Page
1.1 Growth divisions in young wood.	8
1.2 Stylised depiction of an annular growth ring border.	10
1.3 Cross section of a wood cell wall showing cell wall layers and composition of the three solid wood components.	13
1.4 Structure of a wood cell (fibre).	15
1.5 Microscopic appearance of hardwood and softwood.	17
1.6 Interaction of water with cellulose hydroxide groups in the plant cell wall.	20
1.7 Effects of water interaction with the wood structure.	21
1.8 Orientations in timber.	30
1.9 Typical shrinkage curves for the three dimensional aspects of timber geometry.	31
1.10 Sawlog cutting pattern for obtaining quartersawn and backsawn timber.	33
1.11 Shrinkage patterns caused by differential tangential and radial shrinkage.	33
1.12 Cutting patterns for obtaining maximum backsawn and quartersawn timber.	35
1.13 End view of a drying backsawn board.	39
1.14 Schematic projections of polyethylene glycol (\approx PEG 200) with four repeating ethoxy units.	49
1.15 Co-ordination of the PEG molecular chain in water.	52
1.16 Changes in water activity (a_w) with changes in water mole fraction by the addition of varying molecular weight PEGs.	56
1.17 Theoretical interaction of PEG with cellulose with hydroxide groups in the plant cell wall.	59
2.1 Manual slicer for cutting transverse sections.	67
2.2 Malaprade staining reactions on filter paper.	70
2.3 Iodine-stained samples of <i>E. obliqua</i> .	71

2.4	Staining of PEG-treated samples of <i>E. regnans</i> .	71
2.5	(a) Photomicrographs of stained sections showing extent of PEG penetration.	72
2.5	(b) Photomicrographs of stained sections showing extent of PEG penetration	73
2.6	Representative samples of penetration in <i>E. regnans</i> incubated for 96 hours PEG-400 solutions of concentrations.	81
2.7	PEG-400 (30% v/v) penetration in <i>E. delegatensis</i> at three incubation temperatures.	84
2.8	PEG penetration (30% v/v) in <i>E. regnans</i> at 60°C at three molecular weights of PEG.	84
2.9	PEG-600 (30% v/v) penetration (\pm SEM) in Tasmanian Oak species after incubation at 60°C.	85
2.10	Initial Rate and Final Rate of Penetration of PEG-1000 (30%v/v) penetration at three temperatures: 30°C, 45°C and 60°C.	86
2.11	Penetration of 30% PEG-400 (v/v) in air-dried <i>E. regnans</i> .	96
2.12	<i>E. regnans</i> treated with PEG 600 (Transverse, 600x).	100
2.13	<i>E. regnans</i> treated with PEG 600 (Transverse, 700x).	101
2.14	<i>E. regnans</i> treated with PEG 600 (Radial, 100x).	101
2.15	<i>E. regnans</i> treated with PEG 600 (Radial, 400x).	102
2.16	<i>E. regnans</i> treated with PEG 600 (Tangential, 400x).	102
2.17	<i>E. regnans</i> treated with PEG 600 (Tangential, 600x).	103
3.1	Numbering the positions of individual samples in control and PEG-treated charges of <i>E. delegatensis</i> .	110
3.2	Obtaining middle sections for reconditioning and assessment of deviation from original shape.	111
3.3	Determining angle of deflection from the perpendicular angles of freshly sawn timber and dried timber.	112
3.4	Cumulative % radial shrinkage in <i>E. delegatensis</i> for untreated control samples or samples treated with 30% PEG-400 (v/v).	113
3.5	Cumulative % tangential shrinkage in <i>E. delegatensis</i> for untreated control samples or samples treated with 30% PEG-400 (v/v).	114

3.6	Cumulative % mass lost in <i>E. delegatensis</i> for untreated control samples and samples treated with 30% PEG-400 (v/v).	115
3.7	Distinction of Inner and Outer sample groups.	121
3.8	Chemical structure of sodium dodecyl sulphate.	123
3.9	Cutting pattern to obtain a radial cross section of the core of treated and untreated samples.	124
3.10	Average Moisture Content (% MC) loss from outer-stacked untreated <i>E. regnans</i> controls or treated with PEG 400 (30% v/v) or saturated SDS solution.	125
3.11	Average Moisture Content (% MC) loss from inner-stacked untreated <i>E. regnans</i> controls or treated with PEG 400 (30% v/v) or saturated SDS solution.	126
3.12	Core moisture content profiles at T = 0h and T = 384h for least exposed samples in charges of untreated <i>E. regnans</i> and samples treated with saturated SDS or 30% PEG 400.	127
3.13	Representative core moisture content profiles at T = 528h for most central samples from charges of untreated control and treated with SDS or PEG 400 (30% v/v).	128
3.14	Representative core moisture content profiles at T = 1200h for most central samples from charges treated with 30% (v/v) PEG, saturated SDS and untreated control.	128
3.15	Cutting pattern to obtain a tangential cross section of the core of treated and untreated samples.	136
3.16	Comparison of whole %MC in inner and outer samples.	137
3.17	Comparison of core %MC values in inner and outer samples.	138
3.18	Moisture content profiles for whole and core slices.	139
3.19	Representative tangential %MC profiles for individual PEG-treated and untreated <i>E. regnans</i> .	140
3.20	Absolute %MC difference between outer tangential surfaces (case pieces) of <i>E. regnans</i> treated with PEG 400 (30% v/v) and untreated control.	141
3.21	Reproducible method of obtaining comparable moisture content and dimensional data.	148

3.22	Cutting pattern to obtain a radial and tangential cross section of slices from treated and untreated sample boards.	148
3.23	Moisture Content (% MC) over 1200 hours of drying time in PEG-treated and untreated <i>E. Regnans</i>	150
3.24	Change in %MC between T = 0h and T = 18h.	152
3.25	Δ MC % between Inner and Outer sample boards.	153
3.26	Mean Δ %MC between radial and tangential cutting patterns in whole and core samples.	154
3.27	Representative core moisture content profiles at T = 384h	155
3.28	Radial dimensional change in <i>E. regnans</i> over a drying period of 1200 hours.	156
3.29	Tangential dimensional change in <i>E. regnans</i> over a drying period of 1200 hours.	157
3.30	Radial dimensional loss v average %MC loss in <i>E. regnans</i> .	159
3.31	Tangential dimensional loss v average %MC loss in <i>E. regnans</i> .	160
3.32	Comparison of incremental %shrinkage for individual treatments.	161
3.33	Mean deflection angles in PEG-treated and untreated <i>E. regnans</i> before (T = 0h) and after air drying (T = 1392h).	162
3.34	Schematic layout of constant conditions chamber with front view and side view.	177
3.35	Average moisture content (\pm SEM) in <i>E. regnans</i> treated with respective aqueous solutions and a_w .	178
3.36	Average moisture content (\pm SEM) in <i>E. regnans</i> treated with respective aqueous solutions and a_w .	179
4.1	Overview of key academic, methodological and commercial findings in the current body of research.	185

List of Tables

Table		Page
1.1	Taxonomical derivation of <i>Eucalyptus</i> sp.	3
1.2	Description of the Tasmanian Oak eucalypts.	6
2.1	Average penetration distance of PEG for samples incubated in 30% PEG at molecular weights of 400, 600 and 1000 at temperatures of 30°C, 45°C and 60°C for between 2 and 7 days.	82
2.2	Representative confidence intervals (C.I.s) for Day 2 and Day 7 for samples incubated in 30% (v/v) of PEG at molecular weights of 400, 600 and 1000 at temperatures of 30°C, 45°C and 60°C.	83
2.3	Estimate of Mean Depth for treatment combinations of PEG molecular weight (MW) and temperature.	85
3.1	Mean deflection° (α) for treated and untreated samples before and after reconditioning.	115
3.2	Visual assessment of control and PEG-treated <i>E. delegatensis</i> .	116
3.3	Supplemental data from Figure 3.23.	151
3.4	Significant difference for all treatment combinations as assessed by T-test.	153
3.5	Percentage radial and tangential loss and ratio of tangential and radial loss in treated and untreated timber.	156
3.6	Chemical molecular weight, molality, concentration (w/v) and the a_w depression achieved.	174
3.7	Rate of moisture loss for each treatment type and untreated control between T = 0h and T = 141h.	180
4.1	Future research directions from the current body of research.	187

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my employers, previously Tasmanian Kiln-Dried Timbers, and currently Timber Resources, for having the far-sighted vision to invest in research for a commercial outcome. This is a seemingly rare quality in much of the Tasmanian timber industry and I believe that the field-work that will result from this preliminary study can reap a very great reward. My Father, Fred Ralph, has been the commercial driving force behind both of these companies and the outcomes of this project would not have been possible without his involvement.

At the University of Tasmania (Launceston) I would like to extend my gratitude to Professor Madeleine Ball, Head of the School of Human Life Sciences, for giving me every opportunity to complete this thesis. Professor Ball was of great strategic assistance in the latter stages of this project's completion and I thank her for her support. I would also like to thank the School of Chemistry (Murray Frith in particular) for providing me with an office and lab space for use during the first half of this study.

Associate Professor Dominic Geraghty willingly assisted in my moments of greatest need, batted for me when no-one else would (or sometimes should!) have and will be greeted with a complimentary pint of the dark stuff should we meet in any institution that has the presence of mind (and good taste) to have Guinness on tap. Go raibh mile maith agaibh. My final nod of academic gratitude goes to Dr Steve Edwards. Steve has been almost omnipresent for my extensive years of academic endeavour and it is fitting that he filled many and varied roles in the current project. Figure 3.30 and 3.31 are "Edwards Graphs". As Steve and Dominic were my co-supervisors on my Honours project, it is perhaps appropriate that they both played their respective roles in the 'next step'.

Jill Wells, Research Librarian at the UTL Library, did an incredible job of tracking down the most obscure references to the subject matter in this thesis and I'm sure she is just as glad as I am that the river of Document Delivery Request forms has dried up. Other providers of invaluable information were Linda Clarke (Wood Conservator, Queen Victoria Museum & Art Gallery, Launceston), Dr Ian Godfrey (Curator, Organic Materials), Western Australian Maritime Museum), Dr Greg Young (Department of Canadian Heritage), Bill McGlynn (Research Assistant, Forest Products Laboratory, Wisconsin, USA), Dr Per Hoffmann (Curator, Deutsches Schiffahrtsmuseum, Germany), Dr Luiz Oliveira (Senior Wood Drying Scientist, Forintek, Canada), Jugo Ilic (Senior Wood Scientist, CSIRO, Forestry and Forest Products Division) and Steven van Dulken (British Library). I would like to also thank those who assisted with interpreting some of the articles from their native languages: Kerstin Larsson, Gundars Simsons and the late Kurt Beyer II. I am also very grateful for the guidance of Dr Iain Robertson, Dr Anitra Hobby and Dr Des FitzGerald in the 'black art' of statistics. A special thanks to Alieta Eyles (then PhD candidate, CRC Forestry (Hobart)), Dr Geoff Grossell and Mr Barry Gormley for their assistance with the histology in this thesis.

Timber research was a completely new field of endeavour for me and I was very reliant initially on completely new sources of information and advice. My thanks go to Dr Trevor Innes from the Timber Research Unit at UTL. Trevor's ongoing support throughout the project has been invaluable and his practical and theoretical knowledge of kiln drying Tasmanian eucalypts make him a prominent member of the timber research community in Australia. My research is better for exposure to that.

The timber industry functions properly only when people of great practical skill and knowledge are involved and my research has benefited greatly from the observations and contributions of people at all levels of the industry. In particular, I would like to acknowledge the assistance and encouragement of Mr Des Taylor, Mr Craig Lewis and Mr Peter Watson.

PhD candidates struggle to succeed without committed support outside of Academia. Simon Gladman, once again, put in the hard yards with hours (and hours!) of data entry and keeping the computers running like they should. Garry Murray built, from scratch, equipment that otherwise did not exist and which was integral to the completion of the early projects.

Jaqui Stevenson and Sally Fahey, each in their own ways, have been such marvellous supporters of me and, in turn, the completion of this project. Sally became proactive in her support by becoming the world's finest Lab, um, Assistant. If you ever need something glued with araldite, have I got the girl for you!

This thesis is dedicated to my late Grandmother, Laurie Ralph, who awoke in me a passion for learning from a very early age.